SCIENCE SET
OPEN ACCESS PUBLISHERS

Review Article

Journal of Life Sciences, Systems and Technologies

Artificial Intelligence in Local Government: Opportunities, Challenges and Prospects

Ioannis Trigkas

Ph.D. Candidate, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Geology and Geoenvironment, Athens, Greece M.Sc. in Environmental, Disaster and Crisis Management Strategies, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Geology and Geoenvironment, Athens, Greece Specialisation: Strategic Spatial Development in Local Government M.Sc. in Economic and Regional Development Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Department of Economic and Regional Development, Athens, Greece

***Corresponding author:** Ioannis Trigkas, Ph.D. Candidate, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Geology and Geoenvironment, Athens, Greece.

Submitted: 09 September 2025 **Accepted:** 15 September 2025 **Published:** 22 September 2025

https://doi.org/10.63620/MKJLSST.2025.1003

Citation: *Trigkas, I. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Local Government: Opportunities, Challenges and Prospects. J of Life Sci Sys Tech, 1(1), 01-05.*

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognised as a cornerstone of digital transformation in the public sector, reshaping the way governments design policies, deliver services, and interact with citizens. Local governments, being the level of administration closest to everyday social needs, are uniquely positioned to harness AI in areas such as waste management, traffic control, urban planning, and social care. By enabling efficiency gains, cost reductions, and data-driven decision-making, AI can address long-standing administrative challenges and contribute to sustainable and inclusive governance. International best practices—from Barcelona's chatbots to Helsinki's predictive energy systems—demonstrate that AI enhances transparency, responsiveness, and citizen satisfaction when implemented effectively. In Greece, progress has been uneven. While pioneering municipalities such as Trikala, Athens, and Thessaloniki have launched AI-driven projects, ranging from smart mobility systems to environmental monitoring, most municipalities still lack the infrastructure, resources, and strategies to adopt such technologies. This digital divide risks exacerbating inequalities between urban centres and peripheral or insular municipalities. At the same time, AI introduces significant challenges, including technological and organizational constraints, regulatory and ethical dilemmas, and the critical issue of citizen trust. Experiences from other countries, such as the UK's welfare algorithm and Australia's Robodebt scandal, highlight the potential risks of bias, opacity, and inadequate oversight. This article examines the promise and challenges of AI in local governance, with a particular focus on the Greek context. It argues that successful adoption requires not only technological innovation but also coherent national strategies, citizen engagement, ethical safeguards, and effective use of European funding instruments. Ultimately, AI should be approached not merely as a digital upgrade but as a transformative tool for strengthening democratic legitimacy, improving service delivery, and fostering resilience in local governance.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Local Government, Greece, Digital Transformation, Smart Cities, Governance, Ethics, Public Sector Innovation.

Introduction

In recent years, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a cornerstone of digital transformation across sectors, including public administration. Local governments, as the tier of governance closest to citizens, are uniquely positioned to benefit from AI applications. They face mounting pressures: citizens demand more efficient services, fiscal constraints require resource optimisation, and climate change necessitates resilient urban governance [1, 2]. Against this backdrop, AI is increasingly recognised as a strategic enabler that can improve efficiency, accountability, and inclusiveness in local governance [3].

The Greek context highlights both opportunities and challenges. On the one hand, initiatives such as the gov.gr portal have

consolidated over 1,500 digital services, facilitating citizen interaction with public administration [4]. Municipalities like Trikala, Athens, and Thessaloniki have already launched AI-driven pilots, ranging from smart traffic systems to predictive analytics for environmental monitoring [5-7]. On the other hand, digital maturity remains uneven, with only 22% of municipalities implementing comprehensive digital strategies, leaving rural and insular areas at risk of digital exclusion.

Internationally, local governments have embraced AI as a means of modernising public service delivery. Barcelona uses natural language processing (NLP) chatbots to manage citizen requests efficiently [8]. Helsinki applies predictive analytics to reduce public building energy costs [9]. Singapore integrates AI into its Smart Nation strategy, using machine learning for transport planning and public safety [10]. These examples underline the scalability and adaptability of AI to different urban contexts.

