Research Article

Journal of Industrial Engineering, Systems,and Manufacturing Technologies

Technological and Infrastructural Challenges in AI-Based Workplace Safety

Author: John Atabong Zifac* & Co-author: Dr. Kim Young-Man

Liberty University, Lynchburg USA

***Corresponding author:** John Atabong Zifac, Liberty University, Lynchburg, USA.

Submitted: 02 February 2026 **Accepted:** 11 February 2026 **Published:** 18 February 2026

***Citation:** Zifac, J. A., & Kim, Y.-M. (2026). Technological and Infrastructural Challenges in AI-Based Workplace Safety. J of Indu Eng Sym & Manu Tech. 2(1), 01-13.*

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative tool for improving workplace safety through predictive monitoring, real-time hazard detection, and data-driven decision-making, particularly in high-risk industries such as mining, construction, oil and gas, and manufacturing. While AI-based safety systems have demonstrated significant success in developed economies, their adoption and effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa remain constrained by persistent technological and infrastructural challenges. This study critically examines the technological, infrastructural, human, and organizational barriers affecting the implementation of AI-driven workplace safety systems in high-risk industrial settings within Sub-Saharan Africa. Drawing on a comprehensive review of existing literature and comparative global practices, the paper highlights key constraints including unstable power supply, poor digital connectivity, limited data management capacity, lack of localized datasets, inadequate technical skills, and logistical and maintenance bottlenecks. The analysis further explores infrastructural readiness across physical, digital, and human dimensions, emphasizing how systemic weaknesses undermine the reliability and sustainability of AI-based safety interventions. The study also identifies emerging opportunities such as edge computing, hybrid cloud–edge architectures, offline analytics, and low-bandwidth AI models as context-sensitive solutions to overcome resource limitations. The findings underscore the need for ecosystem-based, locally adaptive strategies that integrate infrastructure development, workforce capacity building, and sector-specific deployment models to enable effective and sustainable AI-driven workplace safety in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Workplace Safety, Smart Safety Devices, Infrastructural Readiness, High-Risk Industries, Sub-Saharan Africa, Predictive Analytics, Edge Computing.

Introduction

The concept of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a paradigm shift to improving workplace safety, especially in the oil and gas, construction, mining, and manufacturing sectors that are characterized by a high likelihood of accidents [1]. Contrary to traditional safety equipment, which mostly relies heavily on the most reactive response possible to accidents, AI has allowed companies to be preventive and react to occupational hazards before they cause injuries [2]. AI systems can be used to continuously observe the workplace conditions, employee activities and the performance of the equipment using smart safety gadgets, data analytics and machine learning algorithms [3]. It is this predictive capability which enables organizations to move on to the foundations of risk anticipation rather than handling incidents; thus, occupational injuries and fatalities are considerably reduced [4]. Wearable devices, computer vision, and smart surveillance technologies are part of AI-driven technologies that are essential in human life, especially hazardous industries that need to identify unsafe conditions [5].

Wearable can monitor the physical status of workers, exposure to harmful substances, fatigue, and distance to harmful machinery [6]. Real-time safety breaches, machinery defects, or structural inconsistency may be detected through AI-driven devices and cameras [7]. The AI systems can provide actionable insights when huge amounts of data are processed, and thus, timely interventions could be made, and thus, there are potential risks that may evolve into severe occurrences beforehand [8]. This proactive safety system does not only secure the workers but also improves the efficiency of operation and accountability of the organization.

The other evident benefit of AI-based safety devices is that they assist in making managerial and operational decisions [5]. After understanding common safety hazards, AI tools can also detect them and make suggestions on specific safety training. These insights can help the management to distribute resources in a more efficient way, raise the safety policies, and establish an overall culture of prevention, and not limited to compliance. In industrialized settings, these AI-based safety ecosystems have led to factual injury rates in the workplace, increased regulatory adherence, and elevated respect in employees on organizational safety protocols [9].

AI-facilitated workplace safety has been proven to have useful advantages in developed economic systems, there is a very large disparity between those settings and the high-risk entities of Sub-Saharan Africa [10]. High-quality digital infrastructure, the availability of strong power, high-speed connectivity to the internet, and well-developed institutional infrastructures can ensure successful application of AI technologies in most developed countries [11]. Companies within such environments are usually financially endowed, technically skilled and legally structured to incorporate new and improved safety technologies in their normal routines. Because of this, the trend is moving towards the AI-based proactive safety systems becoming common in high-risk industries in Europe, North America, and some East Asia [5].

The Sub-Saharan African scenario is marked with serious technological and infrastructural barriers that cannot be easily overcome to implement the use of AI-driven safety technologies [12]. Poor connectivity and reconnection to unstable electricity, insufficient digital infrastructure, and lack of a proper internet connection are significant obstacles to the continuous functioning of smart safety devices. The common industrial facilities especially in remote mining and construction areas are not equipped with the fundamental technological ecosystem to facilitate the real-time data gathering and AI-powered analytics. Such infrastructural constraints limit the capabilities of AI systems, which would make them less effective in the field of activities of proactive identification and prevention of workplace hazards. This difference highlights that context-rich methods on the development of AI-based safety in the workplace in Sub-Saharan Africa have to be considered [13]. Although AI has great promise of minimizing the number of injuries in a workplace within high-risk sectors, its applicability is subject to analysis of underlying technological and infrastructural concerns [14]. These issues are critical in the creation of sustainable, inclusive, and adaptive AI safety solutions in ways that reflect the actualities of the industrial settings of Sub-Saharan African contexts. This would help bridge the gap between the technology improvements in the world and the safety requirements in the local, which would eventually improve worker security as well as organizational stability.

Conceptual Overview of AI and Smart Safety Devices

Intelligent systems that control safety in the workplace are the combinations of intelligent algorithms and the use of physical sensors to observe the primary conditions in the workplace, evaluate possible dangers, and assist individuals in making decisions to avoid accidents and injuries [15]. In contrast to the conventional safety management models that use manual reporting and reactionary measures, AI assisted systems provide the opportunity of round-the-clock data-driven monitoring of hazardous spaces and threats, which enables the occurrence of hazards to be predictable and mitigated before they cause injury [16]. The main component of the AI architecture of industrial safety is the AI layer, a collection of machine learning models, data processing units, and decision-making models [17]. The layer grabs the heavy amounts of data that are created in the workplace, it figures out patterns and comes up with predictive information. Predictive analytics are at the heart of this layer since it uses past and real-time data to predict possible safety incidents. As an example, AI models can assess equipment vibration trends, temperature, human movements and forecast the possibility of machine breakdown, unsafe action, or environmental risk [18].

These anticipatory features transform safety management styles to being reactive and focusing on preventing and anticipating styles. The level of functionality provided by AI layer greatly relies on the way it communicates with sensor hardware, which is the main source of the information [19]. Environmental sensors, machine mounting gadgets, cameras and wearable technologies that workers wear will be included in sensor hardware. The wearable's include smart helmets, vests, wristbands, and biometric sensors, which capture real-time information on the location of the worker, his or her posture, heart rate, level of fatigue, exposure to unhealthy substances, and the distance to harmful machinery [20]. Environmental sensors indicate conditions that include gas levels, noise, heat, dust, stability of the structure whereas machine sensors measure parameters of the operation that indicate the possibility of a fault or unhealthy situation.

