

A Discussion on Cross-Cultural Empathetic Behavior in Health Care Providers: Insights from Ethiopia, The Us, and Hungary

Ariana Jeanne Chua

University of Debrecen, Hungary

*Corresponding author: Ariana Jeanne Chua, University of Debrecen, Hungary.

Submitted: 26 November 2025 Accepted: 02 December 2025 Published: 09 December 2025

 <https://doi.org/10.63620/MKJCCREM.2025.1054>

Citation: Chua, A. J. (2025). *A discussion on cross-cultural empathetic behavior in health care providers: Insights from Ethiopia, the US, and Hungary*. *J of Cri Res & Eme Med*, 4(6), 01-04.

Abstract

This study examines empathetic behavior among healthcare providers in three culturally distinct nations: the United States (highly individualistic), Hungary (moderately individualistic), and Ethiopia (strongly collectivistic). Empathy—defined as the capacity to identify with and understand the suffering of others—plays a critical role in patient-centered care and is integral to cultural competency and cultural humility. Despite its recognized value in improving health outcomes, empathy remains underemphasized as a formal competency in nursing and social work education. Drawing on comparative analysis, this paper explores how cultural orientation influences the expression of empathy in healthcare settings, with particular attention to the role of implicit bias, ethnocentrism, and structural inequities in shaping provider–patient interactions. Case examples highlight ethnic and rural–urban discrimination in Ethiopia, prejudice toward the Roma population in Hungary, and racial disparities in the United States. Findings reveal that although cultural contexts differ, barriers to empathic care—including resource scarcity, discrimination, and inadequate training—are common across settings. The paper introduces the Empathetic Care Process Model as a conceptual framework for integrating empathy into provider education, emphasizing its potential to address health disparities and improve outcomes in both resource-rich and resource-limited environments. Further research is recommended to evaluate empathy-focused training interventions as a means to strengthen culturally competent care worldwide.

Keywords: Empathy, Healthcare Providers, Cross-Cultural, Nursing Education, Cultural Competence, Ethiopia, Hungary, United States.

Introduction

Empathy is a cornerstone of patient-centered care, enabling healthcare providers to understand and respond to patients' emotional and physical needs. Its integration into clinical practice has been linked to improved patient outcomes, better satisfaction, and stronger therapeutic relationships [1]. Culture profoundly shapes how empathy is expressed and received, influencing interpersonal communication, relational expectations, and care dynamics.

This paper investigates empathetic behavior in healthcare providers across three culturally distinct countries: Ethiopia (strong-

ly collectivistic), Hungary (moderately individualistic), and the United States (highly individualistic). We analyze how cultural orientation, implicit bias, ethnocentrism, and structural inequities influence provider–patient interactions, identify shared barriers to empathy, and propose strategies—through the Empathetic Care Process Model—to integrate empathy into healthcare education and practice.

Literature Review

Empathy in Healthcare

Empathy in a healthcare context involves both cognitive (perspective-taking) and affective (emotional resonance) compo-

ments, which help providers understand and respond to patient suffering. It underpins patient-centered care and is widely regarded as a key determinant of quality communication and care outcomes.

According to a conceptual framework by such authors as Rogers and later scholars, empathy is central to therapeutic relationships, enabling trust and patient engagement [2]. Additional research shows that empathy is not static: personal factors (like professional identity), environmental factors, and stressors all influence how empathy develops or is sustained in clinical settings.

Cross-Cultural Considerations

Culture shapes both how empathy is expressed and perceived. In collectivist societies like Ethiopia, relational harmony, group obligations, and community interdependence strongly influence how care is given and interpreted. In more individualistic societies like the U.S., autonomy and self-reliance may shape communication and limit expressions of relational empathy. Hungary, being moderately individualistic, blends these dynamics in unique ways. Empathy also intersects with cultural humility and implicit bias: providers' own cultural assumptions can influence their empathic engagement. Sullivan-Detheridge et al. argue that empathy-based training may reduce implicit bias and help combat discrimination in healthcare (2024).

Barriers to Empathy

Several barriers to empathy are cross-cutting:

- Resource Scarcity: In Ethiopia, limited healthcare infrastructure, high patient loads, and inequitable distribution constrain opportunities for empathic care.
- Discrimination and Ethnocentrism: Prejudice toward the Roma in Hungary and ethnic tension in Ethiopia deeply affect provider-patient trust.
- Implicit Bias: In the U.S., racial disparities in health are partly linked to provider implicit bias, which undermines empathic care.
- Lack of Formal Training: Empathy is often not formalized in healthcare curricula; many providers lack structured education in empathy or cultural competence.

