

Analysis of the Influence of Infrastructure Development on Economic Growth in Djibouti

Abdorahman Abdillahi Waberi

Department School of Management, Dalian Polytechnic University, China

*Corresponding author: Abdorahman Abdillahi Waberi, Department School of Management, Dalian Polytechnic University, China

Submitted: 10 July 2024 Accepted: 15 July 2024 Published: 22 July 2024

 <https://doi.org/10.63620/MKSSJER.2024.1043>

Citation: Waberi, A. A. (2024). Analysis of the Influence of Infrastructure Development on Economic Growth in Djibouti. *Sci Set J of Economics Res*, 3(4), 01-14.

Abstract

Infrastructure such as transport, electricity, telecommunications, and sanitation are indispensable drivers for improving the country's social and economic development. The industrial, agricultural, fisheries and service sectors are also key tools for the country's economic growth. This study aims to analyze the influence of infrastructure development on Djibouti's economic growth and determine the other economic sectors. This study employs multiple regression analyses from Eviews Software to establish a correlation between these variables and Djibouti's economic growth, utilizing data spanning from the period 2013 to 2022. The results reveals that mobile cell phone subscriptions, and the people using the health service management, industry, and service have a positive and highly significant influence on Djibouti's economic growth.

The study found that access to electricity has a positive influence on economic growth in Djibouti but is not statistically significant. In addition, the study shows that agriculture, forestry, and fisheries have a negative influence on economic growth in Djibouti but are statistically significant. Therefore, we recommend that the Government of Djibouti strengthen and ensure the benefit of developing electricity infrastructure in Djibouti and the agriculture, forestry, and fisheries sectors to achieve sustainable economic growth in the coming years.

Keywords: Infrastructure Development, Economic Growth, Djibouti and other Variables Sectors

Introduction

Infrastructure development is essential for the functioning of the country's economic activities and is also an essential pillar for the country's development. Improving infrastructure plays a crucial role in creating opportunities that enhance social living conditions and contribute to the country's economic growth. Infrastructure development is capital to increase the economic productivity of a region [1, 2].

In recent decades, the country's adequate infrastructure has helped to attract foreign investment and reduce poverty through the creation of direct and indirect jobs. According to Bhattacharya et al., infrastructure drives sustainable development because it is a key driver of poverty reduction and economic growth. Similarly, the studies done by Srinivasu and Rao also argued that infrastructure plays a crucial role in contributing to poverty

reduction and economic growth. The modernization of the country's various infrastructure facilities encourages growth because it attracts businesses to increase their activity while also supporting social and economic development.

The development of infrastructure such as electricity, transport, telecommunication, water, and sanitation are important to increase competitiveness and economic development in a country. Some studies, such as in China by Du et al., demonstrate that infrastructure investment promotes the quality of economic growth in China.

In recent years, Djibouti's economic growth has encountered numerous regional challenges, including the internal conflict in Ethiopia, which has resulted in a decrease in various port activities in Djibouti. According to the World Bank's collection of

development indicators, Djibouti's container throughput was 812 560 TEUs in 2020, decreasing to 692 000 TEUs in 2021. Moreover, the port of Djibouti handles about 95% of Ethiopia's import-export businesses [3].

Data collected by the World Bank indicates that Djibouti's GDP growth has rebounded to 4.3 percent in , up from 0.5 percent in 2020. According to data from the World Bank, health challenges such as the COVID 19 movement restriction in 2020 and the war between Russia and Ukraine negatively impact Djibouti's economic growth. Numerous studies, including those by Fosu & Twumasi, Pan, and Ardiansyah et al., have demonstrated the positive and significant impact of infrastructure on economic growth. Additionally, previous studies conducted in China by Shi et al., Peru by Urrunaga et al., and India by Sahoo and Dash have also investigated the role or development of infrastructure on economic growth.

Infrastructure such as electricity, telecommunications, transportation, and sanitation play a crucial role in promoting the development of the country. The lack of a country's effective infrastructure causes severe growth, poverty, and a decrease in the country's essential economic activity. Approximately, 4 billion people live without access to the Internet [4]. Recently, many countries have faced obstacles that increase the lack of access to adequate infrastructure, especially African countries, which generally disrupt the country's economic development. In 2021, 675 million people still lacked access to electricity [5].

According to data collected from SDG 7, the majority of these people (estimated 567 million) who have no access to electricity are in sub-Saharan Africa, which explains that more than 80 percent of the world's population does not have access to electricity. Previous empirical studies, such as those conducted in Nigeria by Oyetade and Applanaidu and Sri Lanka by Anusha and Vije-sandiran, have also investigated the effects of agricultural sector determinants. Several researchers in China, including Yang et al., Lin and Chiu, Wang et al., and others, have explored the impact of infrastructure.

Similarly, previous studies conducted by researchers also studied the impact on infrastructure to promote development [6- 10]. The majority of these studies mentioned above have shown that infrastructure can have a negative or positive impact on economic growth. Some authors have recently conducted studies on similar topics, examining the relationship between economic growth and infrastructure and electricity consumption [6-12].

In addition, numerous studies also found that infrastructure supports the country's economic growth and development [13-17]. Studies such as have also explained the role of public infrastructure in economic growth [18, 21]. Some authors have argued that infrastructure such as telecommunications, and electricity consumption in China and Nigeria has a significant and determinant effect on economic growth [22-24].

This research aims to analyze the influence of infrastructure development on economic growth in Djibouti. This paper applies the multiple regression model used with Eviews Software to investigate the correlation between various infrastructures such as electricity, telecommunication, and sanitation, and GDP during

the period from 2013 to 2022. Furthermore, the study also used the same regression model to determine the influence of other economic sectors on Djibouti's economic growth over the same period. Additionally, we organize the research into several sections, with Section 1 serving as the introduction and Section 2 presenting the previous study on the topic. Section 3 presents the methodology and data collected. The analysis and research results are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 presents the discussion. In addition, the conclusion and recommendation are discussed in Section 6.

