

Strengthening the Legal Framework for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Materials: Contemporary Challenges and Future Prospects

Martial Tiburce Arcadius Zannou

Doctor of Law from Aix-Marseille University, France

***Corresponding author:** Martial Tiburce Arcadius Zannou, Doctor of Law from Aix-Marseille University, France.

Submitted: 02 December 2025 **Accepted:** 23 December 2025 **Published:** 29 December 2025

doi <https://doi.org/10.63620/MKJSCELO.2025.1006>

Citation: Zannou, M. T. A. (2025). *Strengthening the Legal Framework for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Materials: Contemporary Challenges and Future Prospects*. *J of Sup Cha Eng and Log Opt*, 1(2), 01-03.

Abstract

The transport of radioactive materials is a large-scale logistical operation, with over 20 million shipments annually, essential for medicine, research, and energy production. The safety and security of these operations rely on a set of international rules, of which the IAEA standards are the cornerstones. However, the rapidly evolving global landscape, marked by technological advances in the nuclear and transportation sectors, raises unprecedented challenges requiring regulatory adaptation. This article analyzes contemporary challenges, including incomplete legislative harmonization, the emergence of small modular reactors (SMRs), and cybersecurity threats, and assesses the relevance of current frameworks. The analysis demonstrates that cross-border regulatory inconsistencies increase logistical risks and operational costs. In conclusion, this article suggests that only targeted strengthening of legal frameworks, coupled with increased international collaboration on operational safety and training, will ensure the agility and resilience of the nuclear supply chain for decades to come.

Keywords: Transport of Radioactive Materials, Nuclear Safety, Logistics, Cybersecurity, IAEA, Legislative Harmonisation.

Introduction

The transport of radioactive and nuclear materials is a strategic logistical component. With a volume exceeding 20 million shipments annually, these flows are crucial for maintaining vital activities, from the supply of radiopharmaceuticals to the management of power plant fuel. The logistical efficiency of these flows is subordinate to the absolute imperative of safety and security [1].

Historically, the global safety regime has been firmly rooted in the standards of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the model regulations of the United Nations (UN). These instruments provide a rigorous technical framework for the classification of materials, the design of packaging, documentation, and controls [2].

However, the global context is rapidly changing. The rise of new nuclear technologies (Small Modular Reactors or SMRs) and the

accelerated digitalization of supply chains are introducing previously unseen vectors of risk and threat [3]. This rapid evolution poses a fundamental question for policymakers and operators: are current legislative and regulatory frameworks sufficiently robust and agile to absorb emerging challenges?

This article analyzes the contemporary challenges that are putting pressure on the legal framework for the transport of radioactive materials. It identifies harmonization gaps, examines the impact of new technological threats, and suggests avenues for improving operational safety, with the aim of ensuring the resilience of this critical supply chain.

Regulatory Framework and the Challenge of Logistics Harmonization

The global security regime for the transport of radioactive materials is based on a set of international instruments, of which the IAEA Radioactive Materials Transport Regulations and the UN

Model Regulations constitute the essential technical references [4].

The gap between International Law and National Implementation

One of the main challenges of global governance lies in ensuring that national legal and regulatory systems are fully compliant with these international instruments. Many Member States face significant difficulties in effectively transposing these requirements into national legislation, particularly with regard to safety and security aspects that must be integrated from the design stage and throughout transport operations.

This harmonization process is notoriously complex, even within advanced regional blocs. For example, efforts to build a European nuclear safety hub following the adoption of regional directives illustrate the practical difficulty of translating a common objective into a fully unified national framework [5].

These gaps in regulatory harmonization are not merely theoretical; they have a direct impact on the logistical optimization and operational security of the global supply chain. They manifest themselves through:

- **Cross-border inconsistencies:** Non-uniform regulatory regimes create friction points at borders. These divergences lead to transit delays and significant administrative complexity (documentation, certificates, licenses) which burdens the entire supply chain and reduces its agility.
- **Increased Costs:** The lack of a simple common denominator forces logistics operators to design transport protocols to satisfy the lowest common denominator of disparate national regulations. This approach harms economic efficiency. For example, operators may be forced to use oversized packaging or opt for longer, slower routes to avoid jurisdictions with excessively restrictive or ambiguous rules. These logistical inefficiencies directly impact operating costs.
- **Increased Risks:** Lack of alignment with global best practices in staff training or packaging certification can create weaknesses exploitable by malicious actors, compromising the safety and security of the entire transport chain.