The connection between sensor hardware and AI layer is basically something that depends on each other [21]. The physical environment provides a continual stream of raw data which is logged by sensors and sent to the AI layer locally by edge computing or remotely by cloud-based methods. This data is then processed, filtered and analyzed using the AI layer to identify an anomaly, measure the risk level or come up with actionable insights. The outputs of AI, in its turn, can be fed back into the safety system, influencing how the workers act, setting up an alarm, or preventing a shutdown by taking precautionary measures. Such a feedback loop forms a self-responsive and interactive safety ecosystem. Wearable's technologies reinforce such a relationship by allowing workers to interact with AI directly [22]. Wearable's notify users of AI-generated warnings in real-time, by vibration, or visual means, shortening response times and removing the need to have a centralized supervising role. To the management, wearables and sensors deliver aggregated data to give them an overarching picture of the safety performance so that they can make informed decisions and specific interventions aimed at addressing the problem [23]. Predictive analytics also complement this process as they help to discover repetitive patterns of risk and long-term safety planning.

Technological Barriers

The use of smart safety devices that are controlled by AI in industries with a high risk is extremely reliant on the presence of reliable technological and infrastructural systems [24]. In Sub-Saharan Africa, there is still a number of structural difficulties that limit the efficiency and the sustainability of AI-based workplace safety programs to a considerable degree [25]. The instability of power and internet, the inaccessibility of localized data, and the fifth problem that is especially significant is associated with equipment durability, integration, and maintenance. All these together influence the perception of the opportunity and value of AI technologies in the workplace, especially in more dangerous industrial settings, both by the workers and by the management themselves. Power outages can be listed among the most crucial obstacles to the implementation of AI-powered safety mechanisms in Sub-Saharan Africa [26]. AI, such as wearable safety devices, smart sensors, surveillance, and real-time analytics platforms, are devices that need constant electricity supply to operate the best. There is a high number of power failures in most industrialized parts of Sub-Saharan Africa and especially remote mines, building and oil exploration, which are unpredictable. Such derailments undermine the real-time surveillance of AI systems, which make them not reliable in case of an emergency when the safety issue is more acute. Organizations cannot in most instances optimize the proactive capabilities of AI completely, thus its partial or intermittent implementation [27].

The problem of poor and erratic internet has been closely attached to the challenge of power. The AI-based safety systems are based on the continuous transmission of data to the cloud services or centralized servers where the data undergoes an analysis, an alert, and a decision-making process [28]. In most cases in Sub-Saharan African environments, internet services are not only characterized by low bandwidth, they are also very expensive and may not be available at all times [29]. A country or underdeveloped area with the location of industrial sites can also be often associated with poor network coverage that interferes with the data translation and slows the safety notification. Such connectivity gap compromises on the real-time responsiveness embedded in the AI-enabled safety that compels organizations to revert to manual or semi-automated safety measures. The instability of power and internet also affect the confidence of workers and management towards AI systems. In situations where intelligent safety devices go malfunctioning because of connection or energy problems, employees will think of them not as safeguards but as technologies that become a burden. The management might be reluctant to invest more in the AI technologies, which cannot insure stable performance [30]. Such, a self-perpetuated cycle of doubting, the high-technology safety solutions and continued use of effective low-technology reactive safety measures that have only limited protection in the high-risk environment.

The other significant issue that impacts AI-based workplace safety in sub Saharan Africa is that there are fewer locally and context-specific datasets. The AI systems are relying on extensive data of high quality to be able to identify patterns, anticipate risks and create credible safety insights [31]. The majority of AI safety models, though, are trained on datasets that were created in industrialized nations where occupational disease and injury conditions, legal regulations, equipment quality, and behavioral patterns of workers are not the same in Sub-Saharan Africa. The imported AI systems have therefore been found to lack suitability to local environmental conditions, including extreme weather patterns, informal workflow, infrastructure aging, and heterogeneous language and culture. The inability to predict localized risks restricts the validity and pertinence of AI-driven risk predictions [31]. As an illustration, the algorithms that were given safety training on standardized machinery and controlled workstations may not be effective in identifying hazards that involve older machines and tools that are commonly improvised in the Sub-Saharan African industries [33]. In the same way, AI systems can be unable to decipher the conduct of workers correctly under the circumstances when their cultural norms, communication styles, and safety practices are not relevant to the primary data used to establish those. Such mismatch will decrease the effectiveness of AI interventions, and can cause false alerts or missed risks.

Weak data collection and reporting systems in most of the high-risk industries on the region further increase data scarcity [34]. Injury logs at the workplace are usually missing, occasionally disorganized or undocumented because of the fear of punishment, non-enforcement of regulatory measures or nonformal employment. The lack of trustworthy historical data makes the use of AI ineffective in terms of ensuring proper training and responding to local safety issues. This establishes a reliance on third-party sources of data that further encourages technological reliance and constrains the establishment of localized AI solutions based on the regional exigencies. Besides challenges related to the data, the problem of equipments durability, integration, and maintenance can be considered another major challenge as AI is used in hazardous industries. There are already a great number of smart safety devices, which have been developed in the conditions of controlled industrial environment with moderate climatic conditions. Industries in Sub- Saharan Africa tend to work in extremely hot conditions, wet weather, dusts, precipitation, and rough landscape.

The wearable devices, sensors and cameras can wear easily under these circumstances causing frequent failures and reducing equipment life cycle. Failures of devices before their expected lifespan are also likely to raise replacement costs and reduce incentives to invest in AI safety technologies on a long-term basis. There are also significant challenges connected with the integration of AI systems with the existing industrial infrastructure. Sub-Saharan Africa has many workplaces with outdated machinery, and old safety systems that cannot be superimposed on the new AI systems very easily [35]. The lack of uniform digital systems makes it difficult to ensure a seamless integration of smart devices and the use of customized solutions makes the implementation more complex and expensive.

The management at times lack in the technical skills necessary to supervise such integration and the worker themselves might have difficulties adapting to hybrid systems of manual and AI-based safety systems. There are a number of interrelated factors that limit the implementation of AI-powered smart-safety devices in high-risk sectors in Sub-Saharan African countries. The problem of power and internet instability is one of the most significant issues, as AI systems need constant power supply and stable communication to monitor and issue warnings about any video surveillance. System outages and poor network coverage are common adversities that interfere with the operations of a system, diminishing confidence between the workers and the management [36]. The other important obstacle is the fact that there are a few datasets available locally. The majority of AI safety models are trained based on data in the developed nations, which is inaccurate when it comes to forecasting risks in the local industrial and environmental efforts. The result is a having false alignment of safety and decreased efficacy.

Infrastructural Readiness in High-Risk Sectors

Infrastructural Readiness for AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems

In high risk industries, the overall infrastructural preparedness of an organization and its working place accounts much when it comes to the successful integration of AI-based safety systems. Infrastructural preparedness denotes how physical, digital and human systems are prepared well enough to facilitate the implementation, functioning and stability of innovative software [37]. When it comes to the Sub-Saharan region, the infrastructural preparedness is a specific area that should be evaluated because the difference in the infrastructure is directly related to the successful implementation of AI-powered smart safety devices and the chances of their use to prevent injuries at workplace before they happen. AI-based workplace safety systems are based on physical infrastructural preparedness [38]. These are, among other things, availability of sound electricity, good workplace facilities, well-maintained machinery and favorable environmental factors to introduce technologies. The devices using AI as sensors, surveillance systems, and wearables need constant energy supply, would be installed, and a safe place to operate [39]. In the Sub-Saharan part of Africa that is characterized by high-risk industries, the regular loss of power, worn-out equipment and harsh environmental factors do not allow the stable functioning of these technologies [40]. Stable physical infrastructure is essential because AI systems will fail to detect constant monitoring and alert in real time, undermining the preventative capabilities of these systems and causing lower confidence among users.