Literature Review

Many previous studies have investigated the impact of infrastructure on economic growth, often focusing on critical infrastructure such as transport, electricity and telecommunication. The majority of these studies on infrastructure and economic growth found that infrastructure development is critical to the country's development. Some recent studies investigate the importance of the relationship between infrastructure and economic growth, such as an article by Ali, who explored the causal relationship between economic growth and air transport in BRICS countries using an equilibrium panel for the period from 1993 to 2019.

The long-term result showed that air transport is important to economic growth. Similarly, the study also found that in the short term, the economies of these countries determine the importance of air transport. In addition, the study by Xu et al. found that electricity consumption and economic growth have a direct and indirect relationship, which is strengthened over time. This study highlighted the direct and indirect causal relationship between China's economic growth and electricity consumption. Additionally, the recent study by Zhang et al. also investigated in three dimensions the relationship between China's electricity consumption and economic growth.

The study argued that accelerating industrialization, rapid population growth, and electric power have become essential keys to promoting China's social and economic development. Fedajev et al. analysed a panel of 15 Central and Eastern European economies during the period from 1995 to 2021 and argued that electricity generated from renewable energy sources (RES) has a positive effect on GDP growth and the growth rate of industry value added (IVA) for fully developed models. This result indicated that inefficient electricity infrastructure has a negative impact on GDP and the growth rate of the IVA.

On the other hand, Ardiansyah et al. showed that infrastructure such as electricity, schools, health facilities, capital expenditure, and water have positive and significant effects on economic growth. At the same point, Burke et al. found that access to and use of electricity promotes economic development. However, some authors, such as Brueckner, have explained that infrastructure plays a crucial role in a country's economic development, and analyze the significant relationship between economic growth and infrastructure.

Previous studies demonstrated that investment and improvement of infrastructure facilities have a significant impact on the country's development outlook and promote sustainable economic growth. Several previous studies, such as Calderón and Servén, demurger, Sahoo and Dash, Srinivasu et Rao, and Urrunaga et

al., have investigated the importance of infrastructure development for economic growth and have determined a significant relationship. For example, Calderón and Servén studied infrastructure and economic development in sub-Saharan Africa using data covering the years from 1960 to 2005.

The study found that infrastructure development has a positive and significant impact on economic growth. Based on the theoretical framework of Solow and Romer, as well as the empirical development of Aschauer and Munnell, Sahoo and Dash investigated the role of infrastructure on India's economic growth. This study revealed that infrastructure development is positively and significantly boosting India's economic growth.

In addition, Srinivasu and Rao investigate the relationship between infrastructure and economic growth. However, Urrunaga et al., 2012 confirmed that the development of public service infrastructure such as roads, electricity, and telecommunications played a significant role in Peru's regional production and economic growth for the period 1980 to 2009. Furthermore, Sanchez-Robles studied investment and economic growth and found that infrastructure has a significant correlation with the country's growth.

Demurge indicated that transport infrastructure, geographical location, and telecommunication facilities play an important role in observing the difference in growth performance among Chinese provinces. Also, Adhuze et al. concluded that infrastructure development is vital to increase tourism and stimulate economic growth. The result of these studies above also confirm that infrastructure enhances the efficiency of crucial economic sectors and fosters the country's economic growth.

The importance of agriculture in the economy of any nation cannot be overemphasized, because it plays a major role in virtually all social and economic activities of countries, for example poverty reduction [25]. Sanchez-Robles studied investment and economic growth and found that infrastructure has a significant correlation with the country's growth. Additionally, Apurv and Uzma examined the impact of infrastructure development and investment on the economic growth of the BRICS member countries.

In panel analyses, the study concluded that telecommunication infrastructure development and investment are important and stimulate a negative relationship with economic growth, while energy infrastructure leads to economic growth. Some previous authors, such as Oyetade and Applanaidu, suggest that improving agricultural sector exports is important for Nigeria's economic growth prospects.

However, the relevant research literature reveals that the development of infrastructure such as transport, electricity, and telecommunications is crucial to ensure the sustainable development of the country, reduce poverty and also represent an indispensable driver for the social and economic development of the country. Some previous studies such as those by Anusha and Vijesandiran, found that fisheries, forestry, food production, and livestock have a significant influence on Sri Lanka's economic growth. At the same point, Oyetade and Applanaidu found that food production and fisheries had a significant effect on Nigeria's economic growth from 1981 to 2011.

Nevertheless, the improvement of other essential sectors such as the agricultural, industrial, and service sectors are also indispensable elements to achieving significant economic growth in a country. It generally supports development and is crucial for global economic transformation. Recently, some previous studies carried out by Yang et al., demonstrated that synergy between agriculture, service industries, and industry contributes to poverty reduction.

Data and Methodologies

This section presents and discusses the selected data and methodologies used in this study, including the data description and the original source.

Data Description

This study examines the influence of infrastructure development on economic growth, identifying additional variables through an appropriate regression model analysis over the selected time period. The data variables used were mainly selected in the period from 2013 to 2022. The variable data collected are generally constituted based on access to electricity (% of population), people using safely managed sanitation service (% of population), mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people), agriculture, forestry and fisheries, value added (in current US dollars), industry (including construction) value added (current US dollars), services, value added (per million US dollars) and GDP per capita of Djibouti (\$).

In this study, we employ the econometric method, specifically the multiple regression model, to analyze the variables mentioned above respectively. The regression model is permanent to determine the relationship that exists between several dependent and independent variables. Furthermore, to carry out an in-depth analysis of this study, two regression equations were adopted. In this research, the variable data will be analyzed using Eviews statistical software. The general formula for the multiple regression equation used to analyze these variables is as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \varepsilon_t$$

- ε : Indicates the random error term of the regression
- t : indicates the time period (yearly)

Based on this equation, where β_0 is the intercept, as well as β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 are the estimated slope coefficients of this regression. X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 are independent variables based on this regression.

Data Explanation and Source

Based on the present variables, the first equation (1) establishes a linear relationship between Djibouti's infrastructure and economic growth during the period from 2013 to 2022. We use a multiple regression model to analyze the relationship and identify the degree of influence between infrastructure development and economic growth. At the same time, infrastructure development consists of electricity infrastructure, transport infrastructure, telecommunications infrastructure, and sanitation.