Sharing experiences on the application of these frameworks and improving security and safety capabilities are therefore essential to support the implementation of international instruments.

The Need for Sharing Experiences

To overcome these difficulties, it is imperative to intensify the sharing of experience data. Sharing lessons learned from national implementation, inspections, and minor incidents would improve national capacities and strengthen the evidence base for aligning legal frameworks.

Impact of New Technologies and Cybersecurity on the Supply Chain

Technological innovations are transforming the nuclear sector and transport systems, introducing vulnerabilities that require a swift legal response.

New Nuclear Delivery Systems: SMRs, Microreactors and Logistics

The emergence of small modular reactors (SMRs), floating

power plants, and small modular reactors (SMRs) is poised to revolutionize energy production. However, this modularity and the decentralization of production entail major logistical consequences:

- **New flows and frequencies:** SRMs will require potentially more frequent supply and waste removal flows to more sites, often in regions less accustomed to nuclear logistics.
- **Packaging requirements:** Some SMRs use highly enriched fuels (HALEU) which may require certification of new packaging types and specific safety requirements, impacting the SSR-6 transport specifications. The legal framework must anticipate and validate these new standards before large-scale deployment.

The Cybersecurity Threat and Logistics Vulnerability

The digitalization of supply chains is an engine of optimization (real-time traceability, dynamic routing), but it is also the source of a critical vulnerability: cybersecurity.

Radioactive material transport systems now rely on interconnected information systems (tracking, communication, and risk management systems). A successful cyberattack could:

- **Compromising Safety:** By disabling emergency tracking systems or altering position data, making transport "invisible" to regulators in the event of an incident.
- **Threatening Security:** By providing accurate route and schedule information to malicious actors, facilitating the theft or sabotage of shipments.

It is crucial that the regulatory framework be updated to impose specific and mandatory cybersecurity standards on the nuclear transportation industry, going beyond generic IT standards.

Strengthening Operational Safety and Security

Beyond legal adjustments and in the face of digital threats, strengthening safety and security on the ground remains an absolute priority for operational resilience.

Risk management must be tailored to the mode of transport (road, rail, sea, air), as each presents unique vulnerabilities to accidents and malicious acts. Capacity building should focus on three operational pillars:

Improving Packaging Design Practices: Packaging is the first and last barrier. The framework must continue to demand rigorous testing and innovation in materials and design to ensure resistance to severe accidental conditions and tampering attempts.

- **Staff Training and Certification:** Human error is a major logistical risk factor. Harmonizing training programs for staff involved in handling, planning, and transportation is essential to ensure the consistent application of safety protocols.
- **Coordinated Emergency Response Plans (ERPs):** Robust and regularly tested ERPs are crucial. The legal framework must require effective cross-border coordination of ERPs, recognizing that incidents do not respect administrative boundaries.

These topics are at the heart of high-level discussions, such as at the International Conference on the Safe and Secure Transport of Nuclear and Radioactive Materials, highlighting their central role in maintaining safety.

Conclusion and Perspectives

The transport of radioactive materials is at a crossroads: on one hand, it is governed by strong global standards; on the other, it faces unprecedented challenges posed by technological innovation and digitalization.

To guarantee the safety and resilience of this critical supply chain, the strengthening of the legal framework must be carried out on two fronts:

- **Increased Harmonisation:** Bridging national gaps to eliminate logistical inconsistencies that compromise safety and increase costs.
- **Adapting to New Threats:** Formally integrate security requirements for emerging technologies (PRM) and strict cybersecurity requirements in the transport sector.

Collaboration between policymakers, regulatory bodies (IAEA, UN, national regulators), industry, and universities is crucial to meeting these challenges and ensuring that the legal framework is robust enough to maintain safety and agile enough to adapt to

the speed of technological innovation. By pursuing these efforts, the international community will ensure the continuity and security of this essential logistics for decades to come.

References

1. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2018). Regulations for the safe transport of radioactive material (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-6, Rev. 1). IAEA.
2. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2020). Nuclear technology review 2020. IAEA.
3. Nuclear Safety Authority. (2010). The construction of a European hub for nuclear safety and radiation protection. ASN Review, (189), 3.
4. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Nuclear Energy Agency. (2023). The role of high-assay low-enriched uranium (HALEU) in the deployment of advanced reactors. OECD Publishing.
5. World Nuclear Association. (n.d.). Transport of radioactive material. World Nuclear Association.