The presence of digital infrastructural preparedness is also important in facilitating AI-based safety measures. This aspect will include the availability of internet, storage, software platforms and malware security measures. The AI-assisted safety technologies are based on the ongoing gathering, transmission and analysis of massive amounts of data used to recognize the hazards and forecast the risks. Lack of access to broadband, poor network availability and expensive data rates is a menace in most industrial areas in Sub-Saharan Africa. Poor infrastructure on digital platforms limits real-time analytics and makes actions to intervene against safety slow, requiring organizations to revert to manual or semi-digital safety approaches. Poor data management systems also have an impact on the quality and availability of safety data that compromises the accuracy and responsiveness of AI applications.

Human Infrastructural Preparedness

The readiness of humans to manage and use AI technologies effectively is associated with the presence of skilled workforce, digital literacy, and organizational involvement [41]. There are various trained safety officers, IT specialists; technically competent personnel must be in place to make AI-based safety systems successfully integrated. Sub-Saharan Africa in most of its high-risk industries is characterized by low exposure to technical training and capacity-building programs that expand a skills difference leading to adoption barriers [42]. Employees might be unaware of how to operate intelligent safety tools and the management might have trouble understanding AI-generated information and keeping a complex system. The lack of this gap decreases user acceptance and restricts the usefulness of AI-based safety measures into practice. The connection between the physical, digital, and human infrastructure is a decisive factor in defining general preparedness to the AI-based workplace safety [9]. System weaknesses in other components may nullify system effectiveness even with a comparably developed component. An example is that digital platforms can be present, however, in the absence of talented workers to operate the platforms and consistent electricity to run the platforms, the AIs will not be fully utilized [43]. On the same note, trained employees can never fully interact with the AI technologies without having a good digital connection or resilient physical infrastructure. Thus, infrastructural preparedness should not be considered in pieces, but as a system.

AI-based Workplace Safety Systems Reliability in Power and Energy

Reliability of power and energy of an AI-based safety system is one of the key factors in the effectiveness of the system in high risk industries [7]. Artificial intelligence-based technologies, such as wearable cameras, smart surveillance or cameras, real-time monitoring systems, and others need unbroken power sources to operate and deliver prompt safety interventions [44]. Disruptive power will negatively impact the capabilities of these systems to recognize hazards, provide proactive information and give warning to employees and managers [45]. Unreliable power is also one of the most urgent issues influencing the implementation of AI. Sub-Saharan Africa has a high number of industrial areas that have frequent setbacks, random voltages and unreliable energy supply. This instability may result in malfunctioning of smart safety devices, loss of information as well as failure during a critical operation. Wearable devices that could be used to keep track of worker health and safety in relation to dangerous machinery could record the information and prevent such data from being saved when there is a momentary power cut, leaving the workers vulnerable, which negatively affects their belief in the system. In the same vein, AI-based surveillance systems can be susceptible to failing to detect unsafe actions or equipment's encroaching upon real-time, which will reduce the predictive potential that defines the essence of AI-based safety interventions [46].

In an attempt to curb the effects of disruptions attributed to power, most organizations are trying to put in place power alternative mechanisms which can include generators, uninterrupted power supplies (UPS) or solar energy pillars. These solutions are temporary since they may alleviate things, but they are usually costly to purchase, run and upkeep at large scale. An example is generators that need frequent replenishment with fuel and service and UPS systems have minimal long-term assistance and rely on the same erratic grid to charge them up [47]. Solar solutions are becoming popular but they require a lot of initial investments, proper space to install and specialized technical knowledge to maintain them [48]. In resource-intensive sectors, these backup systems are usually constrained or insufficient to execute AI safety systems with a lot of frequent interruptions. Organizational preparedness and operational strategy are other indirect impacts of power instability [49]. Alternating outages prevent the coherence of the data collection process and system optimization, and it is hard to examine trends, identify patterns, or tune AI algorithms. Poor or unreliable data minimizes accuracy of the predictive models causing error of false alarm or missed safety threats.

In the long run, it can cause a loss of confidence among the workers in AI, since the frequent failures of the systems will lower the trust and make people suspicious of the usefulness of automated monitoring. To the extent power reliability is not established, the management might become unwilling to invest in additional resources to adopt AI, which would support the continuation of past, reactive safety approaches. Remote or off-grid industrial location, like mines, construction areas and oil drilling facilities is another problem where the grid power supply cannot be reliably depended on, or is highly intermittent [50]. Monitoring in such places is a continuous process that needs to be carefully planned to consider the energy infrastructure pitting several backup systems to maintain continuous functioning of the system. Despite proper planning, unpredictable power outages may occur as a result of extreme weather or seasonal changes or lack of infrastructure to support these systems, which of course complicates introducing safety mechanisms based on AI even more.

Digital Connectivity in AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems

The digital connectivity is one of the foundations of the AI-based workplace safety systems because these technologies are based on the real-time nature of the data stream to be monitored, analyzed, and reacted to [51]. AI-based machines like wearable sensors, surveillance cameras, predictive analytics platforms, etc. only work best in high-risk sectors like mining, construction, and manufacturing as they need a stable internet and network connection [52]. Digital connectivity is primarily easy in Sub-Saharan Africa, which is uneven and prone to disruptions creating substantial obstacles to the implementation and efficiency of AI-based safety systems [12]. The measurement of digital connection is actually critical in the comprehension of the real willingness of industrial institutions towards the application of these technologies.

Lack of network coverage is one of the main problems in the area. Industrial locations are usually remote/rural where the cell towers and broadband facilities are few or non-existent. Poor network coverage may result in the delay or breakage of the transmission of information through an AI-enabled device to a centralized monitoring solution. Wearable sensors measuring the movement of workers, whether exposed to dangerous conditions or experiencing physiological pressure might be unable to release real-time notices with periods of poor connectivity and enhance the prediction of the system [53]. AI-based surveillance cameras might fail to upload footage, initiate automatic actions in case of intermittent connection to the internet, and reduce potential to avert accidents before happening [54]. The issue of limit in bandwidth also intensifies the problem of digital connectivity. Video analytics, hazard detection in real time, predictive modeling among others are among the high-volume AI applications, which would need a significant amount of data transmission capacity [55]. With low bandwidth, processing of large-scale data sets has become sluggish, sporadic or impossible. This may lead to slowed down safety warnings, inadequate data processing and diminished accuracy of AI forecasts. Bandwidth issues tend to drive trade-offs: either by cutting down devices that are connected at once, or video feeds resolution, or using less information-intensive algorithms, which can negatively impact the effectiveness of the overall AI-based safety interventions [56].

Response to Connectivity Issues

Edge computing has been discovered to solve the issue of connectivity in low-connectivity areas. Edge computing is the processing of data on the device or local servers instead of sending all the data to centralized cloud architecture [57]. Through the calculation of risks near the location of data creation, AI systems can be used to trace risks, trends, and send alerts even at the time of a slow or disconnected internet connection. As an example, smartwatch computing devices could detect unusual worker behavior or environmental risks in the area and transmit information to be aggregated with the central systems when it becomes connected again [58]. This means that edge computing prevents reliance on stable network infrastructure and preserves the end of state of AI safety systems. Despite these benefits, there exist challenges towards the implementation of edge computing in Sub-Saharan Africa. Local processing involves the use of extra hardware, energy, and available technical skills to process devices, update algorithms and safeguard data. The small financial or human available organizations might experience challenges in deploying and maintaining edge-based solutions on a large scale.

In addition, edge computing needs to be combined with existing digital infrastructure and legacy safety systems, which may demand thorough planning and competence, which in turn justifies the role of infrastructural preparedness, in general [59]. The use of digital connectivity also shapes the perceptions of workers on AI-based safety systems and that of the management [60]. Poor internet and network connectivity may lead to frustration, lessened confidence in automated notification, and the growth of doubt about the worth of investing in AI-based technologies. On the other hand, trusted connectivity, via edge computing and well-maintained connectivity, promotes trust in AI interventions, adoption, and integration of predictive safety practices in everyday industrial activities.

AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems Data Management Capacity

The ability to manage data is one of the most important elements of AI-driven safety systems at workplace because the quality and availability of data, with respect to its security, can directly define the effectiveness of predictive safety interventions [61]. The application of AI-based technologies in the high-risk sector will be based on the constant flows of information provided by wearable gadgets, sensors, surveillance cameras and operation logs to identify dangerous situations, predict threats, and produce actionable insights [62]. This data is vital to integrity, reliability, and sustainability of AI safety systems, necessitating the possibility to gather, store, and handle them. However, there is a limitation to the practical application of these technologies in Sub-Saharan Africa where data management problems prove to be a great inhibitor. Any AI-based safety system is based on data collection infrastructure. Proper monitoring implies proper and systematic records of the conditions at the work place, behavior of workers, equipment conditions, and accidents. The data collection process in Sub-Saharan Africa, however, is not consistent in many areas of high-risk industries. Organizations tend to use manual levels of reporting, paper records or non-protected digital systems of entries that are unable to record important safety information in real time [63]. It translates into unfinished datasets, sluggish process of alerting hazards, and the limited capacity of the AI to provide timely and accurate predictive warnings. Poor collection is also a problem that prevents recognizing the repetitive safety risks or analyzing the effectiveness of interventions as a time progression.

Infrastructure covering data storage and processing is as well significant. The amount of information produced by the AI-based systems of safety is enormous, and this information has to be safely stored and effectively processed to facilitate real-time decision-making [64]. There are numerous organizations in Sub-Saharan Africa that are constrained in server system capacity, access to clouds, as well as data manipulative ability. Poor storage that results in the loss of data, corrupted records and limited potential of analytics poses a problem to the predictive accuracy of artificial intelligence devices. The lack of investment in the effective storage infrastructure negatively affects the capability to combine various sources of data including environmental sensors, wearable gadgets, operational logs, etc. into a system that will provide a wholesome evaluation of risks. Another major issue to the AI-driven occupational safety is the issue of data security. Sensitive data, such as health data of workers, worker location data, and data on worker equipment, ought to be secured against access, breach, and misuse by an unauthorized party [65]. Without effective security measures, organizations run a risk of leaking personal and business information and this is prone to legal, ethical and reputational impacts. Numerous industrial institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa do not have exhaustive cybersecurity policies or people who may know how to adopt and sustain secure management of data practices [66]. This weak spot is not only a threat to the privacy of the workers but also it minimizes the assurance of organizations using AI technologies on a large scale.

Human and Technical Skills for AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems

Access to human and technical skills in an organization is extremely important to the successful implementation and sustainability of AI-based workplace safety systems [67]. Although AI-technologies, smart sensors, and predictive analytics may improve hazard detection, injuries prevention, it remains essential and much-needed that a workforce with skills to operate, maintains, and works with these systems is present [68]. The shortage of human and technical skills in high-risk sectors in the Sub-Saharan Africa is a serious issue that has impacted the implementation, fidelity, and overall internalization of AI-driven safety solutions.

The supply of trained engineers, safety technologists and IT specialists constitutes a critical factor of human and technical preparedness. The safety systems that are based on AI will need subject experts who are familiar with the working mechanism of intelligent devices, have the capacity to set up and calibrate sensors, and are adept at using the results of the data to derive practical information. Such special know how is scarce or skewed in most companies.

The lack of trained staff makes it difficult to support the consistent operations of the system, troubleshooting of technical delays, and reaction to new hazards. With no proper in-house competency, AI systems have chances of underutilization or failure, which lowers their chances of accompanying injuries in the workplace. The engineers and safety technologists are in the forefront in ensuring that the gap between the technological capacity and the workplace safety practice is bridged. They conceive, oversee and analyze AI systems to attain conformity to realities of operation, industrial standards as well as regulatory mandates. In Sub-Saharan African industries, on the other hand, there is a shortage of qualified professionals in these regions, particularly in remote or other resource-constrained industrial locations [69]. Consequently, often organizations address external consultants, vendors, or foreign professionals to install, upgrade, and maintain AI-based safety technologies. The short-term benefits of external support in deal with technical shortages but overbuilding relies on external knowledge and delays, subsequent costs, and impedes the capacity development to handle systems independently.

The sustainability and scalability implications can also be relevant to the need to have external technical support.

There are risks of organizations not keeping up with the changes in the workplace, requiring more tailored devices to their local market, or timely reactions to technological failures. More so, a lack of expertise in-house limits the opportunities to implement staff training, safety awareness, and integrate the AI findings into the daily business decision-making processes [70]. This dependency over time may cause bottlenecks in the organization, diminish the trust of the workers into technology, and slow down the practice of proactive safety. Human and technical capacity is developed through the focus on education and training on the one hand and professional development programs, on the other hand. Organizations should develop engineers, technologists and IT staff that are not only skilled in AI systems, but also the rules of occupational health and safety [71]. Training the existing safety experts and operating personnel on data literacy, how to use the device and predictive analytics can make the systems much more utilized, faster to respond to and create confidence amongst the workers.

Collaboration with educational establishment, technical training facilities and industry bodies can allow the transfer of knowledge, development of localised expertise and minimise the reliance on external consultants in the long-term. Other than technical skills, management support and organizational culture is a major determinant of human readiness [72]. The management should also see the importance of using AI-based safety systems, allocate resources to training and growth, and encourage the engineering personnel to work together with the safety officers and the operational personnel. Also, worker engagement and participation during the system implementation contribute to acceptance to maintain AI tools as an extension of the current safety practices, as opposed to a source of confusion and resistance.

Industrial Logistics, Maintenance, and Sectoral Readiness for AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems

The maintenance and logistics of the industry are the key factor in the effective implementation of AI-based workplace safety systems because the employment of equipment, its timely maintenance, and the efficiency of the supply chain directly influence the functionality and reliability of the system. In the high-risk sectors of Sub-Saharan Africa, there is an unwelcoming environment of the implementation and sustainability of AI technologies because of the logistical problems, the reliance on imported machinery, and latent maintenance durations [73]. Not only do these problems increasing the time spent on installing smart safety devices, but they also lower the operation lifetime of the latter, which restricts the abilities of the organization to implement proactive safety mitigation measures successfully. One of the logistical problems is the reliance on the imported AI hardware, i.e., wearable sensors, smart cameras, and surveillance devices. The vast majority of AI safety gear of high quality is not localized, being mostly also produced in Europe, North America or East Asia [74]. The importation of these devices has long lead times, expensive transportation, and a risk of experiencing delays at customs or due to regulatory tariffs.

Due to it, the industrial organizations often experience disruptions in the rollout of systems and encounter challenges when replacing failed parts in a timely manner. Supply-chain gaps make these issues worse, especially in distant industrial locations, where the deliverability of vital safety apparatus and parts may be precise at any time. Further delays to the functioning of AI-based safety systems are caused by maintenance. Frequent repairs, servicing, computer software updates and technical repairs are required to make sure that devices are operating correctly and without fail. In most Sub-Saharan African manufacturing facilities, though, there is a lack of knowledgeable technicians, ineffective domestic service facilities, and the long-term reliance on outside suppliers to do the repairs [75]. This will tend to cause longer downtimes, poor predictive capability as well as in worst cases, system failure. The operational costs are also more because maintenance bottlenecks reduce the increase of AI safety technologies in many sites by organizations.