Therefore, the transport infrastructure in Djibouti does not have the availability of data in this selected period so it will not be mentioned in the empirical analysis of the research. In this study, economic growth is measured by Djibouti's GDP per capital, as

used by some previous studies [26, 27]. In this case, the model taken for regression equation (1) is the following:

$$GDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (\text{Electricity infrastructure}) + \beta_2 (\text{telecommunication infrastructure}) + \beta_3 (\text{Infrastructure Sanitation}) + \epsilon_t$$

Therefore, the equation (1) will be formulated as follows:

$$LNGDP_t = \beta + \beta_1 LNAE_{1t} + \beta_2 LNMC_{2t} + \beta_3 LNPSS_{3t} + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

Based on these variables, we use the variable access to electricity that is measured by the electricity infrastructure, as used by [11, 27]. As used by Timilsina et al., the telecommunication infrastructure measured by the mobile cellular subscription as well as the infrastructure sanitation is the person using safety managed sanitation service (% of the population).

On the other hand, we establish the second econometric equation (2), namely the regression model to analyze the relationship between dependent variable factors such as agriculture, forestry and fisheries, industry (including construction) and service, which represent independent and dependent variables of GDP per capita in Djibouti. The second regression equation that was established is as follows:

$$LNGDP_t = \beta + \beta_1 LNAFF_{1t} + \beta_2 LNIN_{2t} + \beta_3 LNSE_{3t} + \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

The following table 1 provides detailed information about the chosen variables and their source.

Table 1: The different variables applied in this research

Abbreviation	Description Variable	Source
GDP	GDP per capita in Djibouti (\$)	World Bank open data/ WDI
LNMC	Mobile Cellular subscription (per 100 people)	World Bank open data/ WDI
LNPSS	People using safety managed sanitaire service (% of population)	World Bank open data
LNAE	Access to electricity (% of population)	WDI / IEA energy access
LNAFF	Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing, valued added (current US\$)	World Bank open data/ WDI
LNIN	Industry (included construction) valued added (current US\$)	World Bank open data/ WDI
LNSE	Service valued added (Billion US\$)	World Bank open data/ WDI

However, the following section is divided into several sections that represent the different methods of analysis and the result. First, descriptive statistical analysis and unit root testing are discussed. To test the stationary set of variables selected for this study, we apply the augmented Dickey-Fuller test to measure the unit root [28]. We present various analyses, including correlation and regression analysis tests, to determine the relationship between selected variable and economic growth in Djibouti.

Aside from that, the residual diagnostic test and the regression results stability are discussed. We employ the Jarque Bera test for the normality test of the regression, followed by the Breusch-Pagon-Godfrey test to test heteroscedasticity and Breusch-Godfrey LM serial correlation tests, respectively. In addition,

we also checked the stability of these two regression models using the CUSUM and CUSMUSQ tests [29, 30].

Analysis and Result

This section presents several test analyses and results, primarily detailing the result of descriptive statistics, and unit root tests for the selected variables. This section also covers the variables analyses and regression of each model, along with residual diagnostic testing and stability measures such as CUSUM and CUSMUSQ tests.

The Descriptive Statistics Test Result

Table 2 below details the descriptive statistics for the variables selected in this research.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics

Variables	observation	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Std. Deviation
Access to electricity (% of population)	10	42	59.56	65.4	6.694807
Mobile Cellular subscription (per 100 people)	10	25	36.1	46	6.573516
People using safety managed sanitaire service (% of population)	10	34	37.8	40	2.20101
Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing, valued added (current US\$)	10	24409046	40690033	63983297	15371156
Industry (included construction), valued added (current US\$)	10	232791848	383337867.3	551432922	118367159
Service, valued added (Billion US\$)	10	1.65	2.202	2.7	0.337895
GDP per capita in Djibouti (\$)	10	2102.2	2670.42	3136.1	345.804

Source: Using Eviews Software

Unit Root Test of all Selected Variables

In this research, we apply the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) to test the stationarity of the data used in this study. Additionally, to have a reliable and better estimate, the unit root test using ADF is established for the given sets in different models of the study. This test is carried out on the basis of data collected from the World Bank and World Development Indicators.

The table 3 below, which presents the result of the ADF test, the variable of GDP per capita (LNGDP) and people using safety

managed sanitaire service (LNPSS) are stationary at level and first difference at the 1% significance level, which indicates that reject the null hypothesis on non-stationarity and the variable LNAE are not stationary. As can be seen, the variable mobile cellular subscription (LNMC) is not stationary at level, which a p-value are greater than 0.05 significance level but become stationary after the first difference by ADF test. The set of variables selected in the second equation such as LNAFF, LNSE, LNIN are not stationary.

Table 3: Unit root test Result

Variables	At level				At First Difference			
	Intercept	P-value	P-value	Trend and Intercept	Intercept	P-value	Trend and Intercept	P-value
LNGDP	-3.2283	0.0565*	0.3436	-2.4310	-1.4983	0.4761	-4.4061	0.0429**
LNAE	-1.6190	0.4343	0.9735	-0.2417	-0.3019	0.8836	1.9630	0.9999
LNPSS	-2.7799	0.0987*	0.9972	0.7255	0.0000	0.9256	-4.4647	0.0400**
LNMC	-1.0179	0.6962	0.2021	-2.9372	-3.8031	0.0267**	-3.3741	0.1292
LNAFF	0.6087	0.9796	0.5338	-1.9813	-2.0113	0.2769	-2.1150	0.4657
LNIN	-0.2118	0.9040	0.3707	-2.3605	-2.7420	0.1084	-2.3145	0.3830
LNSE	-1.2170	0.6161	0.5584	-1.9340	-1.7100	0.3912	-1.4618	0.7563

Notes: The null hypothesis is the series is non-stationary. The symbols *, **and *** denote the significance level at 10, 5 and 1 percent, respectively.




Figure 1: The scatter plot between the relationship of the independent variables LNAE, LNMC, and LNPSS, and the GDP per capita of Djibouti.

