

Strategic Plan for Phytosanitary Risk Management for the Prevention and Mitigation of Monilirosis of Cocoa (*Moniliophthora roreri*) in the Northeast Region, Dominican Republic

Gleimmy E. García Valerio, Ronny M. Herrera Fernández, & Ender A. Íñiguez Freites

Catholic University of Cibao (UCATECI). La Vega, Dominican Republic

***Corresponding author:** Ender A. Íñiguez Freites, Catholic University of Cibao (UCATECI). La Vega, Dominican Republic.

Submitted: 16 December 2025 **Accepted:** 22 December 2025 **Published:** 26 December 2025

 <https://doi.org/10.63620/MKJAEAST.2025>.

Citation: Valerio, G. E. G., Fernández, R. M. H., & Freites, E. A. I. (2025). Strategic Plan for Phytosanitary Risk Management for the Prevention and Mitigation of Monilirosis of Cocoa (*Moniliophthora roreri*) in the Northeast Region, Dominican Republic. *Glo J of Tran Sci & Int Tec*, 1(1), 01-05.

Abstract

This study consisted of a "Strategic Plan for Phytosanitary Risk Management (*Moniliophthora roreri*) to prevent and reduce the disease in the northeast region of the Dominican Republic." It was suggested to describe the social and economic characteristics of cocoa ducks, which is the dominant risk of *Moniliophthora roreri*. It involved the participation of the public and private sectors and the initiation of viable recommendations related to all members of the production chain. The study uses a mixed approach with a non-experimental and cross-sectional design that utilized studies of accidentally selected cocoa producers in the northeast region. Quantitative and qualitative data were analyzed along with documentary studies to diagnose the phytosanitary situation and based on the strategic phytosanitary risk management plan. The diagnosis revealed a serious knowledge deficit regarding *Moniliophthora roreri*, high dependence on traditional practices, limited access to technical assistance and financing, and barely any agronomic diversification. However, the strong producer organization provided a significant opportunity to implement collective activities, intensive training, and effective biosafety protocols. Finally, the plan includes eight lines of work: strengthening knowledge and physical activity, integrated pest and disease management (IPM), access to technical assistance and exacerbation and coordination of manufacturers, resource management and financing, sustainable agronomic practices, health management, and biosecurity.

Keywords: Monilirosis, Cacao, Risk, Prevention, Management.

Introduction

Monilirosis, caused by the fungus *Moniliophthora roreri*, is a devastating disease threatening cocoa production (*Theobroma cacao* L.) throughout Latin America. The pathogen mainly infects cocoa pods, causing rot that can lead to yield reductions exceeding 80%, severely impacting production quantity and quality [1, 2]. This disease thrives under the high humidity and temperature conditions typical of tropical cocoa-growing regions, facilitating rapid dissemination and significant economic consequences [3]. Originally misclassified as part of the *Monilia* genus, molecular studies led to its reclassification under the genus *Moniliophthora* [4].

Context and Justification

Since its description in Ecuador in 1911, *Moniliophthora roreri* has spread extensively across Latin America and the Caribbean, largely due to environmental factors like wind and rain as well as unregulated movement of infected plant materials [5, 6]. This disease presents a major phytosanitary threat to cocoa farmers, causing substantial economic losses and jeopardizing livelihoods in cocoa-dependent regions [7]. A comprehensive strategic plan is necessary, combining integrated pest and disease management, sustainable agricultural practices, biological control, and targeted fungicide application. Such a plan aims to prevent further dissemination, improve producer well-being,

and strengthen the local economies relying on cocoa cultivation [8, 9].

Methodology

This research employed a mixed methods approach, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies to comprehensively explore and describe the phenomena related to cocoa production and moniliasis management in the Nordeste region of the Dominican Republic. According to Sampieri et al. (2014), mixed methods research allows the exploration of phenomena and description of their characteristics without necessarily starting from hypotheses, making it suitable for studies that require both numerical data analysis and in-depth contextual understanding. This approach enabled the collection and analysis of numerical data from a representative sample, alongside qualitative insights to guide solution-oriented outcomes.