Beyond that, studies show that cultural competence and empathy are strongly correlated: increasing cultural competence in nurses often boosts their empathic capacity [3]. Moreover, among nurses working in multicultural settings, culturally sensitive empathy (sometimes called ethnocultural empathy) is mediated by compassion competence [4].

Educational and Conceptual Models in Empathy Training

To address these challenges, several models and training approaches have been proposed:

- Empathetic Care Process Model: Introduced by Sullivan-Detheridge et al. (2024), this model offers a structured framework to train healthcare providers in empathy, integrating cultural context, reflective practice, and active listening.
- Compassion & Cultural-Competence Tools: The European IENE project developed tools for culturally competent compassion, courage, and communication, which emphasize case studies, reflection, and interactive learning [5].

- Simulation-Based Education: Recent research (e.g., in Saudi Arabia) demonstrates that culturally focused simulation helps nursing students develop cultural empathy through perspective-taking and guided debriefing [6].
- Empathy Conceptual Frameworks: Foundational work by Mercer & Reynolds (2002) and others propose conceptual models rooted in social psychology, which help clarify empathy's dimensions and guide training [7].

Methodology

This paper is based on a comparative literature review. The core of the review is Additional peer-reviewed studies were selected from databases (e.g., PubMed, Google Scholar) using inclusion criteria such as:

- Publication in English
- Focus on empathy in healthcare (nursing, social work, clinical care)
- Empirical or theoretical content on cultural, educational, or institutional aspects of empathy
- Published between 2000 and 2025

Excluded were articles not related to healthcare or without a clear link to empathy in cross-cultural or educational contexts. Thematic analysis was applied to the literature to identify:

- Cultural influences on empathy
- Barriers to empathic behavior across settings
- Educational and policy strategies to cultivate empathy

These themes were compared across the three national contexts (Ethiopia, Hungary, U.S.).

Findings and Discussion

Cross-Cultural Insights Ethiopia

In Ethiopia, collectivist culture emphasizes interdependence, communal obligations, and social harmony. However, the unequal distribution of healthcare resources and ethnic disparities challenge empathic provision. Rural-urban divides and ethnic stratifications in access to care create structural barriers that undermine empathy, even where cultural norms might otherwise favor relational sensitivity [8].

Hungary

Hungary's moderately individualistic orientation combines personal autonomy with strong social and familial ties. However, ethnocentric prejudice—especially toward the Roma minority—poses significant barriers to empathy. Providers may hold implicit biases, and social distance can reduce genuine empathic engagement [9].

United States

In the U.S., the emphasis on individualism and efficiency in healthcare can limit empathic care. Racial inequities and systemic bias further erode trust, disproportionately affecting marginalized populations. Implicit bias remains a pervasive problem, and empathy training is often under-integrated into curricula [10].

Shared Barriers Across Settings

Despite cultural differences, common obstacles emerge:

- Resource Constraints: Poor staffing, high workloads, and limited infrastructure hinder providers' ability to practice empathic care.
- Discrimination & Bias: Across all three settings, discrimi-

- nation—whether ethnic, racial, or social—undermines trust and reduces empathetic engagement.
- Educational Gaps: Empathy is not consistently taught as a formal competency; many providers lack structured training.
- Sustainability of Empathy: Empathy can fade over time in clinical practice. Factors such as stress, hierarchical environments, and workload reduce empathetic capacity.

The Empathetic Care Process Model

Sullivan-Detheridge et al. (2024) propose the Empathetic Care Process Model, which provides a structured, trainable framework for cultivating empathy in healthcare. The model includes:

1. Awareness — Recognizing cultural context, one's own biases, and structural barriers.
2. Reflective Practice — Encouraging self-reflection, journaling, or debriefing to internalize empathic attitudes (also supported by compassionate education tools).
3. Perspective-Taking — Training through role-play or simulation to imagine the patient's
4. experience (as highlighted in simulation-based studies).
5. Active Listening & Communication — Emphasizing cultural humility, open-ended questioning, and non-judgmental dialogue.
6. Ongoing Reinforcement — Embedding empathy training throughout professional education and practice, not as a one-off module.

This model is designed to be adaptable across different resource settings, making it especially valuable for both low-resource (e.g., parts of Ethiopia) and high-resource (e.g., U.S.) contexts.

