

Artificial Intelligence and Anxiety: The Human Price of Adapting to a Smarter Workplace

Indumathi Sivanesan^{1*}, Bhanu Ranjan², & Simon Brent Bernie³

¹Student, Executive MBA S P Jain School of Global Management Singapore

²Assistant Dean, Executive MBA S P Jain School of Global Management Singapore

³Alumni, Executive MBA S P Jain School of Global Management Singapore

*Corresponding author: Indumathi Sivanesan, Student, Executive MBA S P Jain School of Global Management Singapore.

Submitted: 11 February 2025 Accepted: 17 February 2025 Published: 21 April 2025

doi <https://doi.org/10.63620/MKPJSSHR.2025.1025>

Citation: Sivanesan, I., Ranjan, B., Bernie, S. B. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Anxiety: The Human Price of Adapting to a Smarter Workplace. Planetary. J Soc Sci & Hum Res, 2(2), 01-11.

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping workplaces, driving the need for continuous upskilling and reskilling. However, this shift often comes without reducing existing workloads, placing immense psychological strain on employees. The resulting challenges include heightened stress, anxiety, and feelings of inadequacy as employees struggle to balance learning demands with daily responsibilities. Symptoms of burnout, exhaustion, and reduced motivation are aggravated by limited time for adaptation and the pressure to stay competitive. This paper explores the human cost of these dual demands. It emphasizes the importance of addressing workload management and support systems to create sustainable pathways for workforce adaptation in AI-driven environments.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Psychological Well-Being, Risks and Threats of AI, Emotional Impact, Burnout, Stress, Negative Emotions, Anxiety, Workforce Adaptation, Upskilling and Reskilling

Introduction

The ongoing evolution of industrial revolutions has continually reshaped the workforce and transformed the nature of work itself. Industry 4.0 (4IR) is now driving significant changes that redefine the relationship between humans, technology, and the workplace. The rapid pace of technological advancements, intensifying global competition, and significant customer expectations and demands shifts have decisively shaped organisations, making them increasingly complex and dynamic [1]. It is likely to alter the skill sets required in the workforce. As more organizations adopt robotics, automation, and Artificial Intelligence (AI), the demand for technological skills is expected to increase dramatically [2]. These technological advancements have optimized business operations and unfolded new possibilities for innovation and efficiency. However, the increasing adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation has led to rising concerns about job security and employee well-being, as employees find it challenging to keep up with the fast-paced changes in technology, all while grappling with uncertainties about their future job stability [3].

Digital transformation powered by AI and other advanced technologies has influenced individual, organizational, and societal levels, driving the need for adaptive digital skills [4]. Digital skills, defined as the dynamic abilities required to operate digital tools or software, are critical for the future of work. Governments, businesses, and educational institutions must collaborate to address the growing "digital skills crisis," a gap between the skills needed and the workforce's current capabilities [4]. A 2023 report by National Skills Coalition found that 92% of jobs analysed require some level of digital proficiency. Yet, approximately one-third of the workforce lacks the foundational digital skills necessary for success in these roles [5]. This digital skill divide highlights the critical need for targeted investments to ensure workforce readiness and economic equity.

Concerns about technological unemployment have long been a subject of discussion, as innovation profoundly impacts the workforce in complex ways [6]. Historically, technological advancements have driven significant wealth creation and productivity gains, yet they have also led to substantial disruptions [6-7]. As new technologies emerge, the nature of work evolves,

often displacing existing jobs while simultaneously generating new opportunities. According to the World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report (2024), 44% of employees' core skills will undergo transformation within the next five years. Businesses prioritize developing analytical thinking, creative thinking, AI, and big data skills, with over 40% incorporating these competencies into their training programs [8-10].

In line with these shifting demands, the 2024 Global Human Capital Trends survey conducted by Deloitte revealed that 25% of respondents voiced anxieties regarding the demand for new skills and job roles driven by technological advancements and business model shifts [11]. Additionally, workers identified other top challenges to human sustainability, including increasing work stress leading to worse mental health (53%), the threat of technology taking over jobs (28%), risks to physical safety or wellness (24%), the "always-on" economy (22%), digital monitoring without consent (22%), and a lack of connection in remote or hybrid work settings (20%) [11]. This underscores the need for organizations to proactively address workforce development as AI continues to reshape job requirements and skill sets. However, the rapid integration of AI into workplaces has also raised significant concerns about employee well-being. The Deloitte study also found that workers in highly automated environments experience significantly higher levels of anxiety, depression, and burnout compared to those in less automated workplaces. The constant adaptation to new AI-driven tools and job insecurity exacerbates feelings of professional inadequacy, isolation, and exhaustion, particularly in industries where AI has become integral to daily operations [12].

As AI technologies become more embedded into business operations, employees face the challenge of adapting to these changes, leading to significant stress, anxiety, and work exhaustion, commonly referred to as technostress [13]. While some studies suggest technostress may enhance productivity and innovation, it is essential to recognize the accompanying challenges, such as anxiety, fatigue, and sleep disruption [14, 15]. These adverse emotional responses can undermine employees' willingness to embrace new technologies and may lead to resistance or non-adoption of AI systems. Therefore, organizations must ensure that AI implementation strategies do not solely focus on the technological aspects but also consider employees' emotional and psychological well-being. A structured roadmap should align people, processes, and technology while fostering supportive environments that address mental health [16].

This study undertakes a comprehensive review of existing literature to investigate the emotional and psychological implications associated with adapting to AI-driven workplaces. In exploring the human price of embracing a smarter workplace, it critically examines how the integration of AI technologies necessitates that employees adopt new tools and workflows while simultaneously managing existing workloads. This dual demand often results in elevated levels of stress, anxiety, and emotional exhaustion, significantly undermining overall employee well-being [17]. Furthermore, the literature highlights the challenges posed by the need for skill development and adaptation to evolving job roles, as shifting skill requirements across sectors, such as healthcare, manufacturing, and financial services are experiencing significant changes in skill demand, driven by AI and

automation, contribute to feelings of inadequacy and isolation among employees [2].

By systematically identifying the emotional and psychological impacts reported in the existing body of research, this study seeks to establish a foundation for future scholarly inquiry. The findings aim to guide subsequent research efforts toward a deeper investigation of the identified areas of impact, fostering a more nuanced understanding of the human cost associated with AI integration in the workplace. Such investigations will advance the academic discourse on workforce adaptation in AI-driven environments and provide valuable insights into addressing these critical challenges in future empirical studies.