The economic and social promise of AI is substantial. McKinsey [11] estimates that AI applications in the public sector could generate global value of up to $1 trillion annually. For municipalities, this translates into concrete benefits: reduced congestion, lower energy consumption, optimised policy interventions, and enhanced citizen satisfaction [12]. However, the promise of AI is accompanied by significant ethical, social, and regulatory challenges. Experiences such as the UK's welfare algorithm and Australia's Robodebt programme underscore the dangers of bias, opacity, and inadequate oversight in AI systems [13, 14].

In this light, the adoption of AI by local governments must balance technological innovation with ethical responsibility and social legitimacy. For Greece, the path forward involves leveraging European funding instruments (e.g., Recovery and Resilience Facility, Cohesion.

Policy 2021–2027) while ensuring compliance with GDPR and the EU AI Act. Equally crucial is fostering trust among citizens, strengthening institutional capacity, and developing a coherent national roadmap for AI in local governance.

This paper explores the promise and challenges of AI in local government with a dual focus: the Greek experience and international best practices. It seeks to contribute to the academic and policy debate by highlighting how municipalities can use AI not only as a technological upgrade but as a catalyst for sustainable, citizen-centred, and transparent governance.

The Promise of AI in Local Governance

Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds significant transformative potential for local governments, not merely as a technological innovation but as a strategic enabler of better governance, improved service delivery, and enhanced citizen engagement. This promise can be analysed through four dimensions: efficiency and resource optimisation, citizen-centred service delivery, sustainability and resilience, and innovation in decision-making

Efficiency and Resource Optimisation

AI technologies such as machine learning (ML), computer vision, and robotic process automation (RPA) can optimise operational efficiency by automating repetitive tasks, improving data management, and enabling evidence-based resource allocation [15]. For example, predictive analytics applied to urban mobility can reduce travel times by up to 20%, while smart lighting networks that adjust brightness in real time have been shown to lower energy costs by as much as 30%. In Greece, the municipality of Trikala has piloted AI-supported traffic management and smart lighting solutions, leading to measurable energy savings and improved citizen satisfaction.

Citizen-Centred Service Delivery

Local governments are the closest institutions to citizens and therefore most exposed to demands for accessible, responsive, and transparent services. Natural Language Processing (NLP) enables the development of chatbots and virtual assistants capable of handling large volumes of citizen requests, reducing bureaucratic burden and waiting times [16]. Barcelona's municipal chatbot responds autonomously to more than 80% of inquiries, significantly increasing the availability of public information. Similarly, Athens has experimented with AI tools for analysing tourist flows, which help design targeted mobility strategies and improve urban liveability.

Sustainability and Urban Resilience

AI contributes to sustainability by enabling smarter environmental management. Computer vision and IoT-based AI systems monitor waste container levels and optimise collection routes, reducing fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions [17]. Predictive analytics can also forecast energy needs in public buildings, helping municipalities reduce costs and enhance environmental performance, as demonstrated in Helsinki. Furthermore, AI supports urban resilience by modelling natural hazards such as floods or heatwaves, thereby strengthening civil protection and disaster preparedness.

Innovation in Policy and Decision-Making

Beyond operational improvements, AI supports evidence-based governance. Local governments generate vast amounts of data but often lack the capacity to process it effectively. AI-driven analytics allow policymakers to identify vulnerable groups, anticipate social needs, and evaluate policy outcomes with greater accuracy [18]. In Amsterdam, AI is applied to social data analysis to prevent exclusion and improve welfare interventions [19]. Similarly, in Thessaloniki, participation in the AI4PublicPolicy project has facilitated the integration of big data analytics into local decision- making.

Economic Potential and Broader Impact

Global studies confirm the broader economic value of AI in public administration. McKinsey (2022) estimates that AI applications in the public sector could generate up to $1 trillion annually by reducing costs and enhancing efficiency worldwide. For municipalities, this translates into tangible benefits such as improved service quality, budget optimisation, and greater citizen trust. However, realising this potential requires not only technological adoption but also the development of institutional capacity, ethical safeguards, and transparent governance mechanisms.