As can be seen in the result above, Figure 1 above demonstrates a strong linear relationship between independent variables (such as electricity infrastructure, telecommunication, and sanitation) and GDP per capita growth in Djibouti. We can see that LN-PSS and GDP have a strong and positive linear relationship on this graph. The graph also demonstrates a strong linear relationship between LNMC and LNGDP [31-35]. However, the results

of the graph analysis indicate that the influence of LNAE and LNGDP is not particularly strong or significant. Additionally, we examine the variables in equation (2) of the regression model to determine the influence of these variables on Djibouti's economic growth. We present the linear variable relationships between these independent and GDP variables, as can be seen in Figure 2 below:




Figure 2: The scatter plots between the independent variables LNAFF, LNIN, LNSE and GDP in Djibouti.

As shown in Figure 2 above, there is a linear relationship between these independent and dependent variables presented by scatter plots. The graph demonstrates a robust linear relationship between LNSE and LNGDP, as well as a strong linear relationship between LNIN and LNGDP. The scatter plots above demonstrate a significant relationship, although the linear relationship between LNAFF and LNGDP is not as strong as the other independent variables presented in this study. To better deepen our analysis perspective on this study, we conducted re-

gression analyses to determine the R squares and to analyze the influence between these variables present in the two regression equations [36-40].

Correlation Analysis

Model (1) allows us to determine the existence of a correlation between the variables (LNAE, LNPSS, and LNMC) and the variable LNGDP. The table 4 below presents the result of the correlation test analysis of this first equation (1) model.

Table 4: Test of Correlation 1

Correlation	LNGDP	LNAE	LNPSS	LNMC
LNGDP	1.000000			
LNAE	-0.116895	1.000000		
LNPSS	0.981457*	0.006937*	1.000000	
LNMC	0.993540*	-0.186479*	0.961484*	1.000000

Note: * p-value< 0.05

The table result above demonstrates a strong positive and significant correlation between variables, such as mobile cellular subscriptions and health service to manage security, and the GDP per capita variable. As can be seen in table above, the correlation between mobile cellular subscriptions (LNMC) and economic growth (GDP) is strong and significant, with a correlation coefficient of 0.993540, which is very close to 1, and a probability of 0.0000 (see Appendix 1), which is less than 0.05 of the significance level [41-45].

Similarly, the variable of people using the safety managed sanitation service (LNPSS) shows a strong positive correlation with economic growth, with a correlation coefficient equivalent to 0.981457. This correlation is highly significant, with a probability of 0.0000 (see Appendix 1), which is less than 0.05 of the

significance level. Therefore, we find that the variables Access to electricity (LNAE) has a negative correlation with economic growth, with a coefficient of -0.116895. This correlation is also not significant, with a probability equal to 0.7478 (see Appendix1), which is greater than 0.05 of the significance level. Finally, it can be noted that the LNPSS and LNMC variables have a strong positive and significant correlation with economic growth [46-50].

In addition, we conduct the correlation test on the second regression model (2) to determine the other important sectors that have an important influence on economic growth in Djibouti. The following table 5 shows the correlation test result between the variables selected in this study such as (LNGDP, LNSE, LNAFF, and LNIN), presented as follows:

Table 5: Test of Correlation 2

Correlation	LNGDP	LNSE	LNAFF	LNIN
LNGDP	1.000000			
LNSE	0.997648*	1.000000		
LNAFF	0.935860*	0.932550*	1.000000	
LNIN	0.970913*	0.963109*	0.987337*	1.000000

Note: * p-value< 0.05

The table above demonstrates a strong and positive correlation between the selected variables, such as LNSE, LNAFF, and LNIN, and economic growth. The Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries (LNAFF) variables exhibits a strictly positive and significant correlation with economic growth, with a correlation coefficient of 0.935860, which is very close to 1, and a probability of 0.0001(see Appendix 2), which is less than 0.05 of the significance level. Moreover, variables such as industries (including construction) and service value added exhibit a positive and significant correlation with economic growth, with probabilities of 0.0000 and 0.0000 (see Appendix 2), both of which are less than 0.05 of the significance level, respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that these selected variables, such as LNSE, LNAFF, and LNIN, exhibit a strong positive correlation with economic growth (LNGDP) [51-56].

Regression Analysis

As can be explained, we will compose the analysis of this study into two parts using the same multiple regression model. In this research, we will apply the regression analysis method, which is considered one of the appropriate analyses to determine the relationship between independent and dependent variables. Thus,

we established this analysis using the statistical software Eviews to determine the influence between these independent variables and GDP per capita (\$).

1st Regression Model Test

We perform the first regression model, which focuses on determining the relationship between the dependent variable, which is the GDP per capita of Djibouti (\$), and the independent variables, which include access to electricity (% of population), the percentage of people using safety managed sanitation services (% of population), and the number of mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people). The equation (1) of this applied regression model is as follows:

$$\text{LNGDP}_t = \beta + \beta_1 \text{LNAE}_{1t} + \beta_2 \text{LNMC}_{2t} + \beta_3 \text{LNPSS}_{3t} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

ε : is a Random Error

From Equation (1), The analysis is performed using ordinary least squares (ordinary least squares) on sets of variables selected in this part to determine the linear relationship between these dependent and independent variables. The regression analysis results are shown below:

Table 6: Results of the Regression Analysis Test

Dependent variable: LNGDP Method: Least Squares Date: 02/11/24 Time: 23:14 Sample: 2013-2022 Included observation: 10				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-510.9943	446.2004	-1.324504	0.2335
LNPSS	51.51711	19.79694	2.602276	0.0405
LNMC	35.75507	6.746794	5.299563	0.0018

LNAE	0.391367	1.820874	0.214934	0.8369
R-squared	0.996226	Mean dependent var		2670.420
Adjusted R-squared	0.994339	S.D. dependent var		345.8040
S.E. of regression	26.01801	Akaike info criterion		9.644630
Sum squared resid	4061.623	Schwarz criterion		9.765664
Log likelihood	-44.22315	Hannan-Quinn criter		9.511856
F-statistics	527.9477	Durbin-Watson stat		2.590053
Prob (F-statistic)	0.000000			

From the result in Table 6 above, we can see that the independent variables presented in the first equations have a significant relationship with GDP. We can observe that the independent variables, such as LNPSS and LNMC, significantly influence the GDP variable at a significance level of 0.05. As can be seen in result above, the regression analysis test gives us a result of the value of Regression Probability (F-statistic) of 0.000000 less than 0.05 at the confidence significance level, which shows that the regression model applied to this first analysis is very significant. Furthermore, the goodness-of-fit measure this R2 regression model is equal to 0.994339, indicating a strong relationship between the variables presenting and GDP. Additionally, this can show that the fitting effect of this applied regression is good. The regression equation is presented as follows:

$$\text{LNGDPt} = -590.9943 + 0.391367 \text{ LNAE1t} + 35.75507 \text{ LNMC2t} + 51.51711 \text{ LNPSS3t} + \varepsilon_t$$

In this first regression model, we can see that the telecommunication infrastructure variable (Mobile cellular subscription per 100 people) shares a positive and statistically significant relationship with Djibouti's GDP per capita per p value equal to 0.0018, less than the 0.05 confidence level. The variable correlation coefficient for mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 persons) is 35.75507.