Literature Review

Transformation of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed modern workplaces, fostering constructive changes in how we collaborate, learn, and make decisions [18, 19]. AI is a driving force behind evolving "digital lifestyles" in the workplace, and it decisively influences the way critical decisions are made, directly impacting overall organizational performance [19]. Embracing AI is not just an option; it is essential for organizations aiming to thrive in today's competitive landscape.

Workplace Disruptions

The rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed workplace dynamics by enabling organizations to automate processes [11]. In the era of Industry 4.0 (4IR), where AI integration is central to enhancing operational efficiency and employee engagement, organizations leverage AI to streamline workflows; they also face the imperative of strategic workforce planning, which includes upskilling and reskilling employees to align with evolving job demands [6]. Moreover, integrating AI brings forth important challenges for organizations, particularly in allocating budget for training and development to empower employees with the skills necessary to succeed, as well as re-evaluating social safety nets and labour policies to support this evolving employment landscape [3].

While AI promises immense economic potential, its widespread adoption has created a paradox. On the one hand, it generates opportunities for high-skilled workers, enabling them to take on more complex and value-driven roles. On the other hand, it exacerbates anxiety and job insecurity, particularly among mid- and low-skill workers whose roles are more vulnerable to automation [12]. Studies indicate that adding one robot to manufacturing displaces an average of 6.6 jobs, with global projections estimating 20 million job losses by 2030 due to robotics alone [20]. Similarly, as AI capabilities advance, over 30% of jobs globally could be automated by the 2030s, threatening approximately 800 million occupations, particularly in routine-based roles such as data collection and machinery operation [21]. Additionally, Goldman Sachs warns that 300 million jobs could be affected globally by automation, demonstrating the stark divide between economic gains and workforce stability [20].

While these disruptions underscore the transformative economic potential of AI, they also highlight significant risks. According to a PwC study, AI is projected to contribute \$15.7 trillion to the global economy by 2030 through productivity gains and en-

hanced consumer demand [22]. However, these benefits are not evenly distributed. The global impact of AI varies significantly across regions, with advanced economies, such as the United States and Denmark, better positioned to leverage AI's potential due to strong digital infrastructure and skilled workforces. In contrast, low-income and developing nations face adoption challenges, risking further inequality. International Monetary Fund (IMF) projections reveal that 60% of jobs in advanced economies are exposed to AI, compared to 40% in emerging markets and 26% in low-income countries [23]. This disparity highlights the paradox of AI adoption: while it generates opportunities requiring advanced skills, it also heightens anxiety and insecurity, particularly among mid- and low-skill workers whose roles are more susceptible to automation [16].

Employment Theories

Technological Unemployment Theory

Advancements in technology, particularly in AI, have led to job displacement, especially for roles that are highly susceptible to automation. As AI continues to evolve and perform an increasingly broad range of tasks, the potential for widespread unemployment rises significantly [24]. By 2030, automation is expected to increase by 15%, resulting in over 30% of jobs globally becoming automated. This shift threatens approximately 800 million occupations worldwide [21]. Automation rates are projected to vary by region, with Africa at 24.60%, Asia and Oceania at 35.80%, Europe at 27.20%, Latin America and the Caribbean at 26.60%, and North America at 25.50% [21]. Despite this, the risk of technological unemployment highlights the urgent need for workforce adaptation, reinforcing the importance of upskilling and reskilling initiatives to prepare for an AI-driven future [21, 22].

Skill-based Technological Change Theory

Skill-Biased Technological Change (SBTC) theory asserts that technological advancements, particularly in AI, favour skilled workers by enhancing their productivity and increasing demand for their expertise while substituting low-skilled labour, thereby widening wage inequality [24]. Since the 1980s, SBTC has driven a consistent rise in the education wage premium, with wages for skilled workers growing at an average of 3.3% annually despite an expanding supply of skilled labour [25]. The theory highlights how non-routine, high-skilled roles requiring social skills, creative thinking, and problem-solving are increasingly valued, while middle-skill jobs decline due to automation. By 2026, occupations less likely to be automated, such as those relying on interpersonal and cognitive abilities, are expected to dominate the labour market, offering higher wages and better opportunities for workers with advanced education and training [24, 25].

While SBTC explains the growing wage inequality and the shift toward skill-intensive roles, critics argue that it oversimplifies the relationship between technology and employment. The theory focuses primarily on worker skill levels rather than the specific tasks performed, overlooking that not all high-skilled jobs are immune to automation, nor are all low-skilled roles equally replaceable [26]. Despite these critiques, the evidence supporting SBTC is strong, with technology inherently favouring skilled labour over time. This underscores the urgent need for widespread upskilling and lifelong learning initiatives to help workers adapt

to technological changes and bridge the widening gap in labour markets [24-26].

Job Polarization Theory

Job Polarization Theory explains how advancements in AI and automation reshape the labour market. AI-driven technologies often replace routine tasks, leading to significant job losses [27]. Simultaneously, the demand grows for roles requiring manual tasks but with fewer routine activities. This results in a polarized workforce, with employment opportunities expanding at the extremes and diminishing for positions [24-27]. These shifts deepen income inequality, as AI amplifies economic gains for those with access to capital and advanced technologies while limiting opportunities for others to attain financial stability [28]. Moreover, organizational focus on efficiency and cost control has intensified, leading to measures such as increased workloads, reduced wages, and stricter performance metrics [24-28]. These practices often deteriorate labour relations, lower employee satisfaction, and erode labour ethics, turning work into a source of stress rather than self-fulfillment.

Beyond economic implications, job polarization impacts workers' well-being and identity. The growing detachment of labour diminishes opportunities for creativity and personal fulfilment, causing many workers to feel unappreciated and disengaged [28]. As AI continues redefining work, addressing these challenges will require a focus on ethical labour practices, equitable skill development, and policies to mitigate the growing divide in employment opportunities and outcomes [24-28].

Deskilling

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation have led to significant deskilling, raising concerns about its emotional and psychological impacts on employees. Deskilling occurs when tasks once requiring specialized skills are automated or simplified, leaving employees feeling less competent and undervalued [6-19]. This phenomenon is not confined to a single industry but spans all sectors, from healthcare to manufacturing and service, where technological breakthroughs replace human expertise with automated solutions [14-28]. This shift in job requirements not only affects operational efficiency but also has far-reaching consequences for employee's mental health and career development. While AI enhances efficiency and reduces operational costs, it also transforms jobs, stripping away elements that previously required critical thinking and creativity, leading to a workforce with fewer opportunities to engage in meaningful, skill-based activities [29].