Challenges of AI Adoption

While Artificial Intelligence offers significant opportunities for local governments, its adoption is fraught with challenges that are technological, organisational, regulatory, ethical, and societal. These challenges, if not properly addressed, risk undermin-

ing both the effectiveness of AI systems and public trust in local governance.

Technological Constraints

AI systems require robust digital infrastructures, interoperability mechanisms, and high- quality datasets. Many local governments, particularly in smaller or rural areas, lack stable broadband connectivity or integrated digital platforms, which hampers large-scale deployment of AI [20]. Data quality remains another critical issue: municipal datasets are often fragmented, incomplete, or inconsistent, limiting the accuracy of AI models. Cybersecurity concerns further complicate adoption, as municipalities manage sensitive personal data that must be safeguarded against breaches in compliance with GDPR [21].

Organisational and Capacity Challenges

The successful integration of AI requires skilled personnel capable of managing big data, machine learning models, and ethical governance frameworks. Yet most municipalities lack dedicated data scientists or AI specialists. Financial constraints exacerbate this problem, as developing and maintaining AI systems entails high upfront and ongoing costs. Moreover, AI initiatives are often fragmented, implemented as isolated pilots rather than embedded in long-term strategies, resulting in limited scalability and sustainability.

Regulatory and Legal Barriers

The regulatory environment around AI is evolving rapidly. The EU AI Act (2023) introduces risk-based classifications of AI systems, imposing strict requirements for high-risk applications such as welfare allocation or biometric surveillance (European Commission, 2023). For municipalities, compliance entails new administrative burdens, including risk assessments, transparency obligations, and citizen notification procedures. The lack of legal clarity at local level may deter experimentation, as municipal leaders fear liability for unintended consequences.

Ethical and Social Risks

AI introduces significant ethical dilemmas. Algorithmic opacity—the so-called "black box problem"—makes it difficult to explain how decisions are reached, raising accountability concerns [22]. Bias in training data can reproduce or even amplify existing social inequalities, as shown in the UK welfare case where algorithms unfairly disadvantaged vulnerable groups. The Dutch childcare benefits scandal, where automated fraud detection disproportionately targeted families of migrant backgrounds, highlights the political and social consequences of algorithmic discrimination. Protecting citizen privacy remains equally crucial: without strict safeguards, AI-based surveillance tools risk infringing on fundamental rights.

Trust and Citizen Acceptance

Public trust is a decisive factor in the success of AI initiatives. Eurobarometer reports that 84% of European citizens worry about AI's lack of transparency, while 62% fear job displacement. In Spain, the over-digitalisation of access to social services triggered protests among elderly citizens who felt excluded [23]. Building trust requires participatory approaches, transparent communication, and mechanisms for redress when AI-based decisions affect citizens' rights. Cities like Helsinki have pioneered transparency dashboards, allowing residents to view which municipal services use AI and how decisions are made.

Uneven Digital Maturity

AI adoption risks widening the digital divide between technologically advanced municipalities and those with limited resources. In Greece, only 22% of municipalities have digital transformation strategies. Larger urban municipalities such as Athens and Thessaloniki are better positioned to access EU funding and expertise, while smaller rural or island municipalities face structural disadvantages. Without a coherent national framework and targeted capacity-building, AI could exacerbate inequalities in service provision across regions.

The Greek Context

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Greek local government reflects both significant progress and persistent structural limitations. Greece has advanced rapidly in central public administration through initiatives such as the gov.gr platform, which consolidates more than 1,500 digital services. However, local authorities (OTAs) display uneven levels of digital maturity, resulting in a fragmented landscape.