This indicates that if we increase the Mobile cellular subscriptions variable (per 100 persons) by one unit, the economic growth variable will also increase by 35.75507 units, assuming the other variables remain constant. Moreover, the relationship between infrastructure sanitation variables (People using safety managed sanitaire service as % of the population) and economic growth is also positive and statistically significant with a p-value equal to 0.0405, less than 0.05 of significance level. The regression

coefficient of the variable people using safety managed sanitaire service is positive and equal to 51.51711. It can be shown that if the variable of Persons using safety-managed sanitaire (% of the population) increases by 1 unit, the economic growth variable will increase by 51.51711 units, assuming the other variables remain constant.

The table above reveals a positive but not statistically significant relationship between the electricity infrastructure variable, such as Access to electricity (% of population), and economic growth, with a p value of 0.8369, which is greater than 0.05 of the significant level. As we can that the effect of the electricity infrastructure on economic growth is positive, with a coefficient of regression of 0.391367, respectively.

2nd Regression Test Analyses

Similarly, we conduct the second regression model test to determine the linear relationship between independent variables such as agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (in US dollars), industry (including construction) in dollars, services (per Billion US dollars) , and Djibouti's GDP per capita (in US\$). We also applied the regression analysis method over the same selected period from 2013 to 2022, to determine the other variables that influence economic growth. Furthermore, this model applied equation (2) is shown as follows:

$$\text{LNGDPt} = \beta + \beta_1 \text{ LNAFF1t} + \beta_2 \text{ LNIN2t} + \beta_3 \text{ LNSE3t} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

ε_t : is a Random Error

We conduct analyses of the selected variables sets in the above equation using ordinary least squares (OLS) to determine whether the relationship exists. The regression result is as follows:

Table 7: The results of the analysis regression test

Dependent variable: LNGDP Method: Least Squares Date: 02/11/24 Time: 23:15 Sample: 2013-2022 Included observation: 10				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	604.4173	58.48244	10.33502	0.0000
LNAFF	-7.57E-06	2.01E-06	-3.772955	0.0093
LNSE	796.6949	53.77386	14.81565	0.0000
LNIN	1.62E-06	3.49E-07	4.626090	0.0036

R-squared	0.999022	Mean dependent var	2670.420
Adjusted R-squared	0.998533	S.D. dependent var	345.8040
S.E. of regression	13.24312	Akaike info criterion	8.294008
Sum squared resid	1052.282	Schwarz criterion	8.415042
Log likelihood	-37.47004	Hannan-Quinn criter	8.161234
F-statistics	2043.505	Durbin-Watson stat	2.595124
Prob (F-statistic)	0.000000		

The test result above explains that the relationship between these independent variables and GDP is highly significant at the significance level of 0.05. We can also observe that the Prob (F-statistics) value of 0.000000, which is less than 0.05 at the significant confidence level, indicates a significant relationship between the variables presented in these second tests. Furthermore, the fit quality of model R2 is equal to 0.998533, indicating that this equation model has a strong relationship with the dependent variable (LNGDP). This set of interpretations allows us to understand that the regression adjustment effect of this model is good, so the regression equation is formulated as follows:

$$\text{LNGDP}_t = 604.4173 - 757\text{E-}06 \text{ LNAFF1}_t + 1.62\text{E-}06 \text{ LNIN2}_t + 796.6949 \text{ LNSE3}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

According to this established regression model, the independent variable industry (including construction), exhibits a positive and statistically significant relationship, with a p-value of 0.0036, which is less than the 0.05 level of significance. This variable industry (including construction) has a positive regression coefficient equivalent to 1.62E-06. It can be interpreted, if the industrial variable (including construction) increases by d

units, Djibouti's economic growth will also increase by 1.62E-06 units, as long as the other variables remain constant. Similarly, there is a strong relationship between the service variable, value added, and economic growth in Djibouti, with a positive regression coefficient of 796.6949 and a statistically significant p-value of 0.0000, less than 0.05 at the level of significance.

The result indicates that if the service variable, value added (per million US dollars) increases by 1 unit, the economic growth in Djibouti will also increase by 796.6949 units. As can be seen from the test result in Table 5 above, the relationship between the variables agriculture, forestry, and fisheries and GDP is highly significant but the regression coefficient is negative, with a p-value of 0.0093, less than 0.05 of the significant test level. This indicates that if the agriculture, forestry, and fisheries variable increases by 1 unit, Djibouti's economic growth will decrease by 757E-06 units.

Residual Diagnostic Test and Stability of Regression Result

Table 8 below shows the normality test results for the two regression models used in this research.

Table 8: normality test result of regression

Normality test	1 er regression model	2 er regression model
Mean	-4.61e-14	4.49e-14
Maximin	38.05816	23.12854
Minimum	-32.95284	-12.92113
Std. Deviation	21.24362	10.81296
Jarque-Bera	0.320513	0.952157
Probability	0.851925	0.621215

As can be seen in Table 8 above, the result of the normality test performed in the two regression equations established in this study. In this analysis, we tested the normality regression test using the Jarque-Bera statistic as well as the probability to determine whether the selected data are normally distributed in both models. Table 6 above shows that the Jarque-Bera values

are 0.320513 and 0.952157, while the Probability values are 0.851925 and 0.621215, respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that two regression models normality test results, along with the normally distributed data selected from them, meet the relative requirement to validate the hypothesis.

