ISSN: 3064-9846
Editorial Article
Journal of Agricultural, Earth and Environmental Sciences

R.E.S.P.E.C.T.: The Missing Ingredient in Global Development

D. Michael Shafer

Director, Warm Heart Foundation, A. Phrao, Chiang Mai, Thailand

*Corresponding author: D. Michael Shafer, Director, Warm Heart Foundation, A. Phrao, Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Submitted: 25 November 2025 **Accepted:** 28 November 2025 **Published:** 04 December 2025

https://doi.org/10.63620/MKJAEES.2025.

Citation: *Shafer, D. M. (2025)., R.E.S.P.E.C.T.: The Missing Ingredient in Global Development., J of Agri Earth & Environmental Sciences, 4(6), 01-02.*

"Grinding poverty". "Food insecurity". "Catastrophic climate change".
These are the headlines of our age, the crises that dominate conferences, policy papers, and international summits. The instinctive response is to ask: What will it take to solve them?

Money? Less than you think.

Technology? Perhaps, but usually only in a supporting role.

Experts? More often a burden than a benefit, consuming budgets while alienating the very communities they claim to serve.

The real answer is deceptively simple: respect.

Respect is the ingredient most often missing from development work, respect for local knowledge, respect for persons' lived experience, respect for human dignity. Without it, no amount of money, technology, or expertise will succeed. With it, even modest interventions can catalyze profound change.

The Forgotten Lesson
If you are old enough, you may remember Aretha Franklin's anthem: R.E.S.P.E.C.T. That word, sung with power and conviction, is more than a cultural touchstone; it is a development strategy.

Respect means saying "please" and "thank you." It means learning people's names and using them. It means sitting down to a home-cooked meal, whether served on the floor or at a table, with utensils or without, clean or not. It means listening instead of telling, creating local advisory committees with real veto power, and even drinking (a lot of) local whiskey during negotiations. Above all, it means staying until the project succeeds—or ensuring a soft landing if it fails.

This is not sentimentality. It is the foundation of trust, local ownership, and sustainability. Without respect, development projects collapse into cycles of failure and blame. With respect, they become vehicles for lasting behavior change.

Time to Forget the Textbook
Respect begins with unlearning. Too many development professionals arrive armed with MBAs or MPPs, trained to believe that success requires a single, narrowly defined mission statement and a one-sentence solution. Communities, however, are not case studies. They are complex, dynamic, and irreducibly human.

Forget the pressure to design programs that scale instantly to three billion poor people. Each of those three billion is a unique individual. If a program cannot work for one person, it will never work for all.

Forget the obsession with "fast prototyping" and "failing fast." People are not snippets of code. They cannot be discarded with the click of a delete key. When you engage a community, you assume responsibility for real lives. Treating people as disposable is not innovation; it is disrespect.

Rethinking Success
So what does success require? Not tiny, isolated projects destined to remain in the weeds nor grandiose schemes imposed from above. Success requires a fundamental rewrite of what we mean by "development work."

The mission is no longer the implementation of projects. The mission is large-scale behavior change—change that spreads because people see it as being in their self-interest.

This distinction is critical. Projects are imposed; behavior change must be chosen. Projects are designed by outsiders; behavior change begins with locals. Projects are temporary; behavior change is sustainable.

Respect is the bridge between outside knowledge and local mo-

tivation. When change agents respect local people as human beings, valuing their intelligence, their problem-solving capacities, and their lived realities, program design becomes a collaborative exercise. It connects local motivations to global outcomes; it aligns self-interest with collective benefit.

Why the Old Way Fails

The failures of traditional development are well documented. Large organizations often assume locals cannot be trusted, hiring costly foreign staff for leadership positions while relegating locals to roles as drivers, cleaners, or guards. Rural people are dismissed as "traditionals," blamed for program failures when they fail to "get it."

This arrogance blinds experts to basic economic realities. Those living at the margin of starvation are, in fact, more rational economic actors than the experts themselves. They cannot afford inefficiency. They respond instantly to shifts in relative prices. Yet experts rarely ask how their projects alter local profitability. They fail to anticipate that when they leave, relative prices revert, rendering project activities unprofitable and forcing locals to abandon them. The result is predictable: failure, followed by blame directed at the poor. Disrespect compounded upon disrespect.

A Better Formula

This cycle is not inevitable. A successful formula is waiting for adoption, and it begins with respect.

Respect for the humanity of the poor. Respect for their intelligence, their knowledge of their own circumstances, and their ability to reason. Respect for their right to make choices about their own lives.

Programs built on such a foundation do not impose solutions. They facilitate behavior change that makes sense to locals. They replicate not through top-down scaling but through imitation—neighbors adopting what they see working in nearby communities.

This formula is not about "implementation," which implies doing something to or for people. It is about enabling people to change their own behavior because it benefits them. That choice implies trust in the outsiders, local ownership, and sustainability.

An Example from the Weeds

Consider rural communities during the dry season. Work disappears, forcing healthy adults to leave home to find jobs and income. Those left behind (the elderly, disabled, infants, and caregivers) are malnourished and lack the strength to clear waste-cluttered fields, especially not in the hot season. Their easiest solution is to burn.

Burning may appear a local necessity; it is also a climate, environmental, and health disaster. Outside agents approach the problem from the big picture: global warming, environmental destruction, healthcare costs. Yet none of these resonate with local motivations.

A respectful approach begins with local realities. Why do people burn? What might cause them to stop? The answer lies in economics: make not burning more profitable than burning and create incentives for healthy adults to remain home during the dry season.

If successful, this approach achieves both local and global goals. It reduces emissions of CO_2, smog precursors, and particulate matter (PM2.5). It increases local incomes, valorizes rural communities, and potentially keeps young people from leaving. it is not "lost in the weeds." It simply starts in the weeds where real people live, where motivations are grounded, and where respect is earned.

Because the conditions described above exist worldwide; a program designed with respect can replicate globally. A stop-crop-waste-burning initiative, tailored to local requirements by farmers trained as trainers, can spread across continents.

Replication Through Respect

The key is not starting with three billion people in mind. The key is dethroning experts, making them into learners in the field. Respect transforms expertise from arrogance into humility, from imposition into collaboration. Replication follows naturally when locals see their neighbors prosper. Change spreads not because it is mandated, but because it is imitated.

The Bottom Line

Development work has long been trapped in cycles of arrogance, failure, and blame. Experts impose projects, locals abandon them and are blamed for their own poverty. This cycle is unnecessary. The alternative is respect, respect that transforms projects into partnerships, interventions into choices, and temporary fixes into sustainable behavior change.

Respect is not a soft skill. It is the hard foundation of successful development. Without it, money, technology, and expertise are too often wasted. With it, even modest resources can catalyze profound change.

As Aretha Franklin sang: R.E.S.P.E.C.T. Here is the missing ingredient of how to address grinding poverty, food insecurity, and climate catastrophe.