The study utilized a non-experimental, cross-sectional design, in which variables were not manipulated but observed in situ to diagnose the current situation and predict potential socioeconomic impacts linked to moniliasis introduction in Duarte province [10]. The design's transversal horizon focused on a single point in time to capture the status quo and inform strategic planning. The research was applied in nature, grounded on a diagnostic of cacao plantations and management practices in the Dominican Republic's Nordeste region, including case studies and policy reviews from other affected countries such as Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Central America, and Jamaica. This prospective element facilitated data collection and informed the formulation of a strategic plan.

The population universe comprised approximately 22,000 cacao producers nationally, with 61% (about 13,420 producers) concentrated in the Nordeste region [11]. Assuming one farm per producer, a probabilistic simple random sampling method was employed to select a sample size of 73 producers, calculated via Gandia Barbwin software with a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. Data collection was conducted through a structured questionnaire with 46 items segmented into socio-economic characteristics, current plantation diagnosis, crop management

practices, resource needs, and open comments, complemented by document analysis of pertinent scientific articles and institutional policies.

Instrument validation was performed via construct validation aligning with theoretical constructs, and expert judge reviews achieved a 91% approval rating, ensuring reliability and validity of measurements [12]. Data analysis involved cleaning and coding qualitative and quantitative responses, followed by statistical analysis using SPSS v26 to generate descriptive statistics and frequency distributions. Qualitative responses were categorized and numerically coded for integration with quantitative results, enabling a precise phytosanitary diagnosis of cacao production in the Nordeste region. The findings were interpreted against technical literature to provide contextually relevant recommendations for the strategic plan.

Results

Diagnosis and Strategic Plan for Prevention, Mitigation, and Control of Moniliasis in Cacao

This chapter presents a comprehensive Strategic Plan for phytosanitary risk management aimed at preventing and mitigating moniliasis (*Moniliophthora roreri*) in the cacao-producing Nordeste region of the Dominican Republic. The plan builds on an integrated diagnosis derived from two main sources: a field survey applied to local producers and an extensive review of scientific and technical literature on the epidemiology and management of moniliasis in other affected regions. This integration underpins rigorous analysis serving as a foundation for the strategic plan formulation.

Phase I. Integral Diagnosis Based on Producer Survey Variables

Socioeconomic Characteristics

Survey data indicate a majority of producers are located in Zambrana, Cotuí (27%), and La Peña (25.7%), with smaller populations in Duarte, Atabalero, Nagua, and Samaná, revealing productive clusters favoring knowledge transfer.




Figure 1: Geographic Distribution of Producers in the Nordeste Region

Most producers have long-term experience, with 73.6% exceeding 15 years of cocoa cultivation, which may foster better practice adoption and market resilience.




Figure 2: Producer Experience in Cocoa Cultivation (Years)

Economically, producers mainly invest less than RD\$100,000 annually in phytosanitary control; however, 78% report difficulty accessing financing, limiting disease control capacity. Access

to modern pest management technologies is problematic for 75.3% of producers, with preference for cultural and biological controls over chemical fungicides.




Figure 3: Access to Pest Management Technologies

Only 42.5% recall receiving state assistance, mostly technical support and training, indicating gaps in institutional outreach.

Productive Structure and Yield

Farms average 36 hectares but median only 12.5 hectares, con-

ferring an unequal land distribution with both small and large producers. Average yields are approximately 49 kg per tarea, considerably below global leaders. Planting density averages 817 plants per hectare, trending towards medium-low density systems impacting productivity and phytosanitary pressure.




Figure 4: Distribution of Planting Density Across Farms

Agronomic practices focus on pruning (88.9%) and weed control (52.8%), whereas fertilization is less common (13.9%), pointing to basic cultivation maintenance.

Phytosanitary Situation and Risk Factors

Most producers (84.1%) report diseases in plantations, primarily

black pod (*Phytophthora palmivora*) and buba (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*). Fungicide use is minimal, with reliance on cultural and mechanical controls, limiting disease management effectiveness




Figure 5: Prevalence of Major Cocoa Diseases

Knowledge of monilirosis is alarmingly low, with 93.1% unaware of the disease or unable to identify symptoms, increasing vulnerability to outbreaks.