Organizational preparedness is tightly connected with logistics and maintenance issues since they directly influence the confidence of the workers and openness to AI solutions among the management [76]. Employees might feel inefficient or untrustworthy with intermittent or no-functioning machinery; and the management might stop acquiring superior protection devices in case supply-chain inefficiencies and delays in-repairs continue indefinitely. These gates can be overcome by enhancing local supplies chain, setting up regional maintenance centres and developing internal technical expertise of performing timely maintenance and troubleshooting. The sector-wise analysis gives additional information about the different degrees of preparedness to AI-based safety systems in the high-risk sectors. The oil and gas industry has a tendency to exhibit greater infrastructural, digital, and human preparedness owing to the capital intensity of its operations, and vulnerability to tight international standards of safety [77]. In this industry, it is more likely that firms will invest in consistent power solutions, strong connections, and qualified safety technologists, and the introduction of new AI-powered safety technologies will be relatively hassle-free.

On the other hand, the construction industry has moderate preparedness, having sufficient human resources and skills but with poor digital infrastructure and logistics facilities, especially in remote projects [78]. The mining industries demonstrate both the good and the bad: large scale mining firms are really well financially and technically equipped with the small or informal ones are plagued with power outages, poor connection, and maintenance loopholes. The least readiness is witnessed in manufacturing industries (especially in small and medium enterprises). They tend to have an obsolete infrastructure, no or restricted sources of AI hardware, and they rely extensively on foreign expertise to make AI-based safety interventions work in practice.

Comparative Global Practices

Implementation and adoption of AI-based workplace safety systems have been researched and used extensively in developed countries, such as the United States, the European Union, and South Africa [9]. These areas give useful insights into the technological, infrastructural, and organisational environment that AI requires to help mitigate high-development workplace injuries in hazardous industries. The analysis of these cases allows comparing them with the Sub-Saharan realities and discerning the lessons that can be learnt and applied to the local application of AI safety solution. The construction sector, oil and gas industries, and manufacturers are the primary industries in the United States where AI-based safety systems are applied [79]. Companies are using wearable sensors, real-time monitoring platforms, and predictive analytics to avoid accidents and help ensure that occupational safety regulations are followed. Stable and reliable infrastructure is key to this success such as uninterrupted power supply, high-speed internet and sound digital systems. Besides, the functioning, upkeep, and enhancement of AI technologies are supported by a number of qualified professionals: safety engineers, IT professionals, and data scientists. Uniform safety databases and stringent reporting guidelines also advance predictive potential which helps organizations be aware of repetitive risks, evaluate risk trends, and occasion proactive safety protection.

The effects of the European Union tend to follow the same patterns, where the harmonization of the safety regulations, standardization of the data, and the application of the AI to the workplace safety culture are prioritized [80]. The industries located in the EU enjoy the advantage of advanced logistics and the availability of local equipment supply chains, as well as thriving maintenance systems, which do not result in the AI devices experiencing downtimes. Effective cybersecurity regulations and multidimensional data management systems protect data of workers and make large-scale AI implementation a possibility. The EU businesses have also invested in training to build local technical capacity, so that they do not depend on external consultants and also enhance the sustainability of the AI driven safety interventions in the long term.

South Africa, being a more regionally congruent example, also demonstrates the potentials and issues GAI can have in industrial safety [81]. South Africa is home to major mining and construction and energy firms that have introduced AI solutions such as the predictive maintenance devices, environmental sensors and wearable health monitors. Such implementations enjoy enhanced infrastructure and regulations compared to most other Sub-Saharan Africa countries. Even in South Africa, the issues of remote site connectivity, the stability of energy supply, and the use of foreign equipment exist, which depict that the incomplete infrastructural gaps may restrict the effectiveness of AI-based safety technologies fully [82]. Comparing the cases to the Sub-Saharan African realities the discrepancies are enormous. A large number of countries in the region have difficulties associated with unreliable power delivery, lack of internet connectivity, lack of technical expertise, disjointed data handling and logistical issues. In contrast to developed countries, there is a relative lack of standardized occupational safety databases, and the maintenance of imported AI equipment may be late because of a lack of local experience. These loopholes prevent continuous monitoring, decrease reliability of AI insights as well as, diminish the trust workers and the management have in the technology.

The USA, EU, and South African experience can teach the localized implementation of AI with a number of lessons applicable in Sub-Saharan Africa [83]. First, an infrastructural investment is essential; intact power, good internet and storage facilities are the requirements before effective AI safety systems can be established. Second, training of the workforce and the capacity development should be emphasized as a way of decreasing the reliance on the outside resources and thereby a sustainable operation. Third, there is a need to develop more local and standard safety datasets to develop predictive models that are more realistic in terms of regional industrial realities. Fourth, edge computing and modular AI devices combine the benefits of hybrid solutions that could address connectivity as well as energy limitations in remote industrial locations. Lastly, a sector-specific model, i.e. making AI systems fit business logistical, environmental, and employee-specific conditions in every industry, contributes to better adoption and operational efficiency.

Emerging Opportunities

The implementation of AI-powered safety systems in the workplace of high-harm environments is faced with challenges, yet it has great opportunities, especially in resource-constrained settings, like in the Sub-Saharan Africa. The adoption of cloud-edge hybrid models is one of the most promising solutions that are used to integrate the computational capabilities of the cloud server with the localized and on-site edge processing. This hybrid-based architecture can make AI systems operate successfully even in the regions where internet connectivity or bandwidth are unreliable, making sure that monitoring is still conducted, predictions taken, and safety interventions are made in a timely manner. A major aspect of this hybrid approach is the Edge AI. The edge AI lessens the reliance on permanent internet connectivity through data processing and analysis that is done at the end of device or close servers. Wearable devices, sensors in the environment, and machine-mounted artificial intelligence can analyze data at the location, identify unusual instances or dangerous situation, and provide an instant alert to employees and managers. A wearable sensor tracking the physiological indications of a worker may detect fatigue, heat stress, or exposure to toxic substances at the local scale, and send notifications in real time without having to go through cloud-based processing. This not only will minimize the latency but will also enhance responsiveness besides enhancing the trust in AI-based safety systems. Edge AI also has its benefits in the area of privacy and security of data. Storing sensitive information on local systems or servers helps companies to reduce the operations or personal information passed to external networks and the risk of breach. This is especially relevant to Sub-Saharan African situations with potentially weak infrastructure relating to cybersecurity, and the development of regulatory measures in data protection. Localized processing will help in ensuring that important safety information is readily available and safe at the same time allowing synchronization with central systems whenever there is a connection to them. The other opportunity is in offline analytics. AI systems based on offline mode can remain to track the state of safety and gather and permanently store data and process it locally even at the time when the network is not working. When the connection is regained, the stored data may be uploaded to the central servers and undergo scanning of trends and aggregation as well as integration with the wider scope of the safety management systems. This will guarantee continuity of monitoring in even those locations where internet is not always present and eliminates any loopholes in safety checks which would hurt the safety of workers.

The complementary innovation is low-bandwidth AI models which allow AI systems to be used in resource-constrained locations to ensure the system is safe. The models are designed to fit with minimum data transfer conditions, which lessen the pressure on limited network infrastructures. Compression of models, quantization and selective data transmission are methods of compression enabling predictive algorithms to achieve accuracy with much less bandwidth consumption. This practically implies the use of AI-driven safety units on reliable working in rural mining areas, construction sites, or remote manufacturing areas where broadband is unreliable and costly. Models with low bandwidth also minimize costs of operations because it does not necessitate a costly data plan or large capacity cloud storage. The cloud and edge AI with offline analytics and low-bandwidth models develop into a flexible and resilient AI safety ecosystem. Lightweight AI models can be installed in organizations to deliver real-time inducement of hazard detection with references to the cloud system to perform complex analytics, past trend analysis, and the risk evaluation in the long term. Being a hybrid architecture enables industries with high risks in Sub-Saharan Africa to surmount infrastructural drawbacks like unstable electricity supply, bad connectivity, and technical assistance and continue benefiting the predictive, and preventative abilities of AI.