For early-career professionals, the challenges of deskilling are especially pronounced. Reliance on AI tools for routine decision-making limits opportunities to develop critical problem-solving skills, hindering long-term professional growth. These individuals may feel ill-equipped to adapt to the demands of a rapidly evolving job market, leading to frustration and a sense of stagnation [14-29]. Organizations must actively monitor and support employees' emotional well-being throughout the AI adoption process to address these challenges, ensuring a smoother transition and reducing potential negative impacts [19]. Ignoring these emotional cues can result in disengagement, reduced productivity, and high turnover rates [29]. To combat the negative effects of deskilling, organizations must adopt

strategies that balance the use of AI with opportunities for skill development and career advancement. This includes fostering a culture of continuous learning, ethical oversight, and open communication to ensure that employees feel valued and supported in their roles [29]. By addressing these challenges proactively, organizations can mitigate the emotional toll of deskilling and promote a more adaptive and resilient workforce [19].

Economic and Social Implications

The economic and social implications of AI integration are profound, requiring significant investments in workforce development and policy reforms. Economically, organizations face the challenge of designing effective training programs that address the unique requirements of AI collaboration, such as data literacy and machine-human interface skills [11]. For example, companies like Amazon have launched large-scale reskilling initiatives to prepare workers for automation, emphasizing both technical and adaptive skills [30].

Socially, the psychological resistance to change presents a barrier to AI adoption. Employees often associate AI with job insecurity and feel threatened by its implications [12-32]. To address this, fostering a culture of readiness and inclusion is critical. Governments also play a pivotal role in supporting this transition through accessible training programs and strengthened labour protections, which help mitigate disparities created by rapid technological advancements [4-33]. Organizations and policymakers can mitigate resistance and ensure a smoother transition into AI-driven work environments by creating environments that prioritize worker well-being and adaptability.

Psychological Impact of Artificial Intelligence

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the workplace marks a pivotal moment in the ongoing evolution of work, similar to previous industrial revolutions that reshaped industries, employment relationships, and societal structures [34]. With the technological shifts of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, more than 1 billion people are expected to require retraining by 2030, as 42% of basic skills for existing jobs have already undergone significant changes [35]. This rapid transformation underscores the urgency of reskilling and upskilling to adapt to AI-driven changes, retain positions, and maintain employability. At the same time, the psychological well-being of employees has become a cornerstone of organizational success, directly influencing productivity, retention, and overall organizational health [1-36]. However, these shifts also introduce uncertainties, stressors, and emotional challenges, testing the resilience of employment relationships and redefining the dynamics of work [15].

Technostress and Its Manifestations

Technostress, a term coined by Brod in 1984, refers to the stress caused by an inability to adapt to new technologies. As organizations adopt advanced information and communication technologies (ICT) to stay competitive, employees often experience stress influenced by their perceived ICT competencies [37]. Understanding technostress is crucial as it impacts both individual and organizational well-being in the modern workplace [16]. Technostress encompasses several dimensions, including techno-overload, techno-invasion, techno-complexity, techno-insecurity, and techno-uncertainty. These stressors manifest in adverse effects such as role overload, role conflict, reduced

job satisfaction, and employee disengagement, which can undermine the successful deployment of AI technologies [37, 38].

- Techno-complexity arises from the steep learning curves and significant efforts required to implement AI and ML systems. Managers and employees often struggle with the lack of technical skills and data quality issues, making AI adoption a stressful process [37, 38].
- Techno-uncertainty stems from the rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies. Continuous updates, system instability, and unclear long-term outcomes create doubts among employees and managers. This uncertainty often unsettles business teams as they face the unpredictability of technological advancements [16-38].
- Techno-insecurity stems from the fear that AI and automation might replace human roles. Even in organizations that emphasize augmentation over automation, employees frequently experience anxiety about their long-term job stability, contributing to heightened workplace stress [37].
- Techno-invasion, also known as Role ambiguity, is another critical manifestation of technostress. As AI systems automate routine tasks, employees must take on more complex roles, often without adequate clarity or training. This lack of understanding regarding new responsibilities creates confusion and frustration [37, 38].
- Techno-overload emerges as a dominant stressor, with employees fearing that AI technologies may eventually replace their roles. Even in organizations promoting augmentation rather than automation, the fear of redundancy remains a significant source of anxiety [12-37].

Anxiety, Burnout, and Job Insecurity

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in workplaces has brought about transformative changes, often accompanied by significant psychological challenges for employees, such as anxiety, burnout, and job insecurity. Anxiety is a predominant response to AI adoption, fuelled by fears of obsolescence and the constant pressure to upskill. It is characterized by worry and anticipation of negative outcomes, such as job displacement or difficulties adapting to new technologies [39]. A survey by Oracle revealed that 51% of employees reported concerns over skills obsolescence and unemployment due to AI, resulting in negative emotions like career threat, psychological anxiety, and panic [17]. Additionally, AI-driven workplace surveillance systems intensify performance anxiety, as employees feel constantly monitored and pressured to meet elevated standards.

Burnout frequently accompanies prolonged exposure to AI-related stressors. Defined as emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced personal efficacy, burnout leaves employees drained and dissatisfied with their accomplishments [40]. The high demands of AI-intensive environments exacerbate this condition, especially in roles requiring significant emotional and cognitive engagement [41]. Emotional exhaustion caused by adapting to new technologies reduces employees' coping abilities, while frustrations with unreliable AI systems and unclear job roles further deplete their resources [40]. Job insecurity is another pervasive challenge associated with AI adoption. Employees in highly automated industries often view AI as a threat to their career stability, particularly in routine or low-skill roles [12]. The uncertainty surrounding the long-term viability of their jobs causes

stress, diminishes job satisfaction, and increases turnover intentions[41]. Heightened awareness of STARA (Smart Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and Automation) intensifies job-related stress as employees worry about the sustainability of their roles amidst rapid technological advancements [41].

Cognitive Load Theory

Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) provides a critical framework for understanding how employees process new technologies and navigate the psychological challenges associated with learning in an AI-driven workplace. As organizations integrate Artificial Intelligence (AI) and advanced Information and Communication Technology (ICT) systems, employees face the dual pressures of rapidly upgrading their skills while managing existing job responsibilities [42]. This dual challenge increases cognitive demands, potentially overwhelming an individual's working memory and hindering learning. Managing cognitive load effectively is crucial for optimizing both learning and adaptation, as working memory has inherent limitations that affect an individual's ability to process and retain new information efficiently [43]. Effective learning in such environments requires balancing intrinsic cognitive load—stemming from task complexity—and extraneous cognitive load—arising from poor task design [44]. When cognitive demands surpass an individual's capacity, it often results in anxiety, stress, and diminished task performance, hindering organizational efficiency and employee well-being.