Pioneering Municipalities

Certain municipalities have positioned themselves as innovation leaders. The Municipality of Trikala is internationally recognised as a "living lab" for smart city initiatives, piloting AI-based traffic management, smart lighting, and citizen service chatbots under the Smart Trikala programme. Athens has deployed predictive analytics to monitor tourist flows and enhance urban mobility planning. Thessaloniki has invested in environmental monitoring systems and has participated in the EU-funded AI-4PublicPolicy project to integrate big data into policy design. These initiatives show how AI can be locally adapted to improve efficiency and support citizen needs.

Uneven Digital Maturity

Despite these examples, most Greek municipalities remain in the early stages of digital transformation. Only 22% have comprehensive digital strategies, with smaller and insular municipalities particularly disadvantaged due to limited financial resources, weak connectivity, and lack of specialised personnel. This disparity raises concerns of a growing digital divide, where citizens in technologically advanced municipalities benefit disproportionately from AI innovations, while others risk exclusion.

Institutional and Regulatory Environment

The Greek government has aligned its digital policies with EU directives, emphasising smart cities, open data, and interoperability. Funding opportunities exist through the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) and the Cohesion Policy 2021–2027 [24].

Yet local governments often face bureaucratic barriers in accessing these funds, as well as limited administrative capacity to design and implement AI-based projects. Moreover, compliance with the GDPR and the EU AI Act poses additional challenges, especially for small municipalities with limited legal expertise [25].

Opportunities for Scaling Up

Despite these barriers, Greece has unique opportunities to lever-

age AI at the local level. The availability of EU funds, combined with an emerging ecosystem of universities, research centres, and private-sector innovators, provides fertile ground for partnerships. The development of a national roadmap for AI in local governance—emphasising training, technical support, and citizen engagement—could bridge existing disparities. If effectively managed, Greece could use its municipalities as testbeds for scalable AI solutions with relevance for other European contexts.

Conclusion and Future Directions

Artificial Intelligence holds transformative potential for local governments, offering opportunities to enhance efficiency, transparency, and citizen engagement. However, its successful adoption depends on overcoming a range of technological, organisational, legal, ethical, and social challenges.

The Greek case illustrates this duality. While municipalities like Trikala, Athens, and Thessaloniki are advancing innovative AI projects, the majority of local governments remain constrained by limited resources and low digital maturity. Without targeted support, this uneven adoption risks entrenching regional inequalities and undermining the democratic promise of digital governance.

To Harness the Benefits of AI, Greece Must Pursue a Multi-level Strategy:

1. Strengthening Infrastructure and Capacity: Invest in digital infrastructures, ensure interoperability, and build local government expertise through training and partnerships.
2. Ensuring Ethical and Legal Compliance: Adopt transparent governance frameworks aligned with GDPR and the EU AI Act, embedding citizen rights and accountability in AI projects.
3. Promoting Citizen Trust and Inclusion: Engage citizens through participatory processes, ensure accessibility for vulnerable groups, and communicate clearly about the benefits and risks of AI.
4. Leveraging European Funding: Use the Recovery and Resilience Facility, Cohesion Policy, and Green Deal resources to support scalable AI initiatives in municipalities across Greece.
5. Building National Coordination: Develop a coherent national roadmap to avoid fragmentation, support lagging municipalities, and foster collaboration between local governments, academia, and the private sector.

Ultimately, AI should not be seen as a purely technological upgrade but as a tool for advancing sustainable, citizen-centred, and transparent local governance. If strategically implemented, AI can help Greek municipalities address pressing challenges—from climate resilience to social services—while strengthening democratic legitimacy and social cohesion.

