

ISSN: 3066-8115 **Short Communication**

Science Set Journal of Medical and Clinical Case Studies

Scientific Research in Light of the Age of Artificial Intelligence A Philosophical Reading

Ibrahim Ali Al-Baher^{1, 2*}

¹Assistant Professor/ The Islamic University of Minnesota / USA

*Corresponding author: Dr. Ibrahim Ali Al-Baher, Assistant Professor/ The Islamic University of Minnesota / USA.

Submitted: 09 February 2024 Accepted: 12 February 2024 Published: 01 March 2024

doi https://doi.org/10.63620/MKSSJMCCS.2024.1033

Citation: Al-Baher, I. A., (2024). Scientific Research in Light of the Age of Artificial Intelligence A Philosophical Reading. Sci Set J of Med Cli Case Stu 3(2), 01-02.

Recently, scientific research is moving at the speed of light, as scientific research has been able to achieve many achievements in various fields of science, And to leap in a geometric sequence beyond the limits of logic and rationality, and this would not have been achieved without the state of hunger that researchers and scientists feel about the necessity of competing in the field of scientific research to prove themselves, Which is completely different from what it was before, becoming a digital self-governed by numbers and statistics, and setting limits for its ambitions and hopes whose ceiling is the sky.

The feverish competition in the field of scientific research has produced a different era in the superstructures of societies, represented by the beliefs, ideologies, customs, traditions, and ideas that control the way of life of individuals and groups.

This race was also able to generate a state of interaction between things, such that it created a special language through which humanity transcended the virtual societies that accompanied the beginning of the era of globalization. Globalization has become so distant that societies have been unable to set a specific framework for the form of ideas that their owners began to express through interactive platforms that established a culture of uncontrolled digital awareness.

In the era of artificial intelligence, the scientific research environment has been dominated by wavering scientific skepticism, which is led by a group of researchers who have taken the basement houses as a place to issue unreal research that serves the viewpoints of certain categories of faculty members for the purposes of promotion and to laugh with a yellow smile at the university's regulations and instructions and to be considered scientists who can rely on them in the future, This is on the one hand, and on the other hand, this category serves a group of graduate students who burned themselves to obtain

graduation certificates and tried to obtain them no matter what the method.

The era of artificial intelligence has come to go beyond the limits of intellectual stability and ideological stagnation to establish the lines of communication between peoples and nations. However, with this lofty goal, intentions emerge that seek to harm the existence of this era and attempt to assassinate it by harnessing it to serve false scientific research that does not produce real results for the state or the university.

At the same time, it establishes a fictitious research base through which research will be launched twenty years from now, presenting generations with false facts and empty ideologies, which will negatively reflect on the form of life in the future and establish cartoonish scientific academies that have no taste or smell.

In this context, we must acknowledge that we side with the constant at the expense of the variable, and with the prevailing at the expense of the renewable. We insist on consensus and are averse to difference, diversity, and ambiguity, which has led us to the fact that it is difficult for us to comprehend the complex reality around us, Consequently, we were unable to understand the intellectual structures and failed to put our hands on the hidden mechanisms without which it is impossible to understand the manifestations of reality.

In light of the dominance of the categorical and the specific, we have relaxed and enjoyed a false cognitive peace. Therefore, we have no protection against the roaring current of thought except to take refuge in the cognitive ruptures.

Without including a clear diagnosis of where we are in light of the age of artificial intelligence, how can we confront advanced thought that grows through its constant movement be-

Page No: 01 www.mkscienceset.com Sci Set J of Med Cli Case Stu 2024

²Academic Supervisor / Leeds Professional University/ USA

tween positive and negative and that does not find difficulty in exploring opposing thought? How can we face today's world with our dilapidated knowledge? Do we not need philosophical theorizing that distances itself from the talk of weak-minded people who claim scientific research?

We need a new philosophical thought that exercises its right to freedom of movement between positive and negative, between systems theory and chaos theory, between control theory and education theory, between information theory and veto theory, and between globalization and cosmopolitanism.

This is a call that does not entail nihilism, chaos, or negativity, but rather is a call for completion and achieving complementarity.

Copyright: ©2024 Ibrahim Ali Al-Baher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page No: 02 www.mkscienceset.com Sci Set J of Med Cli Case Stu 2024