What is more, these innovations create the possibilities of scalable and sector-specific application of AI. Edge AI and low-bandwidth should be able to be adjusted to the unique operational requirements of diverse industries, such as mining and construction, as well as oil and gas, without the need to have a consistent infrastructure in all locations. This allows it to be adopted in stages so that organizations can introduce the first monitoring tools and advance to more advanced predictive systems as more resources, capabilities, and connectivity become available. These adaptive deployment models similarly promote worker engagement since the immediate benefit of safety is realized, and thus, worker safety awareness culture of trust and proactivity thrives.

Table 1: Summary of key barriers

Barrier	Explanation	Impact on Safety
Power & Internet Instability	Frequent outages and poor connectivity	Interrupts real-time monitoring
Limited Localized Datasets	Lack of context-specific data	Inaccurate risk predictions
Equipment & Maintenance Issues	Harsh conditions and limited technical support	Reduced reliability and adoption

Table 2: Sector-Wise Industrial Logistics, Maintenance, and Readiness for AI-Based Workplace Safety Systems

Sector	Logistics & Supply-Chain	Maintenance & Technical Support	Overall Readiness	Notes
Oil & Gas	Strong supply chains, access to imported AI hardware	Regular maintenance, trained technicians, external vendor support	High	Capital-intensive sector; follows strict international safety standards; proactive adoption of AI possible
Construction	Moderate access to equipment, some supply-chain delays	Limited technical support on remote sites	Moderate	Workforce skilled but digital infrastructure and logistics are uneven; AI adoption is partial
Mining	Variable: large-scale mines well-equipped; small/informal mines face supply issues	Maintenance often delayed, dependence on external expertise	Mixed	Large-scale operations show moderate-to-high readiness; small-scale mines face significant challenges
Manufacturing	Weak supply chains, high dependency on imports	Limited maintenance capacity, high reliance on external expertise	Low	SMEs dominate; out-dated infrastructure and limited digital/technical capacity hinder AI adoption

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of AI-Based Workplace Safety Practices in Developed Nations

Region / Case	AI Adoption Practices	Key Enablers	Challenges	Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa
USA	Wearable sensors, real-time monitoring, predictive analytics in construction, manufacturing, oil & gas	Stable electricity, high-speed internet, skilled workforce, standardized safety databases	None significant; well-established infrastructure	Invest in infrastructure, develop technical expertise, standardize safety data
European Union	AI integrated into workplace safety culture, harmonized safety regulations	Strong logistics, maintenance systems, workforce training, cybersecurity, data management	Minimal; partial integration in remote or small sites	Train workforce, develop robust data and cyber-security systems, ensure reliable maintenance and logistics
South Africa	Predictive maintenance, environmental sensors, wearable health monitors in mining, energy, and construction	Better infrastructure and regulatory support than regionally, skilled personnel	Remote site connectivity, energy instability, reliance on imported equipment	Adopt hybrid solutions (edge computing, modular AI), strengthen local infrastructure, reduce dependence on imports
Sub-Saharan Africa (General)	Limited AI deployment in high-risk industries; mainly reactive safety	Some trained staff in urban areas, partial digital infrastructure	Power instability, limited connectivity, insufficient human and technical skills, poor data management, import dependency	Prioritize stable power, connectivity, workforce training, data standardization, context-adapted AI solutions, sector-specific approaches

Conclusion

With the evaluation of the AI-based workplace safety systems in the high-risk contexts in Sub-Saharan Africa, it becomes clear that although the potentiality of smart safetys and artificial intelligence are very promising in terms of proactive injury prevention, the outcomes have to rely on the situational preparedness. Throughout the chapter, infrastructural, technological, human, and organizational attributes have been demonstrated to determine the introduction and effects of AI-enabled safety interventions. The results show that the infrastructural preparedness is not evenly distributed by industries and regions. The physical infrastructure, specifically, the stable power and energy provision became a prerequisite in the everlasting AI monitoring. Data collection and real-time detection of hazards are interrupted by frequent electricity failures and few backup options available and this Sabotages the safety of AI systems. Real-time analytics and cloud-based processing is constrained by digital infrastructure issues, including poor internet connectivity, bandwidth, and excessive data expenses. Such limitations restrict the active potential of AI and compel companies to stick to partial or hybrid protection systems and not completely automated networks.

Data management capacity is also identified as having considerable gaps in the chapter. Dislodged data gathering procedures, poor data warehousing and storage frameworks, and insufficient cyber security tools and policies combined with the lack of standardized safety databases compromise the precision and dependability of AI-based risk forecasting. AI models do not respond to high-risk workplaces in Sub-Saharan Africa without regular, high-quality, and localized datasets. Such data constraints have a direct impact on operational and managerial level decision-making, which supports the idea of reactivated workplace safety solutions.

Another important decisive element of readiness came out to be human and technical skills. Extensive reliance on the expertise of outside engineers and other specialists in safety and data services have caused a sharp shortage of trained specialists to adorn their systems, maintain them and optimize the structures. Although this reliance can be helpful in the early stages of deployment, it also brings up sustainability, scalability, and ownership of AI-based safety programs at the local level. Meanwhile, the long-term efficiency of the AI safety systems is further limited by other challenges in industrial logistics and maintenance, including: dependency on equipment imports and supply-chain gaps, and delayed equipment servicing. The analysis of sectors showed that some of them are more or less prepared, as the oil and gas industries are relatively high prepared, construction and mining industries are moderate and intermediate respectively, and manufacturing industry is least prepared.

The chapter still pinpoints critical opportunities that can be used to facilitate the deployment of AI locally. Practical solutions to resource-limited environments are cloud and edge hybrid solutions, edge AI systems, offline analytics and low-bandwidth AI systems. They allow continuous monitoring, lessen the use of stable connectivity, and adjust AI safety Systems to the environment of the local industries. The experiences in other developed countries, such as the USA, the EU, and South Africa, underline the essence of infrastructure support and development, workforce preparation, standard data management, and specific approaches to the sector. The results support the idea that AI-driven safety in the workplace of Sub-Saharan Africa is not restricted to the output of specific technologies but the ecosystem of such technologies. The solution to the processes of switching to proactive injury prevention instead of reactive safety practices should include a response to the gaps in infrastructures, building up human and institutional capacity, and embracing context-sensitive models of technology.