The interplay between cognitive load and anxiety further compounds the psychological challenges faced by employees in AI-driven environments. Research indicates that cognitive overload can resemble the effects of anxiety, resulting in impaired decision-making and heightened stress levels [45]. Anxiety, in turn, disrupts cognitive processing and negatively impacts working memory, which is critical for task performance under high cognitive demands [46]. Poorly structured learning environments exacerbate these issues by imposing unnecessary cognitive strain, hindering employees from engaging deeply with the material [47]. Furthermore, excessive freedom to explore complex learning content can result in cognitive overload, deterring meaningful engagement with the material [46]. In such high-stakes environments, employees are required to cognitively balance algorithmic capabilities and preferred outcomes, as they evaluate the utility of AI versus human-driven decisions [26].

Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory

The Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory provides an essential lens for understanding employees' psychological challenges in AI-driven workplaces. According to COR Theory, individuals strive to acquire, maintain, and protect valuable resources, including material, energy, conditional, and personal resources. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and related technologies in workplaces often threatens these resources, leading to stress and emotional exhaustion [36]. For instance, employees may perceive AI as a threat to their job security, career progression, and skill relevance, which are crucial conditional and personal resources. This perception, coupled with the uncertainty surrounding AI's impact, creates a spiral of resource loss that increases job stress, impairs cognitive performance, and diminishes psychological resilience [17].

STARA awareness, which refers to employees' perception of threats posed by smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms, exemplifies the psychological impact of AI integration. Employees with heightened STARA awareness often experience increased job stress as they face the prospect of job displacement, skill obsolescence, and declining organizational status. The "substitution effect" of AI, where human roles are replaced or diminished by technology, depletes resources such as income (material resources), self-esteem (personal resources), and career opportunities (conditional resources). These losses not only amplify emotional exhaustion but also reduce work-affective well-being, characterized by negative emotions such as anxiety, guilt, and low self-worth [17].

COR Theory also explains the cyclical nature of resource loss in the face of persistent job stress. Employees under stress must invest additional resources, such as time and psychological energy, to manage their workloads and adapt to new demands. However, this investment often leads to further depletion, creating a vicious cycle of resource loss that exacerbates emotional exhaustion and mental health risks [36]. The theory underscores that resource depletion is a significant stressor, and when employees perceive AI as a threat rather than an opportunity, their ability to replenish resources diminishes, further intensifying the psychological toll of AI integration.

Upskilling and Reskilling with Artificial Intelligence

The rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation has necessitated a focus on upskilling and reskilling employees to meet the demands of an evolving workforce. These processes are both critical in preparing individuals for AI-driven transformations. According to Dell, 85% of the jobs that will be essential in the future have not yet been invented. This raises the question of how to prepare for challenges that are not yet fully defined. Although the future remains uncertain, organizations can proactively safeguard their operations and employees, ensuring readiness for unforeseen developments [35].

Upskilling to Enhance Existing Capabilities

Upskilling involves expanding employees' current skill sets to adapt to technological advancements and evolving job requirements. As automation and AI reshape industries, skilled professionals must acquire new competencies such as programming, data analysis, and digital literacy to remain relevant. However, a significant portion of the workforce lacks these critical skills, leading to skill deficiencies and limited opportunities for those unable to adapt [7]. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report (2024) predicts that half of all employees worldwide will need upskilling by 2025 due to the transformative effects of automation and new technologies [48].

Upskilling programs often impose opportunities and challenges on employees. On the positive side, these initiatives enable workers to stay competitive, reduce job insecurity, and open doors to career advancement. For instance, STEM-focused programs emphasizing technical and entrepreneurial skills prepare individuals to thrive in AI-driven environments [48]. On the other hand, employees often face stress and resistance when required to learn new skills, especially if they lack confidence in their learning abilities or access to adequate resources. Effective training initiatives must be designed to address these challenges,

ensuring accessibility and fostering active participation among employees. Upskilling programs also play a vital role in bridging the gap between current workforce capabilities and emerging demands, enabling employees to effectively collaborate with AI systems and leverage advanced technologies [49].

Reskilling to Prepare for New Roles

Reskilling focuses on equipping employees with entirely new skills to transition into roles that align with emerging industry needs, particularly as AI and automation redefine traditional job functions. The World Economic Forum highlights that 65% of children entering primary school today will work in job types that do not yet exist, emphasizing the urgency of preparing workers for an unpredictable future [48]. This need is especially acute in labour-intensive industries, where routine tasks are increasingly automated, requiring workers to shift to more technical or creative roles [48].

Reskilling initiatives address this gap by fostering human-AI collaboration, ensuring employees are equipped to navigate the dynamic demands of AI-integrated workplaces. Organizations must prioritize reskilling efforts to prepare employees for AI-related roles, balancing the benefits of automation with the challenges of job displacement. This process involves designing work structures that support a collaborative human-AI workforce, promoting ongoing learning, and highlighting the complementary strengths of both employees and AI [11]. Robust reskilling programs not only mitigate the risk of job displacement but also empower employees to contribute effectively in transformed organizational landscapes [7].

Organizational Responses and Strategies

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the workplace has necessitated strategic approaches to upskilling and reskilling, involving organizations, governments, and educational institutions. These efforts are aimed at equipping employees with the competencies required to navigate an AI-driven job landscape. However, the process also poses significant emotional and logistical challenges, underscoring the importance of targeted responses and strategies.

Corporate Initiatives

Organizations are increasingly investing in upskilling and reskilling initiatives to prepare their workforce for the demands of AI technologies. Initiatives emphasizing technical skills such as programming, data analysis, and digital literacy are being implemented to bridge skill gaps and foster human-AI collaboration [11]. These initiatives are often accompanied by mentoring and coaching support to address the emotional challenges employees face during these transitions. Prominent examples demonstrate how industry leaders are tackling workforce transformation collaboratively. The AI-Enabled ICT Workforce Consortium, led by Cisco with members such as Accenture, Google, IBM, Microsoft, and SAP, provides a model of coordinated corporate action. This consortium is committed to training over 95 million workers globally within the next decade, addressing the rapid transformation of 92% of ICT job roles due to advancements in AI. Through its Job Transformation Canvas, the consortium identifies key skills like AI literacy, prompt engineering, and agile methodologies, enabling workers and employers to adapt effectively to AI-driven changes [50]. Companies like:

- Cisco aims to train 25 million people in cybersecurity and digital skills by 2032.
- IBM is committed to skilling 30 million individuals by 2030, including 2 million in AI-specific roles by the end of 2026.
- Intel has pledged to empower 30 million people with AI skills by 2030.
- Microsoft has already surpassed its goal of training 10 million individuals in digital skills by certifying 12.6 million people ahead of schedule.
- SAP is set to upskill 2 million workers worldwide by 2025.