References

1. Chlepas, N. (2011) Local Government in Greece. Athens: Sakkoulas.
2. OECD (2024) Governing with Artificial Intelligence. Paris: OECD Publishing. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2024/06/governing-with-artificial-intelligence_f0e316f5/26324bc2-en.pdf (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
3. European Commission (2021a) 2030 Digital Compass: the European way for the Digital Decade. Brussels: European Union. Available at: https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/europe-fit- digital-age/europes-digital-decade-digital-targets-2030_en (Accessed: 20 July 2025).
4. Ministry of Digital Governance (Greece) (2023) Digital Transformation Observatory Report. Athens: Government of Greece. Available at: https://minfin.gov.gr/en/organisation/political-leadership/minister-of-economy- and-finance/?utm_source=chatgpt.com (Accessed: 14 May 2025).
5. Municipality of Trikala (2022) Smart Trikala Annual Report. Trikala: Municipality of Trikala. Available at: https://trikalacity.gr/en/smart- trikala/?utm_source=chatgpt.com (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
6. City of Athens (2022) This is Athens – Polis Project. Athens: Municipality of Athens. Available at: https://athsense.gr/polis2/ (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
7. Municipality of Thessaloniki (2021) AI4PublicPolicy Project Report. Thessaloniki: Municipality of Thessaloniki. Available at: https://opengov.thessaloniki.gr/e- ypiresies/26-innovative-projects/37-apps4thessaloniki-2014 (Accessed: 4 June 2025).
8. Ajuntament de Barcelona (2022) Digital Innovation in Citizen Services. Barcelona: Ajuntament de Barcelona. Available at: https://ajuntament.barcelona.cat/digital/en (Accessed: 4 June 2025).
9. City of Helsinki (2022) AI Register: Artificial Intelligence Systems of Helsinki. Helsinki: City of Helsinki. Available at: https://ai.hel.fi/en/ai-register/ (Accessed: 3 July 2025).
10. OECD (2021) 'Singapore – National Artificial Intelligence Strategy', OECD AI Policy Observatory. Available at: https://oecd.ai/dashboards/countries/Singapore (Accessed: 4 September 2025)
11. McKinsey & Company (2022) The state of AI in 2022—and a half-decade in review. New York: McKinsey & Company. Available at: https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai- in-2022-and-a-half-decade-in-review (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
12. PwC (2017) Sizing the prize: PwC's Global Artificial Intelligence Study. London: PricewaterhouseCoopers. Available at: https://www.pwc.ch/en/publications/2017/pwc_global_ai_study_2017_en.pdf (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
13. Eubanks, V. (2018) Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. New York: St. Martin's Press.
14. Australian Government (2020) Royal Commission into the Robodebt Scheme: Final Report. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia. Available at: https://robodebt.royalcommission.gov.au (Accessed: 15 May 2025).
15. Russell, S. and Norvig, P. (2020) Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. 4th edn. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
16. Hirschberg, J. and Manning, C.D. (2015) 'Advances in Natural Language Processing' Science, 349(6245), pp. 261–266. doi:10.1126/science.aaa8685.
17. Szeliski, R. (2022) Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications. 2nd edn. Cham: Springer. Available at: https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-34372-9 (Accessed: 4 September 2025).

18. Mergel, I., Edelmann, N. and Haug, N. (2019) 'Defining digital transformation: Results from expert interviews', Government Information Quarterly, 36(4), 101385. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2019.06.002.
19. City of Amsterdam (no date) Amsterdam Algorithm Register. Amsterdam: Municipality of Amsterdam. Available at: https://algoritmeregister.amsterdam.nl/en/ai-register/ (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
20. European Commission (2024) Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 – Artificial Intelligence Act. Official Journal of the European Union. Available at: https://eur- lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1689/oj/eng (Accessed: 18 May 2025).
21. European Commission (2016) General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) – Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Brussels: European Union. Available at: https://eur- lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
22. Burrell, J. (2016) 'How the machine "thinks": Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms', Big Data & Society, 3(1), pp. 1–12. doi:10.1177/2053951715622512.
23. Eurobarometer (2025) Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work. Brussels: European Union. Available at: https://europa.eu/eurobarometer/surveys/detail/3222 (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
24. European Commission (2021b) Cohesion Policy 2021–2027. Brussels: European Union. Available at: https://cohesiondata.ec.europa.eu/ (Accessed: 4 September 2025).
25. European Commission (2022) Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI) 2022. Brussels: European Union. Available at: https://digital- strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/desi (Accessed: 4 September 2025).