Table 9: The results of other diagnostic regression tests

Normality test	1 er regression model	2 er regression model
Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagong-Godfrey	F (2,4) = 0.786018	F (3,6) = 0.809942
	Obs*R-squared =2.821296	Obs*R-squared = 2.882416
	Chi-Square (2) = 0.2440	Chi-Square (3) = 0.4101

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:	F (3,6) = 0.526197	F (2,4) = 0.673122
	Obs*R-square = 2.082961	Obs*R-squared = 2.518112
	Chi-Square (3) = 0.5554	Chi-Square (2) = 0.2839
Ramsey RESET Test:	t-statistic = 0.774081	t-statistic = 1.957639
	F-statistic = 0.599201	F-statistic= 3.832351
	p = 0.4739	p = 0.1076

Table 9 above presents various tests, including the heteroscedasticity test using the Breusch-Pagon-Godfrey test type. In both regression analyses, the probability is 0.2440 and 0.4101, both of which are relatively greater than 0.05 at the significance test level, with F-statistics of 0.786018 and 0.809942. We established tests to assess the diagnostic stability of regression using the Ramsey RESET Test, and discovered a specification with F-statistics and p value are greater than 5 percent of the significance level. This indicates that both regression models are good and stable for determining the influence that exists between the variables selected in this study.

CUSUM, and CUSMUSQ Test Results

Based on the residual tests and diagnostic stability established in Table 7, we discovered that the two-regression models do not

present any risk or heteroscedasticity issues, and the probability values, which exceed 5 percent, are not significant. Thus, we can also show that there is no serial correlation and that the selected variables are normally distributed in each of these regression models.

However, this reveals that each of the established regression models can determine the influence between these variables and Djibouti's economic growth in the period from 2013 to 2022. On the other hand, the null hypothesis is approved in both established models. In addition, we can confirm that there is good stability in this regression model, as determined by the CUSUM and CUSUMSQ stability tests at a significant level of 5%, as can be seen in figures 3 and 4 below:




Figure 3: The CUSUM and CUSMUSQ test results on the first regression




Figure 4: The CUSUM and CUSMUSQ test results on the 2nd regression

Discussion

This study aims to analyze the influence of infrastructure development on Djibouti's economic growth using numerous independent variables selected from official sources. The selected variables, such as access to electricity in Djibouti, measure the country electricity infrastructure, play a fundamental role in accelerating development, and even contribute to the improvement of social life. The study reveals that the electricity infrastructure plays a crucial role in the country's development, particularly in promoting the growth of the country's other fundamental sectors, such as the port and industrial sectors. Based on established regression analyses (1), the results indicate that Djibouti's economic growth is positively influenced by access to electricity, although this effect is not statistically significant ($0.8369 > 0.05$).

This indicates that while the Development of Djibouti's electricity infrastructure has a strong influence on economic growth, but it does not significantly compared to other essential infrastructure for the country's development. The results of this study also conformed with the previous study carried out in Nigeria by Mohamed and in Indonesia by Suriani & Keusuma, which found that electricity has a positive impact or effect on economic growth.

At the same time, the results of the analyses show that mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people) have a positive and highly significant influence on Djibouti's economic growth with a p-value lower than the confidence level ($0.0018 < 0.05$). The finding is also similar with previous studies conducted by Lee et al. and Haftu, which also found a positive and significant impact on economic growth. Similarly, our result is also consistent with that of Akinwale et al., 2018, which found that mobile cellular subscriptions have a positive impact on Nigeria's economic growth.

As some previous studies have highlighted, our study confirms that telecommunication infrastructure plays a crucial role in the country's development, facilitating the flow of information and bolstering the economy and social standard living. Recent findings indicate that having access to telephones can foster communication and integration, a crucial factor in reducing poverty and enhancing the country's activities. The result also revealed that there is an indispensable infrastructure for Djibouti's economic growth. The study shows that people using safety-managed sanitaire services (% of the population) have a positive and statistically significant influence on Djibouti's economic growth ($0.0405 < 0.05$).

The analysis of equation (2) of the regression establishes a strong relationship between industries, including construction, and GDP, indicating their positive and significant influence on Djibouti's economic growth. The development of industry is crucial to supporting the economy and also participates in job creation, which justifies it as an indispensable sector for the development of the country. In Djibouti, economic development is based on many sectors crucial for investment and improvement, especially the industrial sector (including construction) is also statistically significant, as can be seen from the result of the regression analysis ($p\ 0.0036 < 0.05$).

The result also indicates a positive and highly significant influence of service and value-added (per billion US dollars) on economic growth in Djibouti, with a p-value of less than 0.05 at the significant level. Therefore, the research result also reveals that agriculture, forestry, and fisheries have a negative influence on economic growth, but are statistically significant on p-value less than 0.05 of the significant level.

Conclusion

The study analyzes the influence of infrastructure development on Djibouti's economic growth in the period from 2013 to 2022. In the analysis of this study, we investigate the relationship between the different infrastructures selected according to the available data and the GDP of Djibouti to determine the influence and the same as the other economic sectors of Djibouti. The studies first provided an in-depth analysis of the influence of infrastructure such as electricity, telecommunication, and sanitation infrastructure on economic growth, according to the multiple regression model. Second, using data from 2013 to 2022, the study determines the influence of other economic sectors on economic growth using data covering the same period from 2013 to 2022.

Infrastructure development is the engine of progress and ensures Djibouti's economic growth. Additionally, infrastructure such as electricity, telecommunications, and sanitation play a critical role in supporting the country's economic development and social condition. In this study, the result reveals that mobile cellular subscriptions and people using safety managed sanitaire service (% of population) have a positive and strongly significant influence on economic growth in Djibouti. In addition, the result shows that access to electricity has a positive influence on economic growth in Djibouti but is not statistically significant. Furthermore, the study shows that economic sectors such as industry and service have a strongly positive and statistically significant influence on Djibouti's economic growth.

Nevertheless, the result of this study shows that agriculture, forestry, and fisheries have a negative but highly significant relationship with Djibouti's economic growth. This study demonstrates the critical role of electricity, telecommunication, and sanitation infrastructure in enhancing social and economic conditions, as well as fostering the growth of the country's economic activities, including industries and ports. However, the industries and services sector also plays a crucial role in maintaining Djibouti's economic growth and development.