These initiatives reflect the scale and urgency required to address the evolving demands of an AI-powered workforce. However, significant challenges remain, particularly in fostering inclusivity and addressing communication gaps regarding AI's implications for job roles. Transparent, continuous communication about career pathways and AI's evolving role within organizations is essential to fostering employee trust and adaptability [51].

Government and Policy Support

Governments have taken a leading role in promoting upskilling and reskilling efforts to prepare their workforce for the demands of an AI-driven job market. Initiatives like the European Reskilling Strategy and the Reskilling Revolution by the World Economic Forum (2024) aim to align workforce skills with evolving labor market demands, fostering collaboration between public and private sectors to create comprehensive training pathways [4-23]. However, significant challenges persist in ensuring accessibility and inclusivity. Marginalized groups, particularly in rural areas or economically disadvantaged communities, often face barriers to participation due to limited resources or awareness. A lack of clear communication about available programs further hampers their effectiveness. Expanding outreach, tailoring programs to diverse needs, and implementing robust communication strategies are critical to addressing these gaps and ensuring that upskilling initiatives reach all segments of society [51].

Efforts Across Regions Showcase Diverse Approaches:

- **Africa:** Programs like the African Union Digital Transformation Strategy and AI4D Africa Program focus on building AI capacity, promoting equitable workforce development, and fostering entrepreneurship [52].
- **Australia:** The Digital Skills Organisation (DSO) emphasizes creating AI-specific training programs, while the AI Action Plan supports businesses in adopting AI and reskilling their workforce [53].
- **India:** Comprehensive initiatives like Skill India and FutureSkills PRIME provide accessible AI training for economically weaker sections, complemented by advanced programs like IndiaAI Compute Capacity and the National AI Portal [54-56].
- **New Zealand:** The Digital Technologies Industry Transformation Plan and Skills Highway Program improve digital readiness and equip workers with foundational AI skills [57].
- **Singapore:** Flagship programs like TeSA and AI Singapore (AISG) facilitate targeted training and practical project experience, fostering an AI-ready workforce [58].

- **United Kingdom:** The AI Sector Deal and National Retraining Scheme prioritize reskilling workers impacted by automation, ensuring they transition effectively into AI-driven roles [59].
- **United States:** Initiatives like the National AI Initiative Act and AI4K12 Initiative integrate AI research and foundational education to prepare an inclusive workforce [60].

These regional initiatives highlight the diverse strategies governments are adopting to tackle AI-driven workforce transformations. However, common challenges like accessibility, inclusivity, and robust communication persist. Addressing these issues through transparent strategies and equitable outreach remains critical to maximizing the global impact of these programs.

Educational Institutions

Educational institutions play a pivotal role in preparing future generations with AI-relevant skills. By revising curricula incorporating AI, STEM education, and entrepreneurship, schools, and universities aim to produce graduates ready for a rapidly evolving job market [46-60]. However, a disconnect often exists between academic training and workplace requirements. Graduates may find themselves underprepared for practical challenges, leading to frustration and reduced confidence [61].

In response to these challenges, many higher education institutions invest heavily in upskilling initiatives to align education with industry demands. For example, universities are introducing micro-credential programs and modular courses focused on AI, data analytics, and digital skills, allowing students to adapt to technological advancements more flexibly [62]. These programs aim to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, ensuring graduates have relevant, market-driven competencies.

Emerging technologies like AI tutors foster equitable education by addressing teaching gaps and providing personalized learning experiences. For instance, schools are increasingly bolstering their teaching staff with educational AI platforms to complement traditional teaching methods. These tools enable educators to better support students, enhance critical thinking skills, and deliver tailored learning pathways, promoting engagement and improved academic outcomes [8-9]. Furthermore, the rise of generative AI has prompted universities to prepare students for a world transformed by this innovation. Institutions are focusing on equipping students with AI literacy, critical thinking, and ethical decision-making skills to navigate AI-powered environments effectively [10]. These efforts aim to foster resilience and adaptability in graduates, ensuring they thrive in AI-integrated workplaces.

The fast pace of technological advancements further complicates curriculum alignment with industry needs [46]. Strengthening partnerships between academia and industry can help bridge this gap by integrating real-world applications into academic programs. Collaborations with tech companies, internships, and experiential learning opportunities ensure students graduate with the practical skills necessary to excel in a rapidly changing job market.

Emotional Impacts and Communication Gaps

The emotional toll of adapting to AI-driven changes is significant, with employees frequently experiencing anxiety about job security and the pressure to acquire new skills. This uncertainty is often exacerbated when organizations, governments, and educational institutions fail to provide adequate support and clear communication, leading to reduced motivation, disengagement, and potentially higher turnover rates [12]. Organizations play a crucial role by fostering transparency about AI's implications for job roles and articulating well-defined career development pathways. Initiatives such as regular open dialogue, employee mentorship, and providing access to upskilling resources can mitigate resistance to change, foster confidence, and ensure smoother transitions during AI integration [63].

Governments can complement these efforts by implementing policies that promote psychological resilience through comprehensive support systems, including career counseling, mental health services, and public awareness campaigns. Programs aimed at retraining and reskilling can reduce the stress associated with job displacement, ensuring an inclusive transition for workers affected by AI-driven transformations. Educational institutions contribute by embedding emotional intelligence and stress management strategies into their curricula, alongside equipping students with the technical skills required for AI-centric roles. By integrating AI literacy with personal development programs, they prepare future workers to manage the pressures associated with technological evolution effectively.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT)

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) provides a strategic framework for fostering motivation, resilience, and engagement among employees during the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies in workplaces. Organizations can create a supportive environment that enables smoother transitions during technological disruptions by addressing intrinsic psychological needs- autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Autonomy refers to the need for individuals to act with self-determination, competence emphasizes the mastery of relevant skills, and relatedness reflects the sense of belonging within a group [28]. Satisfying these needs leads to enhanced motivation, well-being, and job satisfaction, whereas unmet needs result in diminished satisfaction and disengagement [64].

Organizations can implement SDT-aligned strategies to enhance employee adaptation during AI-driven transformations. Participatory decision-making processes, for instance, allow employees to contribute to discussions about AI deployment, fostering a sense of autonomy and ownership [28-65]. Providing tailored training programs that emphasize technical and practical applications of AI helps build competence, enabling employees to feel confident and capable in their roles. Research highlights that workplaces supporting autonomy through meaningful choices and constructive feedback promote higher employee satisfaction, engagement, and reduced turnover intentions [66]. Simultaneously, fostering a culture of collaboration and continuous learning strengthens relatedness, encouraging knowledge-sharing and teamwork, and creating a cooperative environment even amid rapid technological changes.