Biosecurity measures, including movement controls of people, animals, and equipment, are largely absent (87.7%), greatly enhancing the risk of pathogen spread.

Current Management Practices

Traditional methods dominate, with widespread pruning and shade management but limited fungicide use, crop rotation, or integrated pest management adoption. Pesticide non-use reflects economic constraints and preference for sustainable practices but also points to gaps in effective disease control.

Results show a majority do not implement systematic sanitation of diseased pods and residues, increasing inoculum sources and economic losses.

Strategic Needs and Recommendations

Producers identify priorities such as strengthening integrated pest management (50.7%), improving pest and disease control (39.4%), and access to resources including financing and technology (7%). These align with international best practices recommending combined cultural, biological, and chemical controls.

Discussion

The diagnosis of cacao plantations in the Nordeste region underscores critical vulnerabilities with major implications for phytosanitary risk management. The survey results revealed a producer landscape characterized by experienced farmers concentrated in key geographic clusters, favorable for knowledge dissemination, yet hampered by economic constraints such as limited access to credit and modern pest management technologies. This combination of strengths and weaknesses aligns with national evaluations reported by the Dominican Ministry of Ag-

riculture's ongoing phytosanitary efforts to enhance surveillance and preparedness against monilirosis [13].

International studies similarly highlight the challenge of maintaining sustainable cacao production in the face of emerging fungal diseases. The near absence of fungicide use and reliance on traditional cultural control methods observed in the Nordeste reflect trends documented throughout Latin America and the Caribbean [14]. This underscores the global imperative to promote integrated pest management (IPM) strategies that combine cultural, biological, and chemical tools tailored to local conditions. The Nordeste region's high participation in producer organizations presents a valuable opportunity to accelerate adoption of such strategies through coordinated extension and capacity building, as demonstrated in successful Caribbean regional projects [15, 16].

The prevalence of diseases such as black pod and buba reported by over 80% of producers illustrates the constant phytosanitary pressure threatening yield stability. Alarmingly, the very limited knowledge of monilirosis—unrecognized by over 90% of farmers—suggests that the disease's potential introduction could be devastating. This parallels experiences from other cocoa-producing countries such as Ecuador and Colombia, where early detection and rapid response capacity gaps led to severe outbreaks with socioeconomic repercussions [14, 17].

Notably, the diagnosis revealed critical deficiencies in biosecurity and surveillance infrastructure. Internationally, robust biosecurity measures and community-led monitoring have proven essential for containing monilirosis outbreaks. The Nordeste region's limited implementation of movement controls for people and equipment increases the threat of pathogen dispersal, necessitating urgent investment in biosecurity protocols and community engagement.

The producers' identified priorities—to strengthen IPM, im-

prove disease control, and enhance access to finance and technology—resonate with the FAO and AGROSAVIA recommendations emphasizing an integrated, multi-sectoral approach to disease management that incorporates social, economic, and technical dimensions [18]. The strategic plan developed through this diagnosis embodies these principles, focusing on capacity building, technology access, collective organization, financing, and innovation.

In conclusion, this diagnosis and strategic planning effort places the Nordeste region on a path consistent with both national policy goals and international best practices. Its success will depend on the effective coordination of institutional support, producer engagement, and continuous adaptive management, aligned with efforts underway across Latin America to build resilient and sustainable cacao production systems in the face of mounting phytosanitary threats [19, 20].

Conclusion

The primary conclusion is that the local cocoa sector is highly vulnerable to the introduction and spread of Moniliopsis (Moniliophthora roreri). This risk is driven by an alarming lack of knowledge about the disease among producers, a widespread absence of effective biosecurity protocols, and limited adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM).

Despite the extensive agricultural experience of producers, significant barriers hinder effective phytosanitary management. Restricted access to financing and technology (78% and 75%, respectively) and low coverage of technical assistance and state support (74%) are critical limitations to their capacity to respond to threats like Moniliopsis.