References

1. Tang, K. H. D. (2024). Artificial intelligence in occupational health and safety risk Management of Construction, mining, and oil and gas sectors: advances and prospects. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports, 26(6), 241-253.
2. Khurram, M., Zhang, C., Muhammad, S., Kishnani, H., An, K., Abeywardena, K., ... & Behdinan, K. (2025). Artificial intelligence in manufacturing industry worker safety: A new paradigm for hazard prevention and mitigation. Processes, 13(5), 1312.
3. Al-Dulaimi, J. A. E. (2021). IoT System engineering approach using AI for managing safety products in healthcare and workplaces (Doctoral dissertation, Brunel University London).
4. Saxena, V. (2024). Predictive analytics in occupational health and safety. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.16038.
5. Park, J., & Kang, D. (2024). Artificial intelligence and smart technologies in safety management: a comprehensive analysis across multiple industries. Applied Sciences, 14(24), 11934.
6. Svertoka, E., Saafi, S., Rusu-Casandra, A., Burget, R., Marghescu, I., Hosek, J., & Ometov, A. (2021). Wearables for industrial work safety: A survey. Sensors, 21(11), 3844.
7. Fazle, A. B., Prodhan, R. K., & Islam, M. M. (2023). AI-Powered Predictive Failure Analysis In Pressure Vessels Using Real-Time Sensor Fusion: Enhancing Industrial Safety And Infrastructure Reliability. American Journal of Scholarly Research and Innovation, 2(02), 102-134.
8. Ali, H. (2024). AI for pandemic preparedness and infectious disease surveillance: predicting outbreaks, modeling transmission, and optimizing public health interventions. Int J Res Publ Rev, 5(8), 4605-19.
9. Fiegler-Rudol, J., Lau, K., Mroczek, A., & Kasperczyk, J. (2025). Exploring human–AI dynamics in enhancing workplace health and safety: A narrative review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 22(2), 199.
10. Goralski, M. A., Tan, T. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence: Poverty alleviation, healthcare, education, and reduced inequalities in a post-COVID world. In The ethics of artificial intelligence for the sustainable development goals (pp. 97–113). Springer International Publishing.
11. Ben, S., Bosc, R., Jiao, J., Li, W., Simonelli, F., & Zhang, R. (2017). Digital infrastructure.
12. Andigema, A. S., Cyrielle, N. N. T., & Ekwelle, E. (2025). Artificial intelligence in African healthcare: Catalyzing innovation while confronting structural challenges.
13. Akpudo, U. E., Effoduh, J. O., Kong, J. D., & Gao, Y. (2024). Unveiling AI concerns for Sub-Saharan Africa and its vulnerable groups. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent and Innovative Computing Applications (pp. 45–55).
14. Armenteros-Cosme, P., Arias-González, M., Alonso-Rollán, S., Márquez-Sánchez, S., & Carrera, A. (2025). Advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning for occupational risk prevention: A systematic review on predictive risk modeling and prevention strategies. Sensors, 25(17), 5419.
15. Kruzhilko, O., Polukarov, O., Vambol, S., Vambol, V., Khan, N. A., Maystrenko, V., … Khan, A. H. (2020). Control of the workplace environment by physical factors and SMART monitoring. Archives of Materials Science and Engineering, 103(1), 18–29.
16. Shyam Sundar Bhuvaneswari, V. S., & Thangamuthu, M.

(2025). Towards intelligent safety: A systematic review on assault detection and technologies. Sensors, 25(13), 3985.
17. Trakadas, P., Simoens, P., Gkonis, P., Sarakis, L., Angelopoulos, A., Ramallo-González, A. P., … Karkazis, P. (2020). An artificial intelligence-based collaboration approach in industrial IoT manufacturing: Key concepts, architectural extensions and potential applications. Sensors, 20(19), 5480.
18. Pishgar, M., Issa, S. F., Sietsema, M., Pratap, P., & Darabi, H. (2021). REDECA: A novel framework to review artificial intelligence and its applications in occupational safety and health. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(13), 6705.
19. Arsénio, A., Serra, H., Francisco, R., Nabais, F., Andrade, J., & Serrano, E. (2013). Internet of intelligent things: Bringing artificial intelligence into things and communication networks. In Inter-cooperative collective intelligence: Techniques and applications (pp. 1–37). Springer.
20. Suryawanshia, S., Bhosale, D., Vishwakarmaa, S., Patila, K., Harwania, V., & Bhosalec, A. (2024). A comprehensive survey on smart wearables: Real-time health and workplace monitoring, alerting, and analysis in the industrial context. Transactions C: Aspects, 37(12), 2473.
21. Herglotz, C., Jabłoński, I., Karnapke, R., Shahin, K., & Reichenbach, M. (2025). Designing intelligent sensor networks: A comprehensive survey of sensing, edge AI, and 5G+ connectivity. IEEE Access.
22. Tito, S. A., Arefin, S., & Global Health Institute Research Team. (2025). Integrating AI chatbots and wearable technology for workplace mental health: Reducing stigma and preventing burnout through human–AI collaboration. Central India Journal of Medical Research, 4(1), 60–68.
23. Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). Leading-edge wearable technologies in enhancing personalized safety on construction sites: A review. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://ssrn.com/abstract=4641480
24. Tarek, J. H., Rahman, W. (2023). AI-driven cybersecurity, IoT networking, and resilience strategies for industrial control systems: A systematic review for U.S. critical infrastructure protection. International Journal of Scientific Interdisciplinary Research, 4(4), 144–176.
25. Ralph-Okhiria, O., & Alonge, I. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence to strengthen surgical systems in Sub-Saharan Africa. Academia Medicine, 2(2).
26. Nelson, J. O., Oladipupo, E. O., & Onuoha, N. P. (2025). Optimization of renewable energy systems using artificial intelligence in Nigeria: A focus on generation and distribution. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology.
27. Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K., & Hamouda, A. (2023). A roadmap for integrating automation with process optimization for AI-powered digital transformation.
28. Shaffi, S. M. (2020). Intelligent emergency response architecture: A cloud-native, AI-driven framework for real-time public safety decision support. The Artificial Intelligence Journal, 1(1).
29. •Santer, M. (2013). A model to describe the adoption of mobile internet in Sub-Saharan Africa (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).
30. Abedin, B. (2022). Managing the tension between opposing effects of explainability of artificial intelligence: A contingency theory perspective. Internet Research, 32(2), 425–453.
31. Beckley, J. (2025). Advanced risk assessment techniques: Merging data-driven analytics with expert insights to navigate uncertain decision-making processes. International Journal of Research and Public Review, 6(3), 1454–1471.
32. Faheem, M. A. (2021). AI-driven risk assessment models: Revolutionizing credit scoring and default prediction. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 5(3), 177–186.
33. Museba, T., Thwala, G. S. H. (2021). Adaptive machine learning algorithms for streaming data associated with concept drift (Doctoral dissertation, University of Johannesburg, South Africa).
34. Krausmann, E., Girgin, S., & Necci, A. (2019). Natural hazard impacts on industry and critical infrastructure: Natech risk drivers and risk management performance indicators. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 40, 101163.
35. Gaus, A., Hoxtell, W. (2019). Automation and the future of work in Sub-Saharan Africa. Konrad Adenauer Stiftung.
36. El Khaled, Z., Mcheick, H. (2019). Case studies of communications systems during harsh environments: A review of approaches, weaknesses, and limitations to improve quality of service. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, 15(2), 1550147719829960.
37. Radvanovsky, R., McDougall, A. (2023). Critical infrastructure: Homeland security and emergency preparedness. CRC Press.
38. Bajwa, A. (2025). AI-based emergency response systems: A systematic literature review on smart infrastructure safety. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://ssrn.com/abstract=5171521
39. Rosca, C. M., Stancu, A. (2025). Integration of AI in self-powered IoT sensor systems. Applied Sciences, 15(13), 7008.
40. Haq, G., Schwela, D. (2012). Transport and environment in Sub-Saharan Africa. Stockholm Environment Institute, University of York.
41. Morandini, S., Fraboni, F., De Angelis, M., Puzzo, G., Giusino, D., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on workers' skills: Upskilling and reskilling in organisations. Informing Science, 26, 39–68.
42. Amede, E. A., Woldesenbet, A. K., Bahiru, A. K., Tibebu, F. T., & Hailemariam, L. M. (2025). Transforming construction in emerging economies: Overcoming barriers to the adoption of industrialized building systems. Discover Applied Sciences, 7(7), 765.
43. Rani, U., Singh, P. J. (2019). Digital platforms, data, and development: Implications for workers in developing economies. Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 41, 263.
44. Chawla, J., Singh, H. (2025). AI techniques for remote monitoring. In Emerging AI applications in earth sciences: Challenges, impact and analysis (pp. 111–124). Springer Nature Switzerland.
45. Sheffi, Y. (2015). Preparing for disruptions through early detection. MIT Sloan Management Review, 57(1), 31.
46. Al Falasi, H. A. (2024). Predictive rescue system through real-time accident monitoring leveraging artificial intelligence (Master's thesis, Rochester Institute of Technology).
47. Ericson, S. J., Olis, D. R. (2019). A comparison of fuel choice for backup generators (NREL/TP-6A50-72509). Na-