Relatedness plays a critical role during organizational transitions involving AI integration. Employees who feel connected to colleagues and supported by their teams exhibit enhanced resilience and motivation [66]. This sense of belonging buffers stress and encourages a proactive mindset toward challenges, facilitating smoother adaptation to new technological demands. Moreover, organizations that balance autonomy with structured goals help employees align their personal efforts with organizational objectives, reinforcing their psychological well-being [64]. By embedding SDT principles into their strategies, organizations not only meet employees' intrinsic needs but also foster an environment conducive to sustainable growth and innovation.

Ultimately, SDT underscores the importance of providing both the resources and a nurturing environment that supports employees' innate tendencies for growth and development. This alignment promotes resilience, psychological well-being, and intrinsic motivation, ensuring that the workforce remains productive and adaptable in an AI-driven landscape. These strategies contribute to the broader organizational goals of adaptability, employee satisfaction, and long-term sustainability [28-64].

Findings and Discussions

Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into modern workplaces has significantly reshaped organizational dynamics and individual experiences [67]. While AI has unlocked unprecedented levels of efficiency and innovation, it has also presented profound psychological and societal challenges. Employees frequently report heightened stress and anxiety, driven by fears of job displacement and the constant pressure to upskill. The emotional toll, commonly called "technostress," manifests as fatigue, anxiety, burnout, and diminished motivation, particularly in highly automated environments [68]. This phenomenon underscores the dual-edged nature of AI integration, where technological advancements simultaneously create opportunities and exacerbate workplace insecurities.

One of the most prominent challenges identified is the widening digital skills gap. Studies indicate that 92% of jobs now require digital proficiency, but nearly one-third of the workforce lacks foundational digital skills [5]. This skills gap limits workforce readiness and accentuates inequalities, with advanced economies better positioned to leverage AI's benefits than developing nations. Moreover, AI-driven workplace disruptions have led to job polarization and deskilling phenomena. While high-skill roles thrive, middle-skill positions are increasingly eroded, leaving many employees feeling undervalued and uncertain about their prospects.

Beyond the economic implications, the psychological impact of AI integration has emerged as a critical area of concern. Employees in highly automated industries report higher levels of anxiety and burnout due to the dual demands of adapting to new tools while managing existing workloads [68]. Cognitive overload, arising from the steep learning curves associated with AI, further amplifies stress and impedes task performance. These challenges are particularly acute in sectors with rapid AI adoption, where job roles and expectations are in constant flux.

The findings also highlight the role of organizational strategies in mitigating these challenges. Transparent communication and

robust upskilling initiatives are pivotal in addressing workforce anxieties and fostering adaptability. Organizations that prioritize emotional resilience and provide clear career development pathways are better equipped to navigate the complexities of AI-driven transformations. Similarly, government and educational interventions aimed at bridging skill gaps and promoting equitable access to training play a crucial role in fostering a supportive ecosystem for workforce adaptation.

Future Research Scope

- Investigate practical methods to balance the dual demands of upskilling and existing responsibilities in AI-driven workplaces. This research could explore strategies such as task redistribution, AI-assisted automation for routine activities, or time management policies to reduce employee stress and burnout.
- Study how AI-driven changes affect employees differently based on age, experience, gender, or job roles. For example, research could explore whether younger employees adapt more quickly to AI integration due to familiarity with technology or whether seasoned professionals experience heightened job insecurity.
- Examine the prevalence and progression of stress and burnout in industries undergoing rapid AI adoption. The research could focus on identifying specific triggers, such as steep learning curves or job ambiguity, and effective interventions to alleviate these issues.
- Explore whether targeted reskilling initiatives can alleviate employees' feelings of inadequacy and anxiety. Research could investigate the correlation between participation in reskilling programs and improvements in job security perceptions and employee confidence.
- Analyze why employees resist AI technologies, even when they are aware of potential benefits. This research could delve into misconceptions about AI, fears of job loss, and feelings of inadequacy, offering insights into how to foster a culture of acceptance and trust.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of existing emotional support systems, such as counseling, peer mentoring, or mental health programs, in helping employees navigate the psychological challenges associated with AI integration. The research could identify best practices for organizational support structures.
- Investigate how AI transforms traditional job roles and creates new hybrid roles requiring human-AI collaboration. Research could assess whether these changes help employees feel more valued or exacerbate feelings of inadequacy and alienation.
- Assess the accessibility and effectiveness of upskilling initiatives, especially for employees with limited prior exposure to technology. Research could explore whether current training methods sufficiently address the challenges faced by these groups.
- Study the disparities in AI adaptation challenges between developed and developing countries. For example, research could focus on how regional factors such as infrastructure, education levels, and economic resources impact AI adoption's psychological and professional cost.
- Conduct longitudinal studies to monitor how employees' well-being evolves as workplaces rely more on AI. Research could assess whether initial anxieties subside with familiarity or persist due to ongoing changes in job roles and expectations.

Conclusion

This study highlights the human price of adapting to the rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into workplaces. While Artificial Intelligence (AI) has unlocked immense potential for operational efficiency and innovation, it has simultaneously imposed significant psychological and emotional burdens on employees. The dual demands of managing existing workloads while continuously acquiring new skills create considerable stress, anxiety, and burnout [69]. These challenges reflect the often-overlooked human cost of transforming workplaces into smarter, technology-driven environments.

The findings emphasize that the process of AI integration must account for the employee's well-being, recognizing the complexities of adaptation and the emotional toll it entails. Organizations, governments, and educational institutions are collectively responsible for addressing these challenges by prioritizing workload management, fostering equitable access to training, and promoting emotional resilience [70]. The transition to AI-driven workplaces must ensure that employees are equipped not only with the necessary technical skills but also with the support systems to navigate these changes without compromising their mental health and job satisfaction.

This study underscores the importance of creating workplaces that balance technological advancement with humanity. By addressing the human price of adapting to AI, stakeholders can build environments where employees are empowered to thrive amidst technological change. Such workplaces will not only harness the potential of AI but also foster inclusivity, well-being, and sustainable growth, ensuring that the benefits of innovation are shared equitably and ethically.