Implications for Practice and Education

- Curriculum Design: Incorporate empathy and cultural competence training into nursing and social work programs. Use simulation, reflective journaling, case studies, and mentorship to build empathetic capacity .
- Institutional Policy: Encourage healthcare organizations to invest in empathy education, reduce provider workloads, and develop anti-bias protocols to foster more inclusive care.
- Global Adaptation: Use the Empathetic Care Process Model as a flexible framework that can be tailored to different cultural, economic, and institutional contexts.
- Sustainability: Integrate empathy training longitudinally—through the entire educational pipeline and into professional practice—to maintain empathetic behavior over time.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Empathy is essential for high-quality, patient-centered healthcare, but cultural, structural, and educational barriers undermine its consistent practice. The cross-cultural comparison of Ethiopia, Hungary, and the United States reveals that while expressions of empathy differ culturally, many of the obstacles are shared: resource scarcity, discrimination, lack of formal training, and the risk of empathy erosion over time [11].

The Empathetic Care Process Model offers a promising, adaptable framework that institutions can use to systematically cultivate empathy among healthcare providers. To move forward, we recommend:

1. Empathy-focused Research: Conduct intervention studies to test the effectiveness of training programs based on the model, especially in under-resourced settings.
2. Curriculum Reform: Embed empathy and cultural humility throughout professional education (nursing, social work, medicine), not just in isolated modules.
3. Policy Development: Healthcare organizations should develop policies and structures that support empathetic care, including manageable workloads, debriefing mechanisms, and bias reduction training.
4. Longitudinal Evaluation: Track how empathy training affects providers' behavior, patient outcomes, and health disparities over the long term.
5. By positioning empathy as a formal and trainable competency, we can move toward more equitable, compassionate, and culturally competent healthcare on a global scale.

References

1. Sullivan-Detheridge JH, Reifsnyder E, Mengsteab M, Merie K, Staller J, Allen AM. Cross Cultural Empathetic Behavior in Health Care Providers: A Review of 3 Countries. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2024 Jan-Dec;15:21501319241226765
2. Mercer, S. W., & Reynolds, W. J. (2002). Empathy: Towards a conceptual framework for health professionals. *British Journal of General Practice*, 52(not provided), pages not provided.
3. Suk, M., & Oh, J. (2018). Cultural competence and empathy in visiting nurses. *BMC Nursing*, 17, Article number not provided. <https://doi.org/> (if available)
4. Aliabadi, P. K., Zazoly, A. Z., Sohrab, M., Neyestani, F., Nazari, N., Mousavi, S. H., & Ferdowsi, M. (2023). The mediating role of compassion in the relationship between nurses' ethnocultural empathy and cultural sensitivity. [Journal not provided]. (Structural equation modeling study)
5. Papadopoulos, I., Tilki, M., & Taylor, G. (2016). Developing tools to promote culturally competent compassion, courage, and intercultural communication in healthcare. *Journal of Compassionate Health Care*, 3, Article number not provided.
6. Almalki, M., et al. (2025). Cultural empathy development through simulation-based education: A qualitative exploration of Saudi nursing students' experiences. *BMC Nursing*, 24, Article 1290.
7. Yu CC, Tan L, LE MK, Tang B, Liaw SY, Tierney T, Ho YY, Lim BEE, Lim D, Ng R, Chia SC, Low JA. The development of empathy in the healthcare setting: a qualitative approach. *BMC Med Educ*. 2022 Apr 4;22(1):245.
8. Atypon, G. (2019). Empathy and cultural competence in clinical nurses: A structural equation modelling approach. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 27(not provided), pages not provided.
9. Almalki, M., et al. (2025). Cultural empathy development through simulation-based education: A qualitative exploration of Saudi nursing students' experiences. *BMC Nursing*, 24, Article 1290.
10. BMC Medical Education. (2025). Hierarchy hurts: A comparative cross-sectional analysis of empathy and its determinants in medical, midwifery, and nursing students. *BMC Medical Education*, 25, Article number not provided.
11. Ventura, S. (2023). Empathy: Advanced research and ap-

plications. (Conceptual book on empathy, training, cultural competence, and burnout). Publisher not provided.

12. Abou Hashish, E, A. (2023). Digital empathy concept in nursing: Compassion through technology—A concept analysis and implications in nursing. *DIGITAL HEALTH* Volume 11: 1–14. 10.1177/20552076251326221

Copyright: ©2025 Ariana Jeanne Chua. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.