tional Renewable Energy Laboratory.
48. Lewis, N. S. (2016). Research opportunities to advance solar energy utilization. Science, 351(6271), aad1920.
49. El Idrissi, M., El Manzani, Y., Ahl Maatalah, W., & Lissaneddine, Z. (2023). Organizational crisis preparedness during the COVID-19 pandemic: An investigation of dynamic capabilities and organizational agility roles. International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 31(1), 27–49.
50. Xu, C., Wu, J., Feng, H., Ibrom, A., Zeng, Q., Zhang, J., … Hu, Q. (2019). Operation of off-grid power supply system using IoT monitoring platform for oil and gas pipeline based on RESOC. CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems, 6(1), 12–21.
51. Ozobu, C. O., Adikwu, F. E., Cynthia, O. O., Onyeke, F. O., & Nwulu, E. O. (2025). Developing an AI-powered occupational health surveillance system for real-time detection and management of workplace health hazards. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 9(1), 156–185.
52. Bęś, P., Strzałkowski, P., Górniak-Zimroz, J., Szóstak, M., & Janiszewski, M. (2025). Innovative technologies to improve occupational safety in mining and construction industries—Part I. Sensors, 25(16), 5201.
53. Patel, V., Chesmore, A., Legner, C. M., & Pandey, S. (2022). Trends in workplace wearable technologies and connected-worker solutions for next-generation occupational safety, health, and productivity. Advanced Intelligent Systems, 4(1), 2100099.
54. Ardabili, B. R., Pazho, A. D., Noghre, G. A., Neff, C., Bhaskararayuni, S. D., Ravindran, A., … Tabkhi, H. (2023). Understanding policy and technical aspects of AI-enabled smart video surveillance to address public safety. Computational Urban Science, 3(1), 21.
55. Do, T. T. T., Huynh, Q. T., Kim, K., & Nguyen, V. Q. (2025). A survey on video big data analytics: Architecture, technologies, and open research challenges. Applied Sciences, 15(14), 8089.
56. Salhaoui, M. (2021). Smart IoT monitoring and real-time control based on autonomous robots, visual recognition and cloud/edge computing services.
57. Escamilla-Ambrosio, P. J., Rodríguez-Mota, A., Aguirre-Anaya, E., Acosta-Bermejo, R., & Salinas-Rosales, M. (2017). Distributing computing in the Internet of Things: Cloud, fog and edge computing overview. In NEO 2016: Results of the Numerical and Evolutionary Optimization Workshop NEO 2016 and the NEO Cities 2016 Workshop (pp. 87–115). Springer International Publishing.
58. Salamone, F., Masullo, M., & Sibilio, S. (2021). Wearable devices for environmental monitoring in the built environment: A systematic review. Sensors, 21(14), 4727.
59. Adepoju, A. S. (2025). Adaptive program management strategies for AI-based cyber defense deployments in critical infrastructure and enterprise digital transformation initiatives. International Journal of Research and Public Review, 6, 5599–5615.
60. Niehaus, S., Hartwig, M., Rosen, P. H., & Wischniewski, S. (2022). An occupational safety and health perspective on human in control and AI. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 5, 868382.
61. Mia, M. M. (2025). A review on the influence of AI-enabled fire detection and suppression systems in enhancing building safety. Review of Applied Science and Technology, 4(4), 36–73.
62. Hossain, M. I., Hosen, M. M., Sunny, M. A. U., & Tarapder, S. A. (2025). Implementing advanced technologies for enhanced construction site safety. American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions, 1(2), 1–31.
63. Ray, P. P. (2025). A review of TRiSM frameworks in artificial intelligence systems: Fundamentals, taxonomy, use cases, key challenges and future directions. Authorea Preprints.
64. Islam, A., & Chang, K. (2021). Real-time AI-based informational decision-making support system utilizing dynamic text sources. Applied Sciences, 11(13), 6237.
65. Saah, A. E. N., Yee, J. J., & Choi, J. H. (2023). Securing construction workers' data security and privacy with blockchain technology. Applied Sciences, 13(24), 13339.
66. Niyikora, E. (2025). Cybersecurity risk assessment methods for digital financial services in Sub-Saharan Africa (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
67. Trujillo, H. P., Castillo, J. C., Rangel, A. D., Patiño, O. F., Bautista, R. E. A., & Cárdenas, J. Z. C. (2025). The global impact of AI on workplace safety: Opportunities and challenges for the future of work. Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar, 9(2), 7500–7513.
68. Rangasamy, E. (2024). Achieving corporate sustainability using smart technologies with focus on safety management.
69. Lemiere, C., Herbst, C., Jahanshahi, N., Smith, E., & Soucat, A. (2010). Reducing geographical imbalances of health workers in Sub-Saharan Africa: A labor market perspective on what works, what does not, and why (Vol. 209). World Bank Publications.
70. Papagiannidis, E., Enholm, I. M., Dremel, C., Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2023). Toward AI governance: Identifying best practices and potential barriers and outcomes. Information Systems Frontiers, 25(1), 123–141.
71. Jarota, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in the work process: A reflection on the proposed European Union regulations on artificial intelligence from an occupational health and safety perspective. Computer Law & Security Review, 49, 105825.
72. Haffar, M., Al-Karaghouli, W., Djebarni, R., & Gbadamosi, G. (2019). Organisational culture and TQM implementation: Investigating the mediating influences of multidimensional employee readiness for change. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 30(11–12), 1367–1388.
73. Kuteyi, D., & Winkler, H. (2022). Logistics challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa and opportunities for digitalization. Sustainability, 14(4), 2399.
74. Turchin, A., Denkenberger, D., & Green, B. P. (2019). Global solutions vs. local solutions for the AI safety problem. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 3(1), 16.
75. Allais, S. (2022). Skills for industrialisation in Sub-Saharan African countries: Why is systemic reform of technical and vocational systems so persistently unsuccessful? Journal of Vocational Education & Training, 74(3), 475–493.
76. Attah, R. U., Garba, B. M. P., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024). Enhancing supply chain resilience through artificial intelligence: Analyzing problem-solving approaches in logistics management. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 5(12), 3248–3265.
77. Mehdiyev, S. A., Hashimov, M. A. (2024). Analysis of threats and cybersecurity in the oil and gas sector within the context of critical infrastructure. International Journal of

Information Technology and Computer Science, 16, 43–53.
78. Ogunnusi, M., Omotayo, T., Hamma-Adama, M., Awuzie, B. O., & Egbelakin, T. (2022). Lessons learned from the impact of COVID-19 on the global construction industry. Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 20(1), 299–320.
79. Arinze, C. A., Izionworu, V. O., Isong, D., Daudu, C. D., & Adefemi, A. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence into engineering processes for improved efficiency and safety in oil and gas operations. Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 6(1), 39–51.
80. Segate, R. V., Daly, A. (2024). Encoding the enforcement of safety standards into smart robots to harness their computing sophistication and collaborative potential: A legal risk assessment for European Union policymakers. European Journal of Risk Regulation, 15(3), 665–704.
81. Alnahhas, N., Yousef, D. (2024). GAI as a catalyst in national technology sovereignty: Evaluating the influence of GAI on government policy. In Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research (pp. 618–626).
82. Khan, M. S. (2025). The relationship between energy and development: Perspectives from developing countries with the role of artificial intelligence. In From resources to growth (p. 167).
83. Gwagwa, A., Kraemer-Mbula, E., Rizk, N., Rutenberg, I., & De Beer, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) deployments in Africa: Benefits, challenges and policy dimensions. The African Journal of Information and Communication, 26, 1–28.