Therefore, we recommend that the Government of Djibouti implement the necessary measures and benefits to promote the under-agricultural sectors such as agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, with the aims of achieving high economic growth in the coming years. Thus, we also recommend that the government support the necessary benefits in order to increase the electricity infrastructure by providing adequate facilities to improve social living conditions in Djibouti and achieve sustainable economic growth. In the coming years, the development of infrastructure, particularly in African countries, is crucial for achieving stable living conditions and enhancing economic activities across various sectors.

Availability of data and material

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Finding

This research did not receive any specific financial funding from public sector organizations or others.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to all the proofreaders who participated in the reading process and provided unconditional support during the writing of this research. The author also expresses his sincere gratitude to all those who have made invaluable contributions to this article.

References

1. Susantono, B., & Berawi, A. R. (2015). Improving sustainable infrastructure development through innovative approaches in technology, management and financial aspects. *CSID Journal of Infrastructure Development*, 1(1), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.32783/csid-jid.v1i1.5>
2. Stephan, A. (1997). The impact of road infrastructure on productivity and growth: Some preliminary results for the German manufacturing sector. *WZB Discussion Papers*, No. FS IV 97-47. Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB).
3. Styan, D. (2013). Djibouti: Changing influence in the Horn's strategic hub. Chatham House Briefing Paper, AFP 2013/01.
4. International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2022). Internet more affordable and widespread, but world poorest still shut off from online opportunity. United Nations. <https://www.itu.int/en/mediacentre/Pages/PR-2022-11-30-Facts-Figures-2022.aspx>
5. Tracking SDG7. (2023). The energy progress report 2023. <https://trackingsdg7.esmap.org>
6. Mitra, A., Sharma, C., & Végazonès-Varoudakis, M. A. (2016). Infrastructure, information & communication technology and firms' productive performance of the Indian manufacturing. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 38(2), 353-371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2016.02.013>
7. Apurv, R., & Uzma, S. H. (2020). The impact of infrastructure investment and development on economic growth in BRICS. *Indian Growth and Development Review*, 14(1), 122-147. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-01-2020-0007>
8. Haftu, G. G. (2019). Information communication technology and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: A panel data approach. *Telecommunications Policy*, 43(1), 88-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2018.03.010>
9. Wang, C., Lim, M., Zhang, X., Zhao, L., & Lee, P. (2020). Railway and road infrastructure in the Belt and Road Initiative countries: Estimating the impact infrastructure on economic growth. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 134, 288-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.02.009>
10. Maparu, T. S., & Mazumder, T. N. (2017). Transport infrastructure, economic development and urbanization in India (1990–2011): Is there any causal relationship? *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 100, 319-336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033>
11. Timilsina, G., Stern, D. I., & Das, D. K. (2023). Physical infrastructure and economic growth. *Applied Economics*, 58(20), 2142-2157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2023.2184461>
12. Zhang, C., Zhou, H., Yang, S., & Shao, Z. (2017). On electricity consumption and economic growth in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 76, 353–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.071>
13. Lydon, R., & Williams, M. (2005). Communication networks and foreign direct investment in developing countries. *Communications and Strategies*, 58, 43-60. <https://mp.ra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/2492>
14. Srinivasu, B., & Rao, S. (2013). Infrastructure development and economic growth: Prospects and perspective. *Journal of Business Management & Social Science Research*, 2(1), 81-91.
15. Ekeocha, D. O., Ogbuabor, J. E., & Orji, A. (2022). Public infrastructural development and economic performance in Africa: New evidence from panel data analysis. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 55(4), 931-950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-021-09334-8>
16. Sahoo, P., & Dash, R. K. (2012). Economic growth in South Asia: Role of infrastructure. *Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 21(2), 217-252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638191003596994>
17. Akims, K., & Danyil, C. J. (2018). Infrastructural development as a strategy for Nigeria's export promotion. *African Research Review*, 12(4), 40-53. <https://doi.org/10.4314/afrrev.v12i4.4>
18. Elburz, Z., Nijkamp, P., & Pels, E. (2017). Public infrastructure and regional growth: Lessons from meta-analysis. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 58, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2016.10.013>
19. Garcia-Mila, T., & McGuire, T. J. (1992). The contribution of publicly provided inputs to states' economies. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 22(2), 229-241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462\(92\)90013-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462(92)90013-Q)
20. Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is public expenditure productive? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 23(2), 177-200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932\(89\)90047-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(89)90047-0)
21. Lewis, B. D. (1998). The impact of public infrastructure on municipal economic development: Empirical results from Kenya. *Review of Urban & Regional Development Studies*, 10(2), 142-156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-940X.1998.tb00092.x>
22. Lee, S., Levandis, J., & Gutiérrez, L. H. (2012). Telecommunications and economic growth: An empirical analysis of sub-Saharan Africa. *Applied Economics*, 44(4), 461-469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2010.508730>
23. Xu, G., Yang, H., & Schwarz, P. (2022). A strengthened relationship between electricity and economic growth in China: An empirical study with a structural equation model. *Energy*, 241, 122905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122905>
24. Mohamed, B. S. (2023). Electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from Nigeria. *Investment Journal of Sharia & Economic Law*, 3(1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.21154/invest.v3i1.5949>
25. Wahab, A. L. F. (2011). An analysis of government spending on agricultural sector and its contribution to GDP in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(20), 244-250.
26. Ibrahim, K. H., Handoyo, R. D., Wasiaturrahma, W., & Sarmidi, T. (2022). Service trade and infrastructure development: Evidence from countries. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 10(1), 2143147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2022.2143147>
27. Bounphone, K., Keothephar, K., Srithilat, V., & Kidoikhamman, S. (2023). The dynamic impact of economic growth, foreign direct investment and infrastructure in Laos. *Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 10(9), 198-208. <https://doi.org/10.36347/sjebm.2023.v10i09.001>