The study confirms a preference for sustainable practices, such as shade management and pruning, which represents a strength for environmental sustainability. However, low average productivity and high yield variability highlight the need for improved technical management and the adoption of new technologies to increase efficiency and profitability.

To mitigate these risks, an integrated strategic plan is imperative. This plan must combine producer training and awareness, the promotion of an Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM) framework, the strengthening of producer associations, and the reinforcement of public policies regarding phytosanitary surveillance, financing, and technical assistance.

References

1. Bailey, B. A., Evans, H. C., & Krauss, U. (2018). *Moniliophthora roreri*, causal agent of cacao frosty pod rot. *Phytopathology*, 108(5), 563–574. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-11-17-0384-R>
2. Pérez, R., & Martínez, F. (2020). Impact of moniliopsis on Latin American cocoa production. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 102(4), 567–578.
3. Rodríguez, J., & Sánchez, M. (2019). Climatic factors influencing cacao diseases in tropical America. *Agroforestry Systems*, 93(4), 1411–1425.
4. Evans, H. C., Krauss, U., & Castillo, A. (2012). Taxonomy and biology of *Moniliophthora roreri*: The frosty pod rot pathogen. *CABI Compendium*. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.1079/cabicompendium.34779>
5. Ramírez, J., Villamil, J., & Torres, M. (2020). Epidemiology of *Moniliophthora roreri* in the Dominican Republic. *Agricultural Science*, 12(2), 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-020-00554-2>
6. Villamil, J. E., Krauss, U., & Evans, H. C. (2015). Integrated approaches to *Moniliophthora roreri* management in Latin America. *Crop Protection*, 70, 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.10.004>
7. Ramírez Gil, J. G. (2016). Economic impact of phytosanitary threats in cacao-producing regions of Latin America. *Revista Protección Vegetal*, 31(1), 20–30.
8. Dominican Institute of Agricultural and Forestry Research. (2022). *Estrategias para la prevención y control de moniliasis en República Dominicana*. https://idaf.gob.do/publicaciones/pdf/Cacao_Enfermedades_Prevencion_Idaf.pdf
9. Fernández, L., & Cruz, M. (2021). Biological control of phytopathogenic fungi in cacao plantations. *Revista de Protección Vegetal*, 36(3), 123–135.
10. Hernández, R. (2014). *Metodología de la investigación* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
11. Luna, P. (2023). *Cacao production statistics in the Dominican Republic*. Ministry of Agriculture.
12. Sampieri, R., Collado, C. F., & Lucio, P. B. (2014). *Metodología de la investigación*. McGraw-Hill.
13. Ministerio de Agricultura. (2018). *Estrategia nacional para la vigilancia fitosanitaria en cacao*. Gobierno de la República Dominicana.
14. Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria. (2025). *Manejo integrado de la moniliopsis del cacao en Colombia*. <https://www.agrosavia.co>
15. Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture. (2018). Strengthening surveillance systems for moniliopsis control in the Caribbean. <https://iica.int/es>
16. Orozco, L. (2024). Regional strategies for preventing moniliopsis in Latin America. In *Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Cocoa*. Puerto Rico.
17. Brito Sosa, E. (2021). *Impacto socioeconómico de una entrada potencial de la moniliopsis del cacao (Moniliophthora roreri) a República Dominicana* [Master's thesis, CATIE]. <https://repositorio.catie.ac.cr/handle/11554/11541>
18. FAO. (2022). *Integrated pest and disease management for cocoa production*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://www.fao.org/3/cc0278en/cc0278en.pdf>
19. Sosa, E. (2021). *Impacto socioeconómico de una entrada potencial de la moniliopsis del cacao (Moniliophthora roreri) a República Dominicana* [Master's thesis, CATIE]. <https://repositorio.catie.ac.cr/handle/11554/11541>
20. González-García, H. (2025). Agricultural alternatives for agroecological management of moniliopsis in cacao. *Bioagro*, 37(6). <https://doi.org/10.51372/bioagro373.6>