References

1. Ausat, A. M. A., Shafiq, M. A., Wafik, D., & López, N. A. S. (2024). The Role of Transformational Leadership in Improving Employee Psychological Wellbeing: A Review. *Apollo: Journal of Tourism and Business*, 2(1), 148-157.
2. McKinsey, Company. (2022). What are Industry 4.0, the Fourth Industrial Revolution, and 4IR? McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/mckinsey-explainers/what-are-industry-4-0-the-fourth-industrial-revolution-and-4ir>
3. Semenova, A., Ozdamirova, E., & Zyryanova, S. (2023). The Future of Work: Automation, Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 451, p. 05011). EDP Sciences.
4. Lang, G., & Triantoro, T. (2022). Upskilling and reskilling for the future of work: A typology of digital skills initiatives. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 20(4), 97-106.
5. National Skills Coalition. (2023). New report: 92% of jobs require digital skills, one-third of workers have low or no digital skills due to historic underinvestment, structural inequities. Copyright 2024 National Skills Coalition. <https://nationalskillscoalition.org/news/press-releases/new-report-92-of-jobs-require-digital-skills-one-third-of-workers-have-low-or-no-digital-skills-due-to-historic-underinvestment-structural-inequities/>
6. Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation?. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 114, 254-280.
7. Khanna, P., & Sadhotra, N. (2024). Digital paradigm shift: Unravelling technological disruption in business. Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies (NMIMS). Retrieved from <https://sbm.nmims.edu/docs/2024/Digital-Paradigm-Shift-Unraveling-Technological-Disruption-in-Business.pdf>
8. World Economic Forum. (2024). Putting skills first: Opportunities for building efficient and equitable labour markets. Retrieved from https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Putting_Skills_First_2024.pdf
9. World Economic Forum. (2024). This AI tutor could make humans 10 times smarter, its creator says. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/07/ai-tutor-china-teaching-gaps/>
10. World Economic Forum. (2023). 3 ways higher education can prepare for the generative AI revolution. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2023/05/3-ways-higher-education-can-prepare-for-generative-ai-revolution/>
11. Bobitan, N., Dumitrescu, D., Popa, A. F., Sahlian, D. N., & Turlea, I. C. (2024). Shaping Tomorrow: Anticipating Skills Requirements Based on the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Business Organizations—A Foresight Analysis Using the Scenario Method. *Electronics*, 13(11), 2198.
12. Ali, T., Hussain, I., Hassan, S., & Anwer, S. (2024). Examine How the Rise of AI and Automation Affects Job Security, Stress Levels, and Mental Health in the Workplace. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 13(2), 1180-1186.
13. Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B. S., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2007). The impact of technostress on role stress and productivity. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(1), 301-328. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240109>
14. Rogan, J., Bucci, S., & Firth, J. (2024). Health care professionals' views on the use of passive sensing, ai, and machine learning in mental health care: systematic review with meta-synthesis. *JMIR Mental Health*, 11, e49577. <https://doi.org/10.2196/49577>
15. Wang, J. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence adoption on the psychological contract and job satisfaction of chinese employees - the moderator role of industry characteristics. *Interdisciplinary Humanities and Communication Studies*, 1(6). <https://doi.org/10.61173/pkmf8z05>
16. Chang, P. C., Zhang, W., Cai, Q., & Guo, H. (2024). Does AI-Driven technostress promote or hinder employees' artificial intelligence adoption intention? A moderated mediation model of affective reactions and technical self-efficacy. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 413-427.
17. Jin, G., Jiang, J., & Liao, H. (2024). The work affective well-being under the impact of AI. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 25483.
18. Goi, V., Ahieieva, I., Mamonov, K., Pavliuk, S., & Dligach, A. (2023). The impact of digital technologies on the companies' strategic management. *Economic Affairs*, 68(2), 1291-1299. <https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.2.2023.33>
19. Singh, A., & Pandey, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption in extended HR ecosystems: enablers and barriers. An abductive case research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1339782.
20. Fathi, E., MacKinnon, P. (2024). Is society ready for the impact of AI on the workforce? Centre for International Governance Innovation. <https://www.cigionline.org/articles/is-society-ready-for-the-impact-of-ai-on-the-workforce/>