28. Dickey, A. D., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(366), 427-431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1979.10482531>
29. Adhuzo, O., Adewole, A., & Adeaga, O. (2023). Infrastructure as drivers for economic growth: A way to advancing tourism. *International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering, Management & Applied Science (IJLTEMAS)*, 12(9), 86-93. <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2023.12908>
30. Akinwale, Y. O., Sanusi, K. A., & Surujlal, J. (2018). An empirical analysis of information and communication technology (ICT) and economic growth in Nigeria. *Economics and Computer Science*, 10(2), 129-142.
31. Ali, R., Bakhsh, K., & Yasin, M. A. (2023). Causal nexus between air transportation and economic growth in BRICS countries. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 107, 102335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2022.102335>
32. Anusha, P., & Vijesandiran, S. (2022). An empirical analysis of the effect of agriculture sector determinants on economic growth in Sri Lanka. *Business and Economic Research*, 12(2), 155-167.
33. Ardiansyah, B., Kusmaryo, H., & Muin, M. F. (2020). Prospects of infrastructure development in the perspective of economic growth. *International Journal of Social and Business*, 4(3), 390-399. <https://doi.org/10.23887/ijssb.v4i3.25168>
34. Bhattacharya, A., Oppenheim, J., & Stern, N. (2015). Driving sustainable development through better infrastructure: Key elements of a transformation program. *Brookings Global Working Paper Series*. <https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Bhattacharya-et-al.-2015.pdf>
35. Brueckner, M. (2021). Infrastructure and economic growth. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(11), 543. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14110543>
36. Burke, P. J., Stern, D. I., & Bruns, S. B. (2018). The impact of electricity on economic development: A macroeconomic perspective. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics*, 12(1), 85-127. <https://doi.org/10.1561/101.00000101>
37. Calderón, C., & Servén, L. (2010). Infrastructure and economic development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 19(1), 13-87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejp022>
38. Démurger, S. (2001). Infrastructure development and economic growth: An explanation for regional disparities in China? *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 29(1), 95-117. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcec.2000.1693>
39. Du, X., Zhang, H., & Han, Y. (2022). How does new infrastructure investment affect economic growth quality? Empirical evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 14(6), 3511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063511>
40. Fedajev, A., Mitić, P., Kojić, M., & Radulescu, M. (2023). Driving industrial and economic growth in Central and Eastern Europe: The role of electricity infrastructure and renewable energy. *Utilities Policy*, 85, 101683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2023.101683>
41. Fosu, P., & Twumasi, M. A. (2022). Infrastructure and economic growth: Evidence from the United States. *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*, 6(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v6i1.1419>
42. Lin, T. Y., & Chiu, S. H. (2018). Sustainable performance of low-carbon energy infrastructure investment on regional development: Evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 10(12), 4647. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124647>
43. Munnell, A. H. (1990). Why has productivity growth declined? Productivity and public investment. *New England Economic Review*, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, 3-22.
44. Oyetade, O., & Applanaidu, S. D. (2014). Effect of agricultural sector determinants on economic growth. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 8(15), 68-72.
45. Pan, C. (2024). Infrastructure and economic growth: From the perspective of new infrastructure. In *Urban Infrastructure and Economic Development in China*, 123-135. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6629-5_9
46. Romer, P. M. (1987). Crazy explanation for the productivity slowdown. In *NBER Macroeconomics Annual 1987*, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2, 163-210.
47. Sahoo, P., & Dash, R. K. (2009). Infrastructure development and economic growth in India. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 14(4), 351-365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860903169340>
48. Sanchez-Robles, B. (1998). Infrastructure investment and growth: Some empirical evidence. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 16(1), 98-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7287.1998.tb00504.x>
49. Shi, Y., Guo, S., & Sun, P. (2017). The role of infrastructure in China's regional economic growth. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 49, 26-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2017.02.004>
50. Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65-94. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1884513>
51. Suriani, S., & Keusuma, C. N. (2015). The influence of basic infrastructure development on economic growth in Indonesia. *Ecosciences: Scientific Journal of Economics and Development*, 4(2), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.24036/ecosains.10962757.00>
52. Urrunaga, R., & Aparicio, C. (2012). Infrastructure and economic growth in Peru. *CEPAL Review*, 107, 145-163. <https://doi.org/10.18356/8537fd57-en>
53. Wang, N., Zhu, Y., & Yang, T. (2020). The impact of transportation infrastructure and industrial agglomeration on energy efficiency: Evidence from China's industrial sectors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 244, 118708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118708>
54. World Bank. (2022). Djibouti economic update. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/djibouti/publication/economic-update-april-2022>
55. Yang, F., Zhang, S., & Sun, C. (2020). Energy infrastructure investment and regional inequality: Evidence from China's power grid. *Science of the Total Environment*, 749, 142384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142384>
56. Yang, G., Zhou, C., & Zhang, J. (2022). Does the synergy among agriculture, industry, and the service industry alleviate rural poverty? Evidence from China. *Applied Economics Letters*, 30(17), 1417-1421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2022.2056569>

Appendices

Appendices 1: result of the correlation analysis test

Covariance Analysis: Ordinary				
Date: 05/14/24 Time: 20:41				
Sample: 2013 2022				
Included observations: 10				
Correlation				
Probability	LNGDP	LNAE	LNPSS	LNMC
LNGDP	1.000000			

LNAE	-0.116895	1.000000		
	0.7478	-----		

Appendices 1: result of the correlation analysis test

Covariance Analysis: Ordinary				
Date: 05/14/24 Time: 20:41				
Sample: 2013 2022				
Included observations: 10				
Correlation				
Probability	LNGDP	LNAE	LNPSS	LNMC
LNGDP	1.000000			

LNAE	-0.116895	1.000000		
	0.7478	-----		
LNPSS	0.981457	0.006937	1.000000	
	0.0000	0.9848	-----	
LNMC	0.993540	-0.186479	0.961484	1.000000
	0.0000	0.6060	0.0000	-----

Appendices 2: result of correlation analysis test

Date: 05/14/24 Time: 20:44				
Sample: 2013 2022				
Included observations: 10				
Included observations: 10				
Correlation				
Probability	LNGDP	LNSE	LNAFF	LNIN
LNGDP	1.000000			

LNSE	0.997648	1.000000		
	0.0000	-----		

Copyright: ©2024 Abdorahman Abdillahi Waberi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.