21. Melemuku, S. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the associated threats on the human workforce.. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/amnyq>
22. PwC. (n.d.). Sizing the prize: What's the real value of AI for your business and how can you capitalise? <https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/issues/analytics/assets/pwc-ai-analysis-sizing-the-prize-report.pdf>
23. Pizzinelli, C., Rockall, E., & Tavares, M. M. (2024). IMF Staff Discussion Notes.
24. Du, J. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence adoption on employee unemployment: A multifaceted relationship. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Public Administration*, 2(3), 321-327.
25. Ferreira, A. (2020). Skill-biased technological change and inequality in the u.s.. *Notas Económicas*, (51), 91-107. https://doi.org/10.14195/2183-203x_51_5
26. Vermeulen, B., Kesselhut, J., Pyka, A., & Saviotti, P. P. (2018). The impact of automation on employment: just the usual structural change?. *Sustainability*, 10(5), 1661. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051661>
27. Bankins, S., Ocampo, A. C., Marrone, M., Restubog, S. L. D., & Woo, S. E. (2023). A multilevel review of artificial intelligence in organizations: implications for organizational behavior research and practice. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 45(2), 159-182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2735>
28. Chen, S., Wei, X., Jin, Y., Wang, J., Ma, X., Zhou, E., & Deng, P. (2023). Labor Ethics Study on the Employment Substitution Effect of AI-Based on the Perspective of Changes in Logistics Work. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3091042/v1>
29. Kirazci, F. and Buyukgoze-Kavas, A. (2024). Underemployment, work needs, and job satisfaction: does social support matter?. *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(4), 335. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14040335>
30. eBloom. (2024, September 16). Reskilling for the future: Lessons from Amazon's tech-driven workforce. <https://www.ebloom.be/en/post/reskilling-for-the-future-lessons-from-amazons-tech-driven-workforce>
31. Li, C., Zhang, Y., Niu, X., Chen, F., & Zhou, H. (2023). Does artificial intelligence promote or inhibit on-the-job learning? human reactions to ai at work. *Systems*, 11(3), 114. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11030114>
32. LaMastro, V. (1999). Commitment and perceived organizational support. In *National Forum of applied educational research journal* 12,(3) 1-13.
33. Dwi, M. and Hidayatullah, A. N. A. (2024). Positioning ai as a partner in work and action: a library research journal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1454>
34. Artkın, F. (2022). Applications of artificial intelligence in mechanical engineering. *Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi*, (45), 159-163.
35. Sawant, R., Thomas, B., & Kadlag, S. (2022). Reskilling and upskilling: To stay relevant in today's industry. *International Review of Business and Economics*, 7(1), 4.
36. Xu, G., Xue, M., & Zhao, J. (2023). The association between artificial intelligence awareness and employee depression: the mediating role of emotional exhaustion and the moderating role of perceived organizational support. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(6), 5147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20065147>
37. POTHUGANTI, S. (2024). TECHNOSTRESS: A comprehensive literature review on dimensions, impacts, and management strategies. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 100475.
38. Kumar, A., Krishnamoorthy, B., & Bhattacharyya, S. S. (2023). Machine learning and artificial intelligence-induced technostress in organizations: a study on automation-augmentation paradox with socio-technical systems as coping mechanisms. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, (ahead-of-print).
39. Novita, R., Sulastri, S. (2023). The influence of beliefs and anxiety in using artificial intelligence towards readiness to change with high performance work systems as a moderating variable. *Human Resource Management Studies*, 3(4).
40. Maslach, C., Jackson, S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of organizational behavior*, 2(2), 99-113.
41. Lestari, N. S., Rosman, D., & Millenia, E. (2023). The Association Between Smart Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and Algorithms (STARA) Awareness, Job Stress, Job Insecurity, and Job Satisfaction Among Hotel Employees During COVID-19 Pandemic. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 388, p. 03021). EDP Sciences.
42. Schnotz, W., Kürschner, C. (2007). A reconsideration of cognitive load theory. *Educational psychology review*, 19, 469-508.
43. Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory. In *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 55, pp. 37-76). Academic Press.
44. Paas, F. and Merriënboer, J. J. G. v. (2020). Cognitive-load theory: methods to manage working memory load in the learning of complex tasks. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 29(4), 394-398. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420922183>
45. Sarigiannidis, I., Kirk, P. A., Roiser, J. P., & Robinson, O. J. (2020). Does overloading cognitive resources mimic the impact of anxiety on temporal cognition?. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 46(10), 1828-1835. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000845>
46. Vytal, K., Cornwell, B. R., Letkiewicz, A. M., Arkin, N. E., & Grillon, C. (2013). The complex interaction between anxiety and cognition: insight from spatial and verbal working memory. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00093>
47. Peterson, A., Dumont, H., Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies: Key themes to analyse new approaches to teaching and learning (OECD Education Working Papers No. 172). OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9f843a6e-en>
48. Li, L. (2024). Reskilling and upskilling the future-ready workforce for industry 4.0 and beyond. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 26(5), 1697-1712.
49. Kanoria, E., Colaco, S., & Dungrani, D. (2023). The impact of upskilling and reskilling programs on job satisfaction and employee retention in the manufacturing sector. *Rabindra Bharati Journal of Philosophy*, 24(1), 55.
50. Cisco Systems, Inc. (2024). AI and the workforce: Industry report calls for reskilling and upskilling as 92 percent of technology roles evolve. <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/ai-and-the-workforce-industry-report-calls-for-reskilling-and-upskilling-as-92-percent-of-technology-roles-evolve-302210629.html>

51. Babashahi, L., Barbosa, C. E., Lima, Y., Lyra, A., Salazar, H., Argôlo, M., ... & Souza, J. M. D. (2024). AI in the Workplace: A Systematic Review of Skill Transformation in the Industry. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(6), 127.
52. African Union. (n.d.). Digital transformation strategy for Africa (2020–2030). https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/38507-doc-DTS_for_Africa_2020-2030_English.pdf
53. Department of Employment and Workplace Relations. (2023). Digital Skills Organisation Pilot. <https://www.dewr.gov.au/skills-organisations/digital-skills-organisation-pilot>.
54. INDIAai. (n.d.). Resources & learning tools to expand your understanding of artificial intelligence (AI). <https://indiaai.gov.in/learning>
55. PMIndia. (2024). Cabinet approves ambitious IndiaAI mission to strengthen the AI innovation ecosystem. https://www.pmindia.gov.in/en/news_updates/cabinet-approves-ambitious-indiaai-mission-to-strengthen-the-ai-innovation-ecosystem/
56. Varthana. (2023). Upskilling and reskilling strategies for career growth amidst job market disruption in India. <https://varthana.com/student/upskilling-and-reskilling-strategies-for-career-growth-amidst-job-market-disruption-in-india/>
57. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. (2023). Growing innovative industries in New Zealand: Digital technologies industry transformation plan. <https://www.mbie.govt.nz/assets/digital-technologies-industry-transformation-plan.pdf>
58. Infocomm Media Development Authority. (2024). TechSkills Accelerator (TeSA). <https://www.imda.gov.sg/how-we-can-help/techskills-accelerator-tesa>
59. UK Government. (n.d.). AI sector deal. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/artificial-intelligence-sector-deal/ai-sector-deal>
60. United States Patent and Trademark Office. (n.d.). National Artificial Intelligence Initiative: Overview. <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/National-Artificial-Intelligence-Initiative-Overview.pdf>
61. Deb, P., Li, G. (2024). Upskilling the UK workforce. *International Monetary Fund, Selected Issues Paper*, 30.
62. EdTech Magazine. (2022). Why higher education institutions are investing in upskilling. Elkahoulout, M., Karaja, M. B., Elsharif, A. A., Dheir, I. M., Abunasser, B. S., & Abu-Naser, S. S. (2024). AI-Driven Organizational Change: Transforming Structures and Processes in the Modern Workplace.
63. Xia, M. (2023). Co-working with AI is a double-sword in Technostress? An integrative review of Human-AI collaboration from a holistic process of Technostress. In *SHS Web of Conferences* (Vol. 155, p. 03022). EDP Sciences.
64. <https://edtechmagazine.com/higher/article/2022/02/why-higher-education-institutions-are-investing-upskilling>
65. DeVry University. (2024). Closing the gap: Upskilling and reskilling in an AI era. DeVry University. Retrieved from https://www.devry.edu/content/dam/devry_edu/newsroom/2024-devry-ai-report.pdf
66. De Vos, A., Van der Heijden, B. I., & Akkermans, J. (2020). Sustainable careers: Towards a conceptual model. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 117, 103196.
67. Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-determination theory in work organizations: The state of a science. *Annual review of organizational psychology and organizational behavior*, 4(1), 19-43.
68. Haivas, S., Hofmans, J., & Pepermans, R. (2012). Self-determination theory as a framework for exploring the impact of the organizational context on volunteer motivation: A study of Romanian volunteers. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 41(6), 1195-1214.
69. Mer, A., Viridi, A. S. (2023). Navigating the paradigm shift in HRM practices through the lens of artificial intelligence: A post-pandemic perspective. The adoption and effect of artificial intelligence on human resources management, 123-154.
70. Ekuma, K. (2023). Rethinking upskilling and reskilling in the age of ai and automation: a fsqca approach.. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202